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The paper describes the application of an IBBCEAS instrument for the detection of the nitrate radical in an urban environment in India. Unfortunately, the paper lacks novelty or substantial progress in instrument technology. The instrument described in this paper has been used in a similar way earlier by the same group of authors and results including the description of the instrument has recently been published by them (Suhail, K., George, M., Chandran S., Varma, R., Venables, D.S., Wang, M., and Chen, J.: Open path incoherent broadband cavity-enhanced measurements of NO3 radical and aerosol extinction in the North China Plain, Spectrochimica Acta Part A, 208, 24-31, doi: 10.1016/j.saa.2018.09.023, 2019.). In addition, NO3 detection by IB-BCEAS instruments is an established method that was described by several groups in the past. No novel approach is shown in this paper. The paper is mixed with the description of results from the application of the instrument in an urban environment close to diesel exhaust emissions. No direct connection between measurements and instrumental questions is shown in the description of the measurements that would be expected for this journal. However, there is also no attempt to explain the plausibility of measurements. It seems rather unlikely that high NO3 concentrations as measured by the instrument here are present close to the emission of diesel exhaust with presumably high NO emissions. Unfortunately, no further details of NOx measurements that were done in the monitoring station close to the site where NO3 was measured are given in order to support results. A discussion about the plausibility of measurements is missing. For these reasons, I recommend to reject the manuscript.