Answers to Reviews of “The ICAD (Iterative Cavity Enhanced DOAS) Method” AMTD2019

Martin Horbanski, Denis Pöhler, Johannes Lampel and Ulrich Platt

1. Introduction and general comments

We like to thank the editor and the referees for their constructive and helpful comments, which helped us to improve the manuscript. We followed most of the reviewers' suggestions and made the according changes to the manuscript. Below we answer the reviewers’ comments in detail and explain the changes made to the manuscript. We are convinced that the manuscript is now adequate for publication in AMT.

In the following we reproduced the reviewers' comments (in black) together with our responses (in red).

2. Replies to comments from Referee 1:

The authors have submitted a very interesting paper describing their work on the development and implementation of the ICAD method for CE-DOAS. This presents in my opinion novel and important work in the field of cavity enhanced spectroscopy which allows cavity enhanced measurements to be made without being limited by the stability of the light source and should be published in Atmospheric Measurement Techniques. I have no issues with the structure and content of the paper and there are only some minor typographical errors which need to be corrected. These are listed below.

Page 5, line 9, please change ‘lenght’ to length
Page 5, line 9, please change ‘optial’ to optical
Page 6, line 29, please change ‘me’ to be
Page 8, line 2, please change ‘aerol’ to aerosol
Page 8, line 8, please change ‘calucate’ to calculate
Page 10, line 12, please change ‘strop’ to stop
Page 11, line 4, please change ‘instreducing’ to reducing
Page 11, line 5, please delete ‘andument’
Page 11, line 30, please change ‘too’ to ‘to’
Page 11, line 30, please change ‘good’ to ‘well’
Page 12, line 14, please change ‘to built a cavity set up’ to ‘a cavity setup to be built’
Page 14, line 19, please change ‘NO2’ to ‘NO 2 ’
Page 21, line 18, please change ‘march’ to March
Page 23, line 25, please change ‘cm3’ to cm
3. Replies to comments from Referee 2:

This paper is the third in row with the publications of Platt et al., 2009 and Meinen et al, 2010. It describes the experimental setup of an improved broadband (BB) Cavity-Enhanced (CE) DOAS instrument which uses the well-known DOAS evaluation principle for the retrieval of trace gas concentrations from absorption spectra.

While in 'conventional' DOAS spectroscopy the optical path length between light source and detector is constant and precisely known this is not the case if an optical cavity is used to create the long absorption path. In this paper the authors thoroughly discuss the aspect of the shortening of the photon lifetime in the CEAS cavity due to the presence of absorbing trace species which leads to non-linear changes of the ‘average optical path length’ as a function of the trace gas concentration. 'Traditional' broad-band CEAS evaluation schemes (see papers of Fiedler et al.) inherently account for these path changes by measuring the absolute total light intensity. Hence these instruments require long-term stable light sources with very small intensity variations and mechanically rigid optical setups in order to assure equal light intensities at the detector during the measurements of the cavity transmission without and with absorbers.

In this paper the authors present a new spectral retrieval approach to overcome the above mentioned technically challenging restrictions. An iterative algorithm (ICAD CE-DOAS) was developed which, on the one hand, models the optical path length reduction as a function of the calculated actual optical density (OD) in the cavity. On the other hand the iterative method introduces the important DOAS advantage of being independent on the absolute light intensity into the 'CEAS world', however, at the expense of a significantly more complex data analysis.

The paper is certainly appropriate for publication in AMT after the comments below are appropriately answered.

Page 2, lines 18-30: I disagree with the statement 'the so far presented instruments can only be operated in a laboratory or at least in laboratory similar condition'. CEAS instruments already have been operated under harsh field measurement conditions so this statement needs rephrasing.

Reply:
We agree that the sentence might have been a bit too general. However, we do not know of any simple CEAS Instruments which could be operated under harsh conditions e.g. like in a bicycle trailer.
We re-phrased the sentence:
“Therefore, the so far presented instruments are typically limited to applications in a laboratory or larger mobile platforms (e.g. ships and medium sized air planes, see Zheng2018) where controlled environmental conditions are present. Applications in harsh environments are in principle possible, but require a high technical effort to achieve the required stability. “

Page 3, lines 7-10: Mentioning of ‘a predecessor CE-DOAS instrument …’ should be removed from the text because it does not provide any useful information to the topic of the manuscript.

Reply:
We think that this information is useful as it shows further successful applications and validation measurements which are not presented in this paper.
We rephrased the sentence:
“A CE-DOAS instrument with an earlier version of the analysis scheme described in this publication was already successfully applied in several measurement campaigns”
Page 4, lines 26-29: I understand that the authors like to express how 'tolerant' their instrument is to intensity fluctuations but since the strong temperature dependency of the LED output power and spectrum is very well known in the community, nearly all LED driven CEAS instruments use temperature stabilization, usually by accurate and cheap Peltier cooling. Hence I think this is not a generally valid argument.

Reply:
It is true that LED temperature stabilization by Peltier cooling is routinely applied in CEAS instruments. However, from our experience Peltier cooling with sufficient temperature stabilisation adds an unnecessary level of complexity to the instrument, requires additional power and reduces the instrument’s reliability in long-term operation. Also, while Peltier cooling helps to maintain stable light intensities over periods of several hours, this is not generally possible for continuous long-term measurements. We saw examples where the LED light intensity suddenly decreased by more than 10% either due to degradation or in very harsh environments due to vibrations of the mechanical setup. Also, the optical fibre which typically feeds the light into the spectrometer easily changes their transmission by more than 10% if the bending radius changes slightly during transport or vibrations.

We changed the paragraph to:
“Intensity drifts of this magnitude are typical for such optical setups, e.g. due to intensity drifts of used light sources like LEDs or changes in the transmission of fibres when their bending radius slightly changes during transport or vibrations. While there are technical solutions for these problems, they add extra complexity, weight, potential sources of hardware failure and often have to be adapted to the environmental conditions (e.g. parameters of the temperature controllers).”

Sects. 2.1 and 2.2, Eqs. 2, 3, and 4 need some clarification to avoid confusion. The molecular absorption cross sections used in Eqs. 2 and 3 are the total absorption cross section, i.e., sum of differential part $\sigma'(\lambda)$ plus broadband part, called $\sigma_0(\lambda)$ in Sect. 2.2.

In contrast Sect. 2.2, Eq. 4 the DOAS approach just considers the differential part of the total cross section. Consequently the differential optical density should be denoted D’ model ($\lambda$).

Reply:
we agree with the reviewer and clarify the notation
1. In Sect 2.2 $\sigma_0(\lambda)$ is a typo and changed to $\sigma_b(\lambda)$
2. For clarity we added equation (4)
   $\sigma_i(\lambda) = \sigma'_i(\lambda) + \sigma_{ib}(\lambda)$
3. D model ($\lambda$) actually models the total optical density. The broadband part is modelled by the Polynomial $P_k(\lambda)$

Furthermore we adapted the notation in section 3 to avoid confusion.

Page 8, lines 1 ff: The authors state that for cases when no particle filters in the inlet are acceptable due to trace gas losses on the surface the aerosol extinction term $\varepsilon$ aerosol ($m,\lambda$) (Eq. 8) must be estimated 'by a suitable parametrization'.

However in Fig. 4 (first equation in the box) the broadband aerosol extinction $\varepsilon$ b (see Eq.5) or $\varepsilon$ aerosol ($m,\lambda$) (see Eq. 8), respectively, is omitted. The authors justify this by simply stating (page 9, lines 1-3) that the present paper only regards the aerosol FREE case and that 'in the presence of aerosols the removal of broadband absorption, as part of the DOAS analysis, needs to be performed with care not to interfere with the aerosol absorption.'

- Please explain what is meant by 'suitable parametrization'.
- Could it be that in the case of strong aerosol extinction the iterative technique does not necessarily lead to a bijective solution?
I think this aspect must be discussed in greater detail in this paper in order to help interested future users of the ICAD technique to understand its potential limitations.

Reply:
We thank the reviewer for this useful comment. Our intention was not to confuse the reader with the iterative algorithm including aerosol absorption, since it is not the main part of the manuscript (in fact it could be the topic of another publication). But we agree that more general information should be given for the sake of clarity.

- We added the sentence
  “Typically an Angstrom exponent approach \( \varepsilon_{\text{aerosol}}(m, \lambda) = m_1 \cdot \lambda^{-m_2} \) could be used.”

- We also included a Supplement Sect. S1 which gives a basic discussion of an ICAD approach which includes aerosol absorption.

Below we present a sample ICAD Evaluation for a simulated spectrum with aerosol extinction. Simulations are based on section 1.3 with 10 ppbv NO\(_2\) plus aerosol extinction with a typical Angstrom exponent of 1.7 and a peak aerosol optical density of 20% and Gaussian noise (\( \sigma = 10^{-4} \)). Fit settings are based on config 1 (Table 1). A broader fit range (441 nm to 494 nm) has to be chosen in order to include both wings of the aerosol fit reference (the shape of the aerosol extinction arises due to the mirror reflectivity), the DOAS Polynomial is set to first order and the High Pass Binomial filter is removed. The ICAD evaluation correctly retrieves (9.97 ± 0.03) ppbv NO\(_2\) which shows that the reduction of the light path by aerosol absorption is correctly accounted for by the correction factor \( K(\lambda) \). This demonstrate that ICAD can in principle also be used in the presence of aerosol extinction. Further studies with aerosol application are beyond the scope of this manuscript.
Page 8, lines 7: What is meant by 'if all absorbers have sufficiently wavelength dependent absorption structures'? I assume this only refers to the differential part of the trace gas absorption spectra. How does this help to overcome the broadband aerosol absorption?

Reply:
It is not limited to typical differential absorption. E.g. the aerosol extinction, typically modelled with an Angstrom exponent, has a relatively broadband wavelength dependency compared to trace gases. However, due to the strong wavelength dependency of the path length $L_{\text{eff}}(\lambda)$, the effective reference spectrum $\varrho_{\text{aerosol}}(\lambda) = L_{\text{eff}}(\lambda) \cdot e_{\text{aerosol}}(m,\lambda)$ is sufficiently wavelength dependent (see fit above). Therefore, it can be distinguished from other broadband variations, like instrumental intensity drifts e.g. of the LED, which do not take place in the cavity and therefore are not modulated with the optical path length in the cavity. Of course fitting the Aerosol extinction requires a broad fit range. For an optimal trace gas fit we therefore suggest a two stage fit which first retrieves the aerosol extinction and in a second step with smaller fit range and high pass filter retrieves the trace gas concentration as described now in supplement S1.

Page 9, Fig.5: This figure shows the iterative procedure to calculate the concentration from theoretical CEAS spectra for an extremely high NO2 concentration. In principle the figure is well suited for this topic, however due to the exclusion of the fundamental shot noise the archived minimal peak-to-peak noise levels of the residual (Fig. 5d) of $10^{-9}$ to $10^{-6}$ might give the reader false expectations what can be achievable with ICAD. It should be made clear that in reality the min. expectable noise levels are fundamentally limited by the photon shot noise.

Reply:
This is true. We extended the figure caption to avoid confusion by:
“In a real measurement the achievable noise levels are limited by the fundamental photon shot noise and instrumental error sources (see Sect. 4.3)”

Page 12, Sect. 3.1.2: In Fig. 6 it is shown that the retrieval of trace gas concentrations by the BB-CEAS method linearly depends on the change of radiation intensity between zero-air and ambient air measurements. The simulations were done up to 90% intensity differences. However the dramatic results (of 90% intensity change!) shown here should be put into perspective to realistically achievable intensity fluctuations of presently used temperature stabilized light sources and CEAS cavities, which I assume to be less than a percent. Compare the following discussion of the requirements of equals light intensities during the calibration of the path length in the air filled cavity on page 15, line 17 where a relative intensity drift of $5 \times 10^{-4}$ over some minutes was denoted.

Reply:
This remark is similar to the reviewer’s comment to page 4 of the manuscript (see above). We already answered this question in our response to the above reviewer’s point.

Page 15, Section 4.3.1: It is the measurement PRECISION, not accuracy!

Reply:
Thank you. We corrected this incorrect use of terminology

Figures:
Fig. 2: Shows the light path length as function of wavelength. There are three extinction processes contributing to the path length which should be explained to the reader for clearness. Firstly, on the second ordinate the absolute contribution of mirror transmission losses should be indicated. Secondly, the absolute contribution of Rayleigh scattering in synthetic air over the spectral interval
should be quantified. Thirdly, the loss due to absorption of the water dimer should be shown in relation to both other losses.

Reply:
The extinction processes are explained in section 2.2, which we think is sufficient. We did not include the loss processes in the figure as we think that it would clutter the plot. Instead we added Fig. SF1 to the supplement which shows the contributions of the different processes for the ICAD in config 1.

Fig. 5 should be moved between Sect. 3.1 and 3.1.1

Reply:
We will try to fix this in the two final two column manuscript

Fig. 8: The text should read: 'The bottom graph shows the time series OF THE 1-σ ERROR OF THE NO 2 CONCENTRATION for different integration times'

Reply:
We disagree with this point, as the graph shown is actually the time series of zero measurements with different integration/averaging times. The 1-σ ERROR would be the standard deviation of these time series.

Typos, word replications, etc.:
p4 line 22 / p7 line 12 / p5, line 4 / p7, line 12 / p8 / in Figure 4: ‘Rayleigh extinction’
p8, line 8 / p9, caption to Fig.5 / p11, line 3 / p20, line 1

Reply:
We did another round of copy editing and included the suggested corrections.

4. Replies to comments from Referee 3

Horbanski et al present a new processing technique for cavity-enhanced DOAS, termed iterative CE-DOAS (ICAD), which accounts for the change in the optical path length due to absorption in the cavity. This significantly reduces the required light source and instrument stability and thus enables a more compact, lower power CE-DOAS instrument to be built. The authors evaluate the performance of the technique with numerical simulations as well as intercomparisons with chemiluminescence detectors. They also show the advantage of the compact instrument by doing some vehicle-based measurements. Overall, the paper provides a good summary and characterization of the technique and is appropriate for publication in AMT.

Specific comments:

1. Have you run two of these instruments side-by-side? If so, it would be nice to have some of these data in this paper.

Reply:
During the long term measurements with config 2 we ran a second NO2 ICAD side-by-side. The correlation plot between both ICADs called A and B was added to the supplement (SF4).


Reply:
The reference was added to section 2.1

3. How often does the path length calibration using He and dry air need to be performed? Was this included for all of the measurements presented? If so, then the text on P23, L15 "without compressed gas cylinders" needs to be revised.

Reply:
Path length calibration using He and dry air, does not have to be performed routinely for our ICAD instruments as calibration only change if mirrors are contaminated (which is avoided by the aerosol filter). If we don’t see a significant drop in intensity for zero air measurements (which happen if mirrors contaminate) we found that there is no need for a recalibration. Typically a calibration lasts for several month to years. In the rare cases when the intensity changed significantly we do a calibration run in our lab before taking the instrument to a field measurement.

4. In Figure 5, what causes the increasing error and residuals with increasing concentration? It seems like if you are fitting with the same cross section as used for the simulation, everything should be self consistent. Is it because the saturation occurs on lines that are narrower than the instrument resolution?

Reply
We used the exact (geometric) light propagation in the resonator to simulate our measurements (e.g. see Fiedler 2005). The basic ICAD and BBCEAS equations are only an approximation to the fundamental light propagation in an optical resonator. E.g see Platt 2009 or Fiedler 2005. Therefore these increasing residual structure show the limitations of the approximations done in the data evaluation. However for all practical applications these residual structures are still more than one order of magnitude lower than the fundamental photon shot noise and instrumental error sources which can be achieved.

5. For the ICAD measurements with calibration gas, how do you know that the 2.6 ppb offset is due to the zero air? Also, can you compare the observed discrepancy without path length correction to the prediction from Fig 3/6?

Reply:
We changed it to “The small offset of (2.6±0.1,ppbv) is most likely due to an impurity in the zero air gas cylinder from the \chem{NO_2} calibration source”

It is we observed that synthetic air bottles often have a small NO₂ impurity. The ICAD uses for the zero air its own zero air filter and therefore is able to detect an impurity in the synthetic zero air of the calibration source.

However, the CLD in the calibration setup only measures the “missing” NO which was titrated to NO₂ and therefore cannot detect an NO₂ impurity in the zero air gas. Therefore the ICAD sees an offset.

6. On p18, L20 a 4s trend is subtracted from each data point. I don’t understand what is happening here. The time resolution of the CLD is 44s, so where does the 4s trend come from?

Reply:
There was a typo: Actually a 4 min trend was used.

We also rephrased the sentences to make the filtering procedure clearer:
"In order to analyse the CLD noise, the general trend (interpolated 4 min trend) is subtracted from each data point before computing the standard deviation of the 10 min intervals. Intervals with a deviation of more than 3.5ppbv are classified as non-physical and sorted out. This filtered CLD/BLC NO2 measurements are used for comparison with the ICAD time series."

7. What causes the offset in the ICAD vs APNA 370 measurements? If there is residual NO2 in the zero air, this should still be measured by both systems.

Reply:
By looking at the measurements of zero air we see that the zero point offset of the ANPA is only (0.07 +/- 0.18)ppb and for the ICAD (-0.05 +/- -0.03)ppb. Therefore we think that the offset in the fit might indicate a very small non-linearity in the correlation between both instruments. This might come form some interferences of the APNA 370 to other gases in the ambient air.

8. It also looks like there is a deviation between the ICAD and APNA 370 at 30-40 ppb. How does the linear fit change if you do not include the permeation source points? Any idea what would cause this?

Reply:
If the permeation source is removed the slope of the linear fit APNA 370 vs ICAD slightly changes to (1.02 +/- 7E-4) but the offset stays quite the same (0.36 +/- 0.01)ppb (see figure below). The deviation between the ICAD and APNA 370 at 30-40ppb is most likely caused by interferences of the APNA 370 to some gases in the sample air. We assume this, as we do not see such a deviation in the side by side inter-comparison of two ICAD instruments or by the comparison to the permeation source.

Technical corrections: There were several typos throughout, please run one more round of copy editing. I’ve noted some below but may have missed a few.

Reply:
Thank you for pointing out these typos. We did another round of copy editing.

P1, L4: The phrase "opposite to classical Long Path DOAS measurements" is confusing. Perhaps saying "in contrast to classical Long Path DOAS measurements where the light path is fixed"
We changed the sentence according to the referee’s suggestion.

P2, L28-29: I’m not sure that ships or medium size airplanes would be considered "laboratory similar conditions". Perhaps it would be better to say "medium to large fixed or mobile platforms (e.g., ships and medium size airplanes)." Perhaps also add the Zheng et al ref here

Reply: The sentence was rephrased. See reply to referee 2

Eq 5: Should the $\sigma_i$ be $\sigma_0i$ ?

Reply: There was a typo and an inconsistency in the notation of sect 2.1 and 2.2. We corrected this. See also reply to referee 2

P6, L8: Should the reference to Fig 9 be to Fig 3?

Reply: Both figures show the effect of path length reduction. Therefore we kept the reference to figure 9 but extended it by a reference to Fig 3

P11, L2-3: I think that some text was inserted in the middle of a word.

Reply: The copy errors were corrected

P11, L29: "too" should be "to" and "good" should be "well"

Reply: Typos were corrected

P17, L6: Not sure what the "(a)" refers to

Reply: "(a)" was removed

P18, L9: Change "recorded" to "record"

Reply: Typo was corrected

P18, L20: Missing "." after "deviation"

Reply: This paragraph was rewritten. See reply to referee 2

Figure 2: Add curves showing Rayleigh scattering and O4.

Reply: See reply to referee 2

Figure 14: Please add a scale bar and maybe increase the figure size. The details of the measurement route and text on the map are both hard to see.

Reply: A scale bar was added
The ICAD (Iterative Cavity Enhanced DOAS) Method

Martin Horbanski\textsuperscript{1,2}, Denis Pöhler\textsuperscript{1,2}, Johannes Lampe\textsuperscript{1,2}, and Ulrich Platt\textsuperscript{1,2}

\textsuperscript{1}Institute of Environmental Physics, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Germany
\textsuperscript{2}Airyx GmbH, Justus-von-Liebig-Str. 14, 69214 Eppelheim, Germany

Correspondence: Martin Horbanski (martin.horbanski@iup.uni-heidelberg.de)

Abstract. Cavity Enhanced Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (CE-DOAS or BB-CEAS DOAS) allows to make in-situ measurements while maintaining the km-long light paths required by DOAS. These technique have been successfully used for several years to measure in-situ atmospheric trace gases. A property of optical cavities is that in presence of strong absorbers or scatterers the light path is reduced, opposite in contrast to classical Long Path DOAS measurements where the light path is fixed. Typical CE-DOAS or BB-CEAS evaluation schemes correct this effect using the measured total light intensity attenuation. This makes them sensitive to any variations of the light intensity not arising from the trace gas absorption. That means an important DOAS advantage, to be independent of total light intensity, is actually lost. In order to cope with this problem, the instrument setup would require a thorough stabilisation of the light source and a very rigid mechanical setup, which would make instrumentation more complex and error prone.

We present a new approach to Cavity Enhanced (CE-) DOAS based on an iterative algorithm (ICAD) which actually models the light path reduction from the derived absorbers in the optical resonator. It allows a sensitive and robust data analysis that does not depend on the total light intensity allowing a simpler and more compact instrument setup. The algorithm is discussed and simulated measurements demonstrate its sensitivity and robustness. Furthermore, a new ICAD-NO\textsubscript{2} instrument is presented. It takes advantage of the advanced data evaluation to build a compact (50 cm cavity) and light weight instrument (<10 kg) with low power consumption (25 W) for sensitive measurements of NO\textsubscript{2} with a detection limit of 0.02 ppbv at an averaging time of 7 minutes. The instrument is characterized with a NO\textsubscript{2} calibration source and good long term stability is demonstrated in a comparison with a commercial chemiluminescence detector. As a new application of ICAD we show measurements on an auto mobile platform to investigate the two dimensional NO\textsubscript{2} distribution in an urban area. The instrument is so robust that even strong vibrations do not lead to any measurement problems.

1 Introduction

Since its development by Perner et al. (1976) and Platt et al. (1979) Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) has been successfully applied to study atmospheric trace gases and their chemistry. It allows the quantitative detection of many important atmospheric trace gases (e.g. NO\textsubscript{2}, NO\textsubscript{3}, O\textsubscript{3}, SO\textsubscript{2}, BrO) by their distinct narrowband absorption structures. Due to the uniqueness of these absorption features DOAS can even separate several trace gases which absorb in the same spectral region, making the measurements virtually free of interferences. This is a great advantage over methods which only rely on monochromatic absorption (e.g. Cavity Ring-Down Spectroscopy), as these cannot distinguish between different overlapping
absorbers, or indirect measurement methods (e.g. Chemiluminescence). A further advantage is the inherent calibration feature of DOAS. However, sensitive trace gas measurements require long optical absorption paths of several 100 meters to several kilometres length. Therefore, DOAS is classically used for remote sensing where long physical light paths are realized between a light source and the instrument (e.g. Long Path DOAS or Multi Axis DOAS). Even though multi reflection cells have been successfully employed (e.g. Volkamer et al., 2001; Buxmann et al., 2012), they usually do not provide sufficiently long path lengths in a small setup and therefore prevent DOAS measurements in many applications where in-situ measurements are required. These applications include measurements at a single sampling point, reaction chamber measurements and mobile measurements. On the other hand, the advantages of the DOAS techniques are desirable, like being calibration gas free, without zero-point drift and independent on total light intensity. DOAS can be applied to in-situ measurements by using an optical cavity, consisting typically of two high reflective mirrors where light is reflected forward and backwards, and at each reflecting a small part of the light is transmitted through the mirror (Fig. 1). Thus, (on average) photons travel a large distance in the cavity before being analysed behind the optical cavity and a sufficiently long light path is achieved with a compact instrumental setup. Optical Cavities were first applied in Cavity Ring Down Spectroscopy by O’Keefe and Deacon (1988), who analysed the ring-down time of pulsed monochromatic laser light at a wavelength matching an absorption peak of the gas under investigation.

Another approach, known as Cavity Enhanced Absorption Spectroscopy (CEAS), uses the time integrated light output of a constant monochromatic light source (e.g. Engeln et al., 1998; O’Keefe et al., 1999; Peeters et al., 2000) and relies on the fact that (on average) photons travel a large distance in the cavity before being analysed. Both techniques share the disadvantage of only making monochromatic measurements (see above). The combination of CEAS with a broadband light source (BB-CEAS) was first realised by Fiedler et al. (2003) using a Xe-arc lamp as light source. In this technique wavelength resolved spectra of the transmitted light are recorded rather than monochromatic light intensities (as in the case of CEAS). This allows to derive absorption spectra which can be analysed with DOAS, leading to Cavity Enhanced DOAS (CE-DOAS) or BB-CEAS DOAS. This technique has been successfully applied for sensitive trace gas measurements by several authors (e.g. Ball et al., 2004; Venables et al., 2006; Varma et al., 2009; Meinen et al., 2010; Kennedy et al., 2011) and has been under constant development since then. However, a severe weakness is the dependence of the data evaluation on the stability of the light source itself, but also on stable light intensity transmission of all optical components (e.g. fibre, lenses and spectrometer). This requires a relatively complicated temperature stabilized and rigid setup, which makes sensitive instruments heavy (typ. typically >30 kg), and requires much maintenance (frequent zero-air reference measurements). Therefore, the so far presented instruments can only be operated in laboratory conditions, larger mobile platforms (e.g. ship or medium size air plane), ships and medium sized air planes, see Zheng et al. (2018), where controlled environmental conditions are present. Applications in harsh environments are in principle possible, but require a high technical effort to achieve the required stability. Furthermore, the high maintenance requirements make unattended long-term operation difficult. Wu et al. (2009) made an attempt for a simplified but more robust NO₂ CE-DOAS instrument for urban measurements. They use mirrors with lower reflectivity (R of 0.9955 instead of R > 0.9995 in typical applications) which generally makes the setup mechanically more robust but comes at the price of a relatively large setup of more than one meter length at a relatively low sensitivity with a detection limit of 4.4 ppbv (2 σ). Thus long-term
measurements and applications in a standard rack-housing, on small mobile platforms (e.g. ultra-light airplanes, cars) or as field portable devices are so far not possible.

This paper describes a new ICAD (iterative CE-DOAS) method which is robust against fluctuations of the absolute light intensity, and thus against instabilities of the light source and mechanical perturbations (e.g. vibrations). First the algorithm is described and analysed using simulated measurements. In the second part a new ICAD instrument is presented which makes use of the ICAD method. The application of the ICAD algorithm allowed us to simplify the instrument and thus to make it compact, light weight and low in power consumption. The instrument is characterized in laboratory tests and during different long term inter comparisons with a commercial chemiluminescence detectors. Finally we show a pilot study where the instrument was successfully applied to measure the two dimensional NO\textsubscript{2} distribution in an urban area. A predecessor CE-DOAS instrument with a simplified analysis scheme was already successfully applied in several measurement campaigns (e.g. Zhu et al. (2018) and the Hohenpeisenberg NO\textsubscript{x} side-by-side intercomparison in the frame of ACTRIS WP3 Deliverable deliverable 3.5).

2 Cavity Enhanced Absorption Spectroscopy and Basic Evaluation Schemes

In brief the principle of a Cavity Enhanced Absorption Spectroscopy system can be described as follows:

Radiation with a broadband spectrum is continuously fed into an optical cavity consisting of two mirrors with reflectivity $R$ (this is assumed for simplicity only, if the two mirror have different reflectivities, one may take the geometric average reflectivity $R = \sqrt{R_1 R_2}$). Initially only a portion $1 - R$ of the radiation emitted by the light source will enter the cavity. Once in the cavity the light is reflected between the mirrors. But at each reflection a fraction $1 - R$ of the light also leaves the cavity (Fig. 1). Light leaving the cavity at the opposite side of the light source is collected by a spectral detection unit (typically a spectrometer). The measured light is composed of photons which have undergone different number of reflections and therefore travelled different distances in the cavity. Thus, an effective light path for the average photon is defined (see Sect. 2.2 and 2.1). The data evaluation is further complicated compared to classical absorption spectroscopy as the length of the effective light path is non-linearly reduced if absorbers or scatterers are present in the cavity (e.g. Platt et al., 2009). Apart from the dependence of the light path length on the trace gas concentration this effect also leads to a distortion of the trace gas absorption bands. This is due to the fact that the light path length in the centre of a band is shorter and thus the absorption weaker than in the wings of the band. This distortion effect can introduce considerable additional errors in the determination.
of trace gas concentrations as we show below. There are two different approaches, termed BB-CEAS and CE-DOAS, which can be used to account for this loss of sensitivity caused by absorbers/scatterers. They are discussed in the following sections.

2.1 Broad Band Cavity Enhanced Absorption Spectroscopy (BB-CEAS)

In the presence of an absorbing/scattering sample, light in the cavity is subject to additional losses. The extinction coefficient \( \varepsilon(\lambda) \) relates these losses to the concentration \( c_i \) and absorption cross section \( \sigma_i(\lambda) \) of the molecules and particles in the air sample \( \varepsilon(\lambda) = \sum_i c_i \cdot \sigma_i(\lambda) + \varepsilon_{b}(\lambda) \). Additional broadband extinction due to (Rayleigh- and Mie-) scattering and due to turbulence is summarized in \( \varepsilon_{b}(\lambda) \). To determine \( \varepsilon(\lambda) \), measurements of the transmitted light intensity conducted with an absorber free cavity \( (I_0(\lambda)) \) and when filled with sample gas \( (I(\lambda)) \) can be related to the extinction coefficient \( \varepsilon(\lambda) \) by summing over all light passes through the cavity \( (1, \text{e.g. see Fiedler, 2005; Zheng et al., 2018}) \). With the single pass absorption length \( d \) (usually equal to the displacement of the cavity mirror) this gives:

\[
\varepsilon = -\frac{1}{d} \ln \left( \frac{\tilde{R}^2 - 1}{2R^2} \frac{I_0}{I} + \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{R}^2 - 1}{2R^2} \frac{I_0}{I} + \frac{1}{R^2}} \right) \tag{1}
\]

For the sake of clarity the wavelength dependency of \( \varepsilon(\lambda) \), \( I_0(\lambda) \), \( I(\lambda) \) and \( \tilde{R}(\lambda) \) was not written out in Eq. (1). Since it is not feasible to record \( I_0(\lambda) \) under vacuum conditions, an effective reflectivity \( \tilde{R}(\lambda) = R(\lambda) \cdot T_0(\lambda) = R(\lambda) \cdot e^{-\varepsilon_0(\lambda) d} \) is used to account for the remaining extinction \( \varepsilon_0(\lambda) \) in the absorber free cavity (e.g. Rayleigh scattering if \( I_0 \) is recorded in clean air the additional extinction processes are Rayleigh scattering and in some spectra ranges absorption the oxygen \( O_2 \) or its dimer \( O_4 \)). In the case of small absorption losses per pass and for high reflectivity mirrors \( \tilde{R}(\lambda) \to 1 \), Eq. (1) can be approximated by a Taylor series expansion to the 1st order as:

\[
\frac{I_0(\lambda)}{I(\lambda)} - 1 = \varepsilon(\lambda) \cdot \frac{d}{d \cdot \varepsilon_0(\lambda) - \ln(R(\lambda))} \sum_{i} c_i \cdot \sigma_i(\lambda) + \tilde{I}_0(\lambda) \varepsilon_b(\lambda) \tag{2}
\]

with \( \tilde{I}_0(\lambda) \) the effective path length of an absorber free cavity. This is the equation most commonly used in BB-CEAS applications. Due to non-linear effects in the cavity, strong absorbers decrease the sensitivity of the measurement, due to on average a shorter path of the photons. In the BB-CEAS equations (1) and (3) this is calculated from changes in the measured light intensity. It is important to note that this assumes absolute stability of the light source intensity and the optical setup between the measurement of \( I(\lambda) \) and \( I_0(\lambda) \). Changes to \( I_0(\lambda) \) or \( I(\lambda) \) which are not due to optical extinction cannot be distinguish from an actual absorber in the cavity. Therefore they lead to an error in the the determination of \( \varepsilon \) (also in the narrow band differential absorption) and thus in the retrieved trace gas concentrations. For example, an absorber which causes a 10 % reduction of the light intensity \( I(\lambda) \) will also reduce the sensitivity by about 11 %. Now, if this intensity change is not caused by an absorber but e.g by a fluctuation of the light source intensity, then the evaluation will overestimate all retrieved trace gas concentrations by 11 %. It is well known that especially the intensity of commonly Intensity drifts of this magnitude are typical for such optical
Figure 2. Measured path length \( L_\text{meas}(\lambda) \) for a zero filled cavity (only Rayleigh scattering and absorption see Sect. 4.2) for two instrument configurations, both used in this paper. Contributions to the Path-Length by mirror reflectivity, Rayleigh extinction and \( O_4 \) absorption are shown for config 1 in the supplement Fig. SF1.

setups, e.g. due to intensity drifts of used light sources like LEDs can easily change so much due to thermal drifts during a measuring period of several hours, which is typical for atmospheric studies. Therefore, BB-CEAS generally requires thermal stabilization of the LED and often of the whole setup, to avoid variability of the transmitted light intensity. This adds additional complexity and weight to the setup and can easily be the cause for errors or changes in the transmission of fibres when their bending radius slightly changes during transport or vibrations. While there are technical solutions for these problems, they add extra complexity, weight, potential sources of hardware failure and often have to be adapted to the environmental conditions (e.g. parameters of the temperature controllers).

2.2 Cavity Enhanced Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (CE-DOAS)

Platt et al. (2009) use a different approach which focuses on a DOAS evaluation of the cavity enhanced measurement. DOAS, which was introduced by Platt et al. (1979), allows the detection of a broad variety of atmospheric trace gases. It decomposes the absorption cross sections \( \sigma_i(\lambda) \) of the trace gases in the broadband portion \( \sigma_0(\lambda) \) into their broadband part \( \sigma_i, b(\lambda) \) with smooth spectral characteristics and the differential part \( \sigma'_i(\lambda) \) which contains the distinct narrowband absorption features of trace gases.

\[
\sigma_i(\lambda) = \sigma'_i(\lambda) + \sigma_i, b(\lambda)
\]

(4)

To derive concentrations \( c_i \) the measured optical density \( D_\text{meas}(\lambda) = \ln[I_0(\lambda)/I(\lambda)] \) is modelled using the differential absorption cross sections \( \sigma'_i(\lambda) \) of the trace gases in the evaluated wavelength range:

\[
D_\text{model}(\lambda) = L \cdot \sum_i \sigma'_i(\lambda) \cdot c_i + P_k(\lambda)
\]

(5)
where \( L \) is the length of the optical light path and \( \mathcal{P}_k(\lambda) \) is a polynomial of order \( k \) which models contributions that vary slowly with the wavelength like scattering, turbulence and broadband absorption. The trace gas concentrations \( c_i \) and the coefficient of \( \mathcal{P}_k(\lambda) \) are then optimized by a linear - non linear Levenberg-Marquardt least square fit to minimize the difference between \( D_{\text{model}}(\lambda) \) and \( D_{\text{meas}}(\lambda) \) (Platt and Stutz, 2008). Therefore, a DOAS evaluation does not depend on the stability of the light source or optical setup as long as there are no narrow-band intensity variations.

For CE-DOAS we define the cavity enhanced optical density as

\[
D_{\text{CE}}(\lambda) := \bar{L}_{\text{eff}}(\lambda) \cdot \left( \sum_i c_i \cdot \sigma_i(\lambda) + \varepsilon_b(\lambda) \right)
\]  

(6)

Note that \( \sigma_i(\lambda) \) is the total absorption cross section. Equation (6) introduces the effective optical path length \( \bar{L}_{\text{eff}}(\lambda) \) which describes the length of the absorption path for an equally sensitive classical (single pass) absorption measurement. As mentioned before \( \bar{L}_{\text{eff}}(\lambda) \) non-linearly depends on the optical density \( D_{\text{CE}} \) of the air sample in the cavity (see Platt et al., 2009).

Mathematically this is expressed by

\[
\bar{L}_{\text{eff}}(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d \cdot \varepsilon_0(\lambda) - \ln(R(\lambda))} \cdot \frac{D_{\text{CE}}(\lambda)}{\varepsilon^{D_{\text{CE}}(\lambda)} - 1} 
\]  

(7)

\( \bar{L}_0(\lambda) \) is the effective path length for the absorber free cavity (\( D_{\text{CE}} \rightarrow 0 \) and thus \( K(\lambda) \rightarrow 1 \)) as present during the \( I_0 \) measurement. Its strong wavelength dependency (see Fig. 2) is mainly caused by the wavelength dependency of the mirror reflectivity \( R(\lambda) \). The manufacturer’s specification of \( R(\lambda) \) is generally not sufficient since small contaminations of the mirror have a strong influence on \( \bar{L}_0(\lambda) \). In order to derive \( \bar{L}_0(\lambda) \) the cavity is typically filled with a reference gas of known extinction (see Sect. 4.2).

\( K(\lambda) \) (second term in equation 7) accounts for the loss of sensitivity for increasing optical density of the gas, which can be caused by high concentrations of the trace gases themselves (see Fig. 3 and 9) or additional broadband extinction due to scattering (especially from aerosols) or turbulence in the cavity. The loss of sensitivity for increasing optical density can be understood since photons which have undergone more reflections (or passes through the cavity) are more likely to be absorbed or scattered out of the light path. Therefore, in the presence of an absorber/scatterer the transmitted light has, on average, travelled shorter paths, effectively decreasing \( \bar{L}_{\text{eff}} \) and thus decreasing the sensitivity. The dependence of \( K(\lambda) \) on the total optical density \( D_{\text{CE}} \) is shown in Fig. 3. For small optical densities below \( 2 \cdot 10^{-2} \) the correction is less than 1% and can be neglected in these cases. However, there are several scenarios where high optical density of several \( 10^{-1} \) up to more than one are common. These cases where \( K(\lambda) \) is important are:

1. Measurements where aerosols are not removed from the air. This is always the case in Open Path CE-DOAS systems where the cavity is open towards the atmosphere, but also some closed path system do not use aerosol filters to avoid losses on the filter surface.

2. If the instrument needs to measure trace gases over a wide concentration range of more than one orders of magnitude. In this case the mirror reflectivities are chosen, so that the lowest concentration to be measured...
Figure 3. Correction factor \( K \) as a function of the cavity enhanced optical density \( D_{CE} \). Note that the correction factor also is wavelength dependent as the optical density \( D_{CE}(\lambda) \) is wavelength dependent.

A cavity enhanced optical density of \( D_{CE,\text{min}} \approx 10^{-3} \). Then according to Fig. 3 a hundred times higher trace gas concentration already requires a correction of \( K = 0.95 \) which quickly increases for higher concentrations. This case is regularly encountered when measuring \( \text{NO}_2 \) in urban areas where the mixing ratio typically varies between few ppbv and more than 100 ppbv and can even reach more than 1000 ppbv in highly polluted locations. Specification of a general correction factor for the derived concentration is not possible, as the correction factor \( K(\lambda) \) is wavelength dependent and originates in the wavelength dependent absorption structure of the absorbing gases. Neglecting this dependency leads to spectral structures in the residual of the DOAS fit, as the band shapes are not reproduced correctly, and thus additionally leads to wrong derived concentrations.

3. If a weakly absorbing trace gas should be measured in the same spectral range as a strong absorber. In this case the mentioned residual structures from the fit of a strong absorber can strongly interfere with absorption structures of the weak absorber, resulting in a large error in the retrieved concentration of the weak absorber or even render its detection impossible. One example where this happens is the measurement of Glyoxal in a polluted urban environment where high \( \text{NO}_2 \) levels are present.

The simplest and most common approach to calculate \( K(\lambda) \) uses the measured optical density \( D_{CE,\text{meas}}(\lambda) = \ln [I_0(\lambda)/I(\lambda)] \):

\[
K(\lambda) = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{I_0(\lambda)}{I(\lambda)} \right)}{T(\lambda) - 1} = \frac{D_{CE}(\lambda)}{\exp(D_{CE}(\lambda)) - 1} \tag{8}
\]

It has to be noted that in this case instrumental fluctuations of the light intensities, e.g. due to instabilities of the cavity or the light source intensity, affect the retrieved trace gas concentrations like in BB-CEAS. In fact, inserting Eq. (7) into Eq. (6) shows that in this case the CE-DOAS (Eq. (6)) and BB-CEAS (Eq. (3)) are equivalent and have the same stability requirements for the light source and mechanical setup, and therefore have the same disadvantages like mentioned for BB-CEAS.
Figure 4. Scheme of the ICAD algorithm

Previous studies tried to solve this problem by using absorbers of known concentration to calculate \( K(\lambda) \) independently from the light intensity, e.g. using \( O_4 \) absorption (Thalman and Volkamer, 2010). However, these approaches only give \( K(\lambda) \) at a single wavelength at a limited accuracy (see Sect. 4.2) and are restricted to few spectral ranges where \( O_4 \) absorption bands are present. Furthermore, as mentioned before, a scalar correction factor does not work for strong differential absorbers as the distortion of their absorption bands can only be corrected by a wavelength resolved \( K(\lambda) \).

3 The ICAD (Iterative CE-DOAS) Method

In this section we introduce a new approach which makes the CE-DOAS evaluation robust against fluctuations of the measured light intensities and therefore allows a simplified optical setup with high measurement accuracy.
Figure 5. **Simulated ICAD evaluations of simulated measurements.** (a) shows the measured spectra, (modelled without photon shot noise) for different NO$_2$ mixing ratios (see Sect. 3.1). Panel (b) shows DOAS fits for a NO$_2$ mixing ratio of 3000 ppbv. It shows the improvement of the DOAS fit from the initial iteration (fitted concentration of 676 ppbv) to the final iteration with optimized fit references (final derived concentration 2999.99 ppbv). In the final iteration the NO$_2$ absorption bands of the simulated optical density are almost perfectly matched, reducing the fit residual by four orders of magnitude. The convergence of the retrieved NO$_2$ towards its true value is shown in panel (c) as a function of the ICAD iteration number. Panel (d) shows the improvement of the fit residual during the iterative evaluation. **In a real measurement the achievable noise levels are limited by the fundamental photon shot noise and instrumental error sources (see Sect. 4.3).**

Assuming that we know the number densities (concentrations) $c_i$ and the total cross sections $\sigma_i(\lambda)$ of all absorbing and scattering species in our sample, as well as the aerosol extinction $\epsilon_{aerosol}$, we calculate the optical density

$$D_{CE}(\lambda) = \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{\tilde{I}_0(\lambda)}{I(\lambda)} \left( \sum_i \sigma_i(\lambda)c_i + \sigma_{ray}c_{air} + \epsilon_{aerosol}(m, \lambda) \right) \right]$$

(9)
and thus compute $K(\lambda)$ according to Eq. (7). For the aerosol extinction a suitable parametrization $\epsilon_{aerosol}(m, \lambda)$ with a parameter vector $m$ can be chosen. Typically an Anstrom exponent approach $\epsilon_{aerosol}(m, \lambda) = m_1 \cdot \lambda^{m_2}$ could be used. Unfortunately, except for Rayleigh scattering, the concentrations $c_i$ and the aerosol parameters $m$ are a-priori not known. But if all absorbers have sufficiently wavelength dependent absorption structures, a DOAS fit could be used to iteratively calculate $D_{CE}(\lambda)$ and thus determine $K(\lambda)$. If an aerosol free air sample can be achieved by passing the air through a suitable aerosol filter prior to the measurement, the procedure is even more simplified. We focus in this paper on this aerosol free realisation, setting $\epsilon_{aerosol} = 0$ in the following. In the presence of aerosols the removal of broadband absorption, as part of the DOAS analysis, needs to be performed with care not to interfere with the aerosol absorption. A basic approach which includes aerosol absorption is discussed in the supplement Sect. S1.

The iterative evaluation scheme, shown in Fig. 4, starts with all trace gas concentrations set to zero. In the first iteration $(n = 1)$ only the known Rayleigh scattering is considered to obtain a first an estimate of the optical density $D_{CE}^{(1)}(\lambda)$. The effect of Rayleigh scattering even vanishes if $I_0(\lambda)$ is recorded in (clean) air, at the same pressure and temperature as $I(\lambda)$. $D_{CE}^{(1)}(\lambda)$ is then used to calculate the effective path length $L_{eff}^{(1)}(\lambda)$ of the first iteration. In order to account for the wavelength dependency of $L_{eff}^{(1)}(\lambda)$, effective reference spectra are computed for each trace gas, using literature data of highly resolved absorption reference spectra $\sigma_i(\lambda)$:

$$\sigma_i^{(1)}(\lambda) = \left( \frac{\sigma_i(\lambda \cdot L_{eff}^{(1)}(\lambda))}{\sigma_i} \right)$$

The highly resolved effective references are then adapted in the usual way (see e.g. Platt and Stutz, 2008) to the lower spectral resolution of the measurement by convolution with the instrument function $H(\lambda)$ of the spectrometer:

$$\Theta_i^{(n)}(\lambda) = H(\lambda) \otimes \sigma_i^{(n)}(\lambda) := \int_0^\infty H(\lambda') \cdot \sigma_i^{(n)}(\lambda - \lambda')d\lambda'$$

The last step of the (first) iteration is a DOAS fit to the measured optical density which uses the narrow band (differential) part of the effective fit references $\Theta_i^{(1)}(\lambda)$, $\Theta_j^{(1)}(\lambda)$ to obtain first estimates for the trace gas concentrations $c_i^{(1)}$. In the subsequent iteration these concentrations are used together with the Rayleigh extinction to compute an improved correction factor $K^{(n+1)}(\lambda)$ and thus $L_{eff}^{(n+1)}(\lambda)$ and $R_i^{(n+1)}(\lambda)$ which are used in a subsequent DOAS fit to get a more accurate set of trace gas concentrations $c_i^{(n+1)}$. This scheme is then repeated until best estimates of the true trace gas concentrations are found. We require as stop criterion, that for all trace gases the DOAS fit error exceeds the change in concentration between the last two iterations. Other criteria could also be used.

In some cases there are strong absorbers with absorption structures much narrower than the spectral resolution of the measurement. Then there is in general no linear relationship between the measured optical density and the trace gas concentrations. This is known as the saturation effect (e.g., Frankenberg et al., 2005). The ICAD algorithm also provides an easy way to correct for this effect. Starting from the second iteration we also can correct for this effect since we have estimates for the fitted trace gas concentrations. The saturation corrected fit references used in the next fit iteration are calculated as

$$\Theta_i^{(n), \text{sat}}(\lambda) = \frac{-1}{c_i^{(n-1)}} \ln \left[ H(\lambda) \otimes e^{-L_{eff}^{(n)} \cdot \sigma_i(\lambda) \cdot c_i^{(n-1)}} \right].$$

(12)
where \( c_i^{(n-1)} \) is the trace gas concentration retrieved in the last iteration.

The numerical convolution of highly resolved spectra is computationally intensive, especially since this has to be done for all fitted trace gases during each iteration. For the ICAD evaluations in this work, we therefore reduce the sampling of the reference spectra to 10000 channels and a spectral resolution of 8 pm. This is still much higher than the spectral resolution of the measurements which is typically in the order of 0.5 nm. In order to reduce computation time, it is desirable to reduce the required number of iteration step to reach convergence. This can be achieved by choosing a starting concentration close to final concentration. In a time series of measurements this is in practice the concentration of the previous data point.

3.1 Simulations using the ICAD Method

In order to test the ICAD method, we evaluate modelled measurements (without noise) with different NO\(_2\) concentrations (1 ppbv, 10 ppbv, 100 ppbv, 1000 ppbv, 3000 ppbv). Furthermore, we compare the ICAD algorithm to evaluations with the BB-CEAS Eq. (3) and investigate their sensitivity to fluctuations in the light intensity. The instrumental parameters for the simulations (mirror reflectivity \( R(\lambda) \leq 99.97 \% \), cavity length \( d=50 \text{ cm} \) and a Gaussian instrument function of the spectrometer \( H(\lambda) \) with an FWHM of 0.5 nm) are based on our new ICAD NO\(_2\) instrument (config 1) described in Sect. 4.1. The spectral range of the simulated data evaluation between 458 nm and 480 nm is also matched to this instrument reduction the light path in the cavity. The intensity \( I_0(\lambda) \) transmitted by the empty cavity is chosen to be unity over the entire spectral range. The intensity transmitted by the absorber filled cavity is then calculated according to Fiedler (2005) as

\[
I(\lambda) = I_0(\lambda) \cdot \frac{T(\lambda)(1 - T_0(\lambda)^2 \cdot R(\lambda)^2)}{T_0(\lambda)(1 - T(\lambda)^2 \cdot R(\lambda)^2)}
\]  

(13)

where \( R(\lambda) \) is the reflectivity of the cavity mirrors, \( T_0(\lambda) = \exp(-\sigma_{\text{ray}} \cdot n_{\text{air}} \cdot d) \) the single pass transmittance of zero air and \( T(\lambda) = \exp\left(-\left(\sigma_{\text{NO}_2} \cdot c_{\text{NO}_2} + \sigma_{\text{ray}} \cdot n_{\text{air}}\right) \cdot d\right) \) for the sample with a NO\(_2\) concentration \( c_{\text{NO}_2}\). The NO\(_2\) absorption is calculated using the laboratory cross section \( \sigma_{\text{NO}_2} \) of Vandaele et al. (2002) and for Rayleigh scattering the cross section \( \sigma_{\text{ray}}(\lambda) \) from Bodhaine et al. (1999) is used. To capture high resolution features of the NO\(_2\) spectrum the intensity \( I \) is calculated at a spectral resolution of 1 pm. In a final step the measured intensity \( I_{\text{meas}}(\lambda) \) is obtained from the high resolution spectrum \( I(\lambda) \) by convolution with the instrument function \( H(\lambda) \). The modelled measurements are shown in Fig. 5(a).

3.1.1 Evaluation with the ICAD Method

The ICAD method is applied to the spectra modelled in the last section. The performance of the iterative algorithm is illustrated in Fig. 5. It converges for all tested mixing ratios. Even for a mixing ratio as high as 3000 ppbv it reaches a precision better than 99.9 % (starting from an initial underestimation of nearly 80 %, i.e. without correction only 20 % of the true value would be found in this - admittedly - extreme case). As expected, the convergence is faster for lower concentrations since they only cause little reduction of the effective light path. The improvement of the DOAS fit from the initial fit iteration to the final iteration with optimized fit references is illustrated in Fig. 5(b). In the initial fit (0th iteration), the shape of the NO\(_2\) absorption bands of the simulated optical density and fit reference show significant differences, leading to strong residual structures with a peak to peak
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distance of \( \approx 8 \times 10^{-2} \). In the subsequent iterations the estimate of the \( \text{NO}_2 \) concentration is improved and thus the correction factor \( K(\lambda) \). In the final iteration, the \( \text{NO}_2 \) absorption bands in the simulated optical density are almost perfectly matched, reducing the fit residual by four orders of magnitude. There are still small residual structures, with increasing magnitude for higher concentrations (Fig. 5(d)). The reason for these small differences is that ICAD (and BB-CEAS) assumes a weak absorber (see Sect. 2), while the calculation of the modelled measurements with Eq. (13) does not make this assumption. However, even for mixing ratios as high as 3000 ppbv the residual is still more than one order of magnitude lower than the optimal noise levels (\( 10^{-4} \) peak to peak), which could be achieved with typical spectrometers, and is thus negligible. It might be surprising that the initial fit in Fig. 5(b) clearly underestimates the \( \text{NO}_2 \) concentration by nearly 80\%, even though the differential structures seem to match the measured optical density quite well. The reason is that additionally a large broad band absorption of \( \text{NO}_2 \) is present, reducing the light path in the cavity and thus leading to an underestimation of the true concentration, without disturbing the differential band shape of the spectrum.

### 3.1.2 Comparison ICAD vs. BB-CEAS

One of the major motivations for the development of the ICAD method is the need for an evaluation which is robust against broadband fluctuations of the measured radiation intensity. We simulated such fluctuations by scaling the amplitude of \( I_0(\lambda) \) with different values (90\%, 70\%, 50\% and 10\%) and repeated the ICAD evaluations for each case. Furthermore, we also evaluated the same spectra with the BB-CEAS method to show the benefits of ICAD. The results (excluding statistical errors due to photon shot-noise) shown in Fig. 6 clearly demonstrate that the ICAD method is insensitive to broadband intensity fluctuations. In contrast to that, using the same intensity fluctuations, the concentrations retrieved by the BB-CEAS evaluation show a linear dependency on the radiation intensity, see red dots in Fig. 6. The deviations of the derived number densities can reach up to 90\%. 

![Image of graph showing comparison of underestimation of true NO2 concentration as a function of relative light source intensity. Values are simulated for ICAD and BB-CEAS methods and exclude effects of photon shot noise.](image-url)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>config 1</th>
<th>config 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mirrors</strong></td>
<td>( R &gt; 99.95% ) range 440 nm to 480 nm</td>
<td>( R &gt; 99.975% ) 440 nm to 445 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity length</td>
<td>50 cm</td>
<td>40 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typical ( L_{o, \max} )</td>
<td>1.4 km</td>
<td>1.6 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LED</strong></td>
<td>CREE XR-E blue, peak 465 nm, FWHM 25 nm</td>
<td>CREE XR-E royal blue, peak 445 nm, FWHM 25 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spectrometer</strong></td>
<td>USB 2000, range (393-531) nm, resolution 0.5 nm</td>
<td>AvaSpec 2048L, range (400-487) nm, resolution 0.5 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO(_2)</strong> Detection Limit</td>
<td>0.2 ppbv at &lt;2 min</td>
<td>0.02 ppbv at 7 min; 0.1 ppbv at 7 s; 0.4 ppbv at 0.5 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glyoxal</strong> Detection Limit</td>
<td>0.23 ppbv at &lt;2 min</td>
<td>0.022 ppbv at 7 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ICAD Fit Settings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>config 1</th>
<th>config 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fit Range</strong></td>
<td>458 nm to 480 nm</td>
<td>438 nm to 464 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOAS Polynomial</td>
<td>3rd order</td>
<td>3rd order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Pass Binomial Filter</td>
<td>1000 iterations</td>
<td>1000 iterations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cross Sections</strong></td>
<td>NO(_2) (Vandaele et al., 2002)</td>
<td>NO(_2) (Vandaele et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O(_4) (Hermans et al., 1999)</td>
<td>H(_2)O (Rothman et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Configurations and fit settings of the ICAD NO\(_2\) instrument used in this publication. Glyoxal detection limits were estimated using Volkamer et al. (2005). Glyoxal was removed from the final fits, as it could not be found in the performed test data analysis.

4 The ICAD NO\(_2\) Instrument

The advantages of the ICAD analysis are used to built a simplified yet very sensitive instrument to measure NO\(_2\) which we call ICAD NO\(_2\). The realised ICAD NO\(_2\) prototype used in this study features a very light weight (<10 kg) and compact design (measuring only \(72 \times 18 \times 28\) cm\(^3\)) with low power consumption (25 W). This is only possible as the ICAD method allows to built a cavity setup without temperature stabilization which greatly reduces the complexity of the setup along with energy consumption and weight. Obviously, this is especially important for mobile applications. Furthermore the long-term stability of measurements is improved as LED degradation has no influence on the measurement.

4.1 Instrumental Setup

The instrumental setup of the ICAD instrument ICAD NO\(_2\) is shown in Fig. 7. Its central element is a 40-50 cm long optical cavity, formed by two high reflective mirrors with 25 mm diameter and 1.0 m radius of curvature. The cavity is enclosed by a PTFE tube with gas connectors, forming a closed measuring cell. As light source a blue high power LED is used (see Table 1). Since the ICAD method does not depend on the absolute stability of the light intensity, in contrast to all other CE-DOAS and CEAS instruments we do not need a complicated thermal stabilization and use a simple heat sink to passively cool the LED. The light emitted by the LED is coupled into the cavity by a plano-convex lens \((f=25\) mm). Light leaking out on the opposite side of the cavity is focused into a multimode fibre \((d=400\) nm, \(NA=0.22\)) by another plano-convex lens \((f=50\) mm). The fibre feeds the light into a compact spectrograph with an 0.5 nm spectral resolution, which is well suited for selective DOAS measurements of NO\(_2\) in UV-VIS range. The DOASIS software (Kraus, 2006) is used for automated acquisition and on-line evaluation of the
spectral data. A membrane pump with a maximum flow rate of 3 l/min is used to draw air into the measuring cell which has a volume of 0.3 l. Before entering the measuring cell, the air has to pass through a Teflon filter (2 µm pore size) which removes aerosols while it does not influence the NO\textsubscript{2} concentration. The zero air for \textit{I}_0 reference measurements is produced from a NO\textsubscript{2} scrubbing system as we found the usual application of clean air gas cylinders to be impractical for field applications.

The scrubber system consists of two cartridges one filled with activated carbon and one with silica gel, which are known NO\textsubscript{x} scrubbers. This zero air is found to contain undetectable NO\textsubscript{2} (<0.05 ppbv) at ambient air NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations up to 100 ppbv.

Due to further developments we present the instruments in two configurations summarised in Table 1. Config 1 is the original setup and config 2 utilizes improvements in LED and mirror technology as well as a better optomechanical setup for an improved instrument performance. A detailed analysis of the instrument performance is given in Sect. 4.3.

4.2 Path Length Calibration

As explained in Sect. 2.2, an accurate knowledge of the effective optical path length \(L_0(\lambda)\) for an absorber free cavity is required in order to determine trace gas concentrations from ICAD or CE-DOAS Measurements. The optical path length can be determined from (1) wavelength resolved Cavity Ring Down (CRDS) measurements (e.g. Langridge et al., 2008; Laurila et al., 2011), (2) the differential absorption by a trace gas with known concentration (e.g. NO\textsubscript{2}: Langridge et al., 2006), or (3) the intensity change caused by gases of different Rayleigh scattering cross section (Washenfelder et al., 2008).

1. Even though calibration with wavelength resolved CRDS measurements has several advantages (independence of a stable light source) it requires additional components which are incompatible with the goal of a simple and light weight instrumental setup.

2. The differential absorption of NO\textsubscript{2} has previously been used to calibrate absorption path lengths (e.g. Langridge et al., 2006). However, this turns out be impractical for field campaigns since NO\textsubscript{2} mixtures are not stable in gas cylinders and

![Diagram of the ICAD instrument](image)

\textbf{Figure 7.} Schematic drawing of the ICAD instrument.
would have to be produced on site. Generally, calibration gas mixtures are problematic for field campaigns since they are difficult to obtain in many countries and shipment of compressed gas cylinders is complicated (and often expensive) due to safety regulations.

Alternatively one could use the O$_4$ absorption peak at 477 nm to determine the path length. This measurement is in principle easier as it only requires zero air and nitrogen as oxygen free reference gas (which is much cheaper and easier obtained than calibration gases in most countries). This could also be used to recalibrate the effective light path during measurements (Thalman and Volkamer, 2010). However, the DOAS fit of O$_4$ is relatively imprecise with errors greater than 10%, firstly, because the effective optical path length (Fig. 2) decreases by more than 25% over the FWHM of the 477 nm peak which influences the band shape and thus lowers the quality of the fit. Secondly, this method only uses a single and relatively broad absorption band which makes the fit result very sensitive to the degree of the DOAS polynomial. Finally, this method only gives the absorption path length only at a single wavelength and thus would need an additional calibration to determine the wavelength dependency of $L_0(\lambda)$.

3. We derive the path length using Rayleigh scattering as described in Washenfelder et al. (2008) by purging the cavity with helium followed by a purge with zero air for reference (thus it also contains a small contribution from O$_4$ Absorption at 477 nm which is accounted for in the evaluation). It has the advantage that Rayleigh scattering varies (relatively) slowly with wavelength ($\propto \lambda^{-4}$) thus allowing to determine the path length smoothly over the entire spectral range, whereas the calibration with trace gases can only be applied at discrete wavelengths where they have absorption structures. Helium and zero air are chosen because their Rayleigh scattering coefficients differ by almost two orders of magnitude. This makes a relatively large intensity change between both measurements of about 2% per 1 km optical light path which is important for an accurate $L_0$ measurement. Furthermore, helium also has the advantage, that it can be obtained easily in most countries which helps to avoid shipping of gas cylinders. The disadvantage of this method is that it uses absolute spectroscopy and therefore has higher requirements on the stability of the measured light intensity during calibration. In this case absolute stability is required only for a very brief period of time (less than 15 min) to flush the cavity with the calibration gases (e.g. He and Zero-Air). In the presented setups, the relative intensity drift over a calibration sequence is found to be about $5 \times 10^{-4}$ giving an relative path length error of 2% (for $L_0=1.4$ km) which is almost an order of magnitude more precise than the tested calibration with O$_4$. The He Rayleigh scattering cross section used for the evaluation is interpolated from the measurements of Shadanand and Rao (1977) using a $\lambda^{-4}$ dependency. For air, the Rayleigh scattering cross section is calculated according to Bodhaine et al. (1999). The O$_4$ absorption is accounted for using the reference cross section from Hermans et al. (1999).

Figure 2 shows the result of a path length calibration for both instrument configurations. The curves are smooth and can be represented by a 7th order polynomial over the desired evaluation range. They have a maximum of $L_0(\lambda_{max} = 459\text{ nm})=1.4$ km (config 1) and $L_0(\lambda_{max} = 445\text{ nm})=1.65$ km. These correspond to a maximum mirror reflectivities of $R=99.96\%$ (config 1) and $R=99.98\%$ (config 2) which are consistent with the manufacturers specification of $R \geq 99.95\%$ and $R \geq 99.975\%$ respectively.
4.3 Characterization of the instrument

4.3.1 Measurement Accuracy

Ideally the accuracy of an optical measurement is only limited by the photon shot noise of the measured intensity signal. Therefore we would expect that the uncertainty of the measurement decreases with the square root of the integration time. The same also applies to other sources of white noise (e.g. in the detector electronic). However, the real measurement process is also influenced by systematic error sources such as instrumental drifts. Therefore, signal averaging (i.e. increasing the integration time) can only be used to reduce the measurement uncertainty as long as white noise dominates over other error sources. The aim of this section is to investigate the relationship between the integration time and the measurement uncertainty and thereby find the best (i.e. lowest) detection limit achievable with our setup (we used setup config 2).

Since it is very difficult to produce a stable NO₂ over long time periods we used NO₂ free zero air to characterize the instrument performance. A long time series of 78181 subsequent zero air spectra at an integration time of 0.52 seconds (65 scans at 8 ms exposure time) was recorded (total acquisition time 11.9 h). The spectra were further processed to create averaged time series with integration times between 0.52 s and 3591.12 s. The spectra were then analysed with the ICAD method using the fit settings from Table 1. Figure 8 shows three exemplary time series at 0.52 s, 10 s and 200 s integration time in different grey colours which show the improvement of the signal to noise ration with increasing signal averaging.

For each time series with integration time τ and m + 1 data points y_k(τ), the Allan variance was calculated (Allan, 1966; Werle et al., 1993)

\[
\sigma^2_A(\tau) = \frac{\left\langle \frac{(y_{k+1}(\tau) - y_k(\tau))^2}{2} \right\rangle}{2} = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} (y_{k+1}(\tau) - y_k(\tau))^2
\]

Figure 8 shows the Allan deviation \(\sigma_A(\tau)\) which is the square root of the Allan variance. This so called Allan plot is used to determine the optimal integration time (e.g. Werle et al., 1993; Weibring et al., 2006; Langridge et al., 2008). As long as the measurement uncertainty is dominated by white noise the Allan deviation decreased with the square root of the integration time until the optimal integration time of 423 s is reached. Beyond this point instrumental drifts and non-white noise dominate and thereby cancel the improvement by signal averaging, leading to no further reduction or even (as in our case) an increase of the Allan deviation. Figure 8 also shows the DOAS fitting error in dependence of the averaged spectra, which is a good estimate for the 1σ measurement uncertainty as long as the measurement are in the white noise dominated regime (Stutz and Platt, 1996). We therefore determine the optimal 2σ NO₂ detection limit of this instrument (in config 2) as 0.02 ppbv (20 pptv) at an optimal integration time of 423 s.
Figure 8. Results from long-term zero-air measurements, recorded with instrument config 2. They are used to examine the instrument performance at different integration times. Spectra were recorded at 0.52 s integration time. Signal averaging was applied to create further time series with longer integration times up to 3591 s. ICAD evaluation was applied to the spectra according to Table 1. The bottom graph shows the time series for different integration times. The top graph shows the $1\sigma$ Allan deviation as a function of integration time. We used its minimum to determine an optimal integration time of 423 s. Beyond this point signal averaging does not improve the instrument performance due to drifts and non-white noise. Also the $1\sigma$ DOAS fit error is shown which also show an optimal $2\sigma$ detection limit of 0.02 ppbv in 423 s.

4.3.2 Validation with Calibration Gas

Laboratory measurements were conducted to characterize the NO$_2$ ICAD, with air samples of different NO$_2$ mixing ratios. For these measurements the instrument was used in config 1 (see Table 1). The calibration source produced well defined NO$_2$ mixing ratios by gas phase titration of NO with O$_3$ (see supplement Fig. S1 SF3). During the measurements the air flow through the cavity was adjusted to 1 l/min. The spectra were acquired at 53 ms exposure time and 2000 individual scans were added for each spectrum to improve the signal to noise ratio. NO$_2$ was evaluated according to Table 1. Figure 10 shows the NO$_2$ fit results for typical atmospheric mixing ratios of 14.95 ppbv and 72.45 ppbv. The standard deviation of the residual spectra is $1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ and $2.7 \times 10^{-4}$ respectively. From this setup we estimate, for setup config 1, that we can detect a unambiguous measurement of NO$_2$ unambiguously down to a detection limit of 0.2 ppbv. The precise ICAD fit with very low achievable
Residual spectra also at high NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations allows also the detection of weak absorbers like Glyoxal. Such precise fits at high NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations are typically not achieved with BB-CEAS instruments (e.g. Liang et al., 2018). Figure 9 shows the correlation between the ICAD measurements and the NO\textsubscript{2} levels from the calibration source.

An almost perfect linear relation with a correlation coefficient of R=0.99997 is found when the data is evaluated with ICAD. The linear fit shows the absolute accuracy of the NO\textsubscript{2} retrieval with a slight overestimate of (0.5±0.3) % lying well within the expected uncertainty of the path length calibration of 2 %. The small offset of (2.6±0.1 ppbv) is most likely due to an impurity in the zero air gas cylinder from the NO\textsubscript{2} calibration source. For comparison the data was also evaluated with a classical DOAS analysis which does not consider the reduction of the effective path length \( L_{\text{eff}} \) in the presence of an absorber. For low mixing ratios below 20 ppbv the error is negligible. However, for larger concentrations of NO\textsubscript{2} the path length reduction becomes important, which leads to significantly underestimated NO\textsubscript{2} mixing ratios by classical DOAS (e.g. 11 % for 110 ppbv NO\textsubscript{2}) as expected from the simulations presented in Sect. 3.1 above.

5 Applications

The following sections show first applications of the ICAD NO\textsubscript{2} instrument.

5.1 Long-Term Measurements

5.1.1 Measurements Using Config 1

In order to study the long-term behaviour of the ICAD NO\textsubscript{2}, ambient air measurements were conducted for a period of 24 days in February 2010 at the rooftop of the Institute of Environmental Physics in Heidelberg (IUP-HD) using config 1 (see Table 1). The sampling location is approximately 40m above street level and 100m from the next main road. The ICAD NO\textsubscript{2} was operated similar to the laboratory study from Sect. 4.3.2. Once an hour a solenoid valve switched from ambient air...
Figure 10. Exemplary ICAD (configuration 1) fit results for gas mixtures of $(15.0 \pm 0.3)$ ppbv a), b) and $(110.1 \pm 0.6)$ ppbv c), d). Panels on the left side show the measured optical density (blue) and the fitted NO$_2$ cross section. Panels on the right side show the corresponding fit residuals.

Figure 11. Time series for the long-term NO$_2$ comparison between the ICAD NO$_2$ (instrument configuration 1) and a commercial CLD/BLC.
measurements to the zero air system to automatically recorded reference measurements. Like in the previous section spectra were acquired with a time resolution of 106 s giving a NO\textsubscript{2} detection limit of 0.2 ppbv.

For comparison, NO\textsubscript{2} was simultaneously measured by a CLD 770 AL ppt, a commercial NO chemiluminescence monitor from ECO Physics with a 44 s time resolution. Since a CLD can only measure NO an additional photolytic NO\textsubscript{2} to NO converter (BLC) is used. The difference in NO between subsequent measurements with activated and deactivated converter allow to determine the NO\textsubscript{2} concentration. This assumes a constant NO level between subsequent measurements. For atmospheric measurements the change of NO concentration between subsequent measurements introduces an error to the measured NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations. Using the average of the NO measurement before and after the converter measurement reduced the error but the NO\textsubscript{2} values at 44 s time resolution still show large fluctuations which are much higher than the expected atmospheric NO\textsubscript{2} variations (see Fig. 11). The non-physical noise of the CLD NO\textsubscript{2} measurements could be greatly reduced when 10 min averages are used, but is still not enough for a comparison to the ICAD NO\textsubscript{2} measurements. In order to analyse the CLD noise, the general trend (interpolated 4 s trend) is subtracted from each data point before computing the standard deviation. Data deviating from the 4 s trend of the 10 min intervals, Intervals with a deviation of more than 3.5 ppbv are classified as non-physical data and sorted out. This filtered CLD/BLC NO\textsubscript{2} measurements are used for comparison with the ICAD time series.

As shown in Fig. 12, very good linear correlation (R=0.9976) is found between the NO\textsubscript{2} mixing ratios from ICAD and CLD/BLC. It also shows, that the CLD/BLC measurements are systematically 9 % lower compared to the CE-DOAS. However, this still lies within the uncertainty of the realised calibration of the CLD/BLC (≈10 %). Therefore it can be concluded that the ICAD instrument correctly measures NO\textsubscript{2} under atmospheric conditions and derives with a much higher accuracy the real NO\textsubscript{2} concentration at a high time resolution. A further analysis where the time series was divided in three day intervals showed that the relative calibration between the two instruments was stable over the entire time series (variation less than 3 %). This shows the good long-term stability of the ICAD Instrument.

Figure 12. Correlation plot between ICAD NO\textsubscript{2} and CLD/BLC measurements.
5.1.2 Measurements Using Config 2

A similar inter-comparison was repeated with the two ICAD NO₂ config 2 and a HORIBA APNA 370 CLD. The same sampling location at the rooftop of the IUP-HD was used. Measurements were conducted for a period of 28 days between February and March 2017.

The ICAD NO₂ was called A and B were operated at a time resolution of 5 sec and used the same zero air reference during the entire comparison. A re-calibration of the instrument was not necessary.

APNA 370 CLD primarily measures NO and uses a heated molybdenum NO₂ to NO converter to indirectly measure NO₂. It provides NO₂ measurements with a running mean of 3 min as it has to continuously switch between NO and NOₓ measurements like the CLD in the last section. The indirect NO₂ measurement with the molybdenum converter has known problems of cross-interferences to other substances, but also known drifts of the converter efficiency which makes a calibration prior to the comparison advisable. Therefore the APNA 370 CLD was calibrated with a NO₂ permeation source from the Hessian agency for nature conservation, environment and geology (HLNUG, Wiesbaden, Germany). The permeation source was itself calibrated few days before in a laboratory of HLNUG and provided a constant NO₂ concentration of (80.5±0.5) ppbv.

Both the ICAD NO₂ and the APNA 370 CLD were measuring ambient air from the same sample line. ICAD NO₂ A and the APNA 370 CLD were both connected to a solenoid valve which could be automatically switched to the permeation source. Since the permeation source did not provide enough air flow for a third instrument, ICAD NO₂ B could not measure simultaneously. Once a day the sample line was switched first to zero air for about an hour and successively to the permeation source for another hour. This way we could test if there were any zero point or calibration drifts of the instruments. The results of the inter-comparison are shown in Fig. 13 which shows the intercomparison of NO₂ concentrations measured with measured by the ICAD NO₂ A and the APNA 370 CLD. It shows a very good linear correlation (Pearson’s R of 0.9993) with an almost perfect 1:1 correspondence. The APNA 370 CLD measured less than 1% lower concentrations compared to the ICAD NO₂ which is within the calibration accuracy. The narrow spread of permeation source measurements in Fig. 13 show that both instrument have no significant drifts in their calibration. The intercomparison between ICAD NO₂ A and B (see supplement SF4) also shows an very good linear correlation between both instruments (Pearson’s R of 0.999) with a slope of 1.007 ± 5·10⁻⁴ and a very low offset of (0.04 ± 0.01) ppbv. A further analysis of the zero air measurements show that both all three instruments have a stable zero-point.

5.2 Mobile Measurements

The combination of the simple and robust ICAD NO₂ setup with its sensitivity to urban NO₂ concentrations for the first time allows the application of ICAD to car based NO₂ in-situ measurements using a cavity setup. This is of interest because the concentration of NO₂ in urban areas is known to have a strong spatial and temporal variability, due to the large number of NOₓ emitting point sources (mainly traffic) that can be found in densely populated areas (e.g. Mayer et al., 1999). On the other hand, air monitoring networks in urban areas which continuously measure NO₂ only at a few fixed locations, and thus are unable to capture the spatial variability. Hence, it can be assumed that there are areas with much higher or lower pollution
Figure 13. Correlation plot between ICAD NO₂ and APNA 370 CLD measurements.

Figure 14. Overview on the car based measurements in the greater Mannheim-Ludwigshafen urban area. The colour of the route segments corresponds to the local NO₂ mixing ratio. The areas marked on the map are: 1) Mannheim city centre, 2) Mannheim rail road shunting yard, 3) Mannheim suburbs Altrip and Rheingönheim, 4) BASF industrial plant, 5) main road B44, 6) Highway A6, 7) suburb Bobenheim-Roxheim. The yellow pins show the locations of the LUBW, air quality monitoring stations in the measuring area. The map overlay was created with Google Earth. Map information provided by MapQuest, OpenStreetMap, ODbL.

compared to the values at the official measuring sites. **To improve the air quality better knowledge of the sources and the concentration distribution are required.**
For a pilot study in March 2010 the ICAD NO$_2$ instrument (configuration 1) was installed in a car to investigate the NO$_2$ distribution in the greater Mannheim-Ludwigshafen urban area (Germany). These are to our knowledge the first car based measurements of such a cavity based setup. The air measured by the instrument was sampled at 2 m above the ground using a Teflon tube attached outside on the right side of the car. The air sample flow was adjusted to 2 l/min which gives a time constant of $\leq 12$ s for a 70 % gas exchange in the measuring cell. This results in a spatial resolution of 167 m at a speed of 50 km/h. The integration time per spectrum was adjusted to 6 s giving a NO$_2$ detection limit of 1 ppbv which is sufficient for an urban area.

The route shown in Fig. 14 was selected to cover residential areas, industrial areas, the city centre and highways to get a representative coverage of the area. A strong spatial variability of the measured NO$_2$ is found along our track (see Fig. 14). Low NO$_2$ mixing ratios are generally found in the suburban/residential areas with low traffic and no industry. Elevated NO$_2$ levels are found in the city centre of Mannheim and on the Highway (A6) both areas with high traffic volumes and next to BASF (Ludwighafen) the largest industrial plant in the area.

A brief comparison to air quality stations operated by the State Office for the Environment, Measurements and Nature Conservation of the Federal State of Baden-Württemberg (LUBW) can be found in the supplement Sect. S1-S2.

6 Conclusions and Outlook

We developed a new ICAD (iterative CE-DOAS) method, a further development of the CE-DOAS technique from Platt et al. (2009) which makes the measurement independent of broadband variations of the light intensity. This solves one of the major problems of BB-CEAS/CE-DOAS instruments which so far relied on an absolute stability of the light intensity, not only of the light source but also all light transmitting components like the mechanical setup and fibre which easily could change due to vibrations. The new ICAD method is applicable to all closed path CE-DOAS instruments, the method works especially easy and reliable when aerosols are removed from the sampled air before it enters the cavity.

Simulated Data evaluations showed that the ICAD method correctly retrieves the trace gas concentrations (better than 99.9 %), even for situations where the effective light path in the optical cavity is reduced by nearly 80 %. This demonstrate that ICAD correctly accounts for the non-linear behaviour of CE-DOAS/BB-CEAS measurements. Furthermore, we showed that the NO$_2$ concentrations retrieved by the ICAD data evaluation are independent of broadband variations of the light intensity compared to a BB-CEAS evaluation of the same data where the retrieved NO$_2$ linearly changed with the light intensity which is a known BB-CEAS problem.

We used the benefits of the ICAD method to develop a new simplified instrument for the detection of NO$_2$ at a detection limit of 0.02 ppbv (averaging time of $\approx 7$ min) for monitoring. The system is distinguished by its compact setup only measuring $72 \times 18 \times 28$ cm$^3$, its low weight (10 kg) and low power consumption (25 W). It especially does not need temperature stabilization of the light source or the mechanical setup which is a major contribution to the mentioned improvements. We also developed a simple system for zero-air generation which uses silica gel and activated carbon for NO$_2$ scrubbing, thus allowing routine operation without compressed gas cylinders which is an important simplification especially for campaign or mobile operation.
The absolute accuracy of our ICAD NO$_2$ was verified in the laboratory using a NO$_2$ calibration source where we found an almost perfect 1:1 correspondence with an error of less than 2% and a correlation coefficient of $R=0.99996$. The good accuracy was verified under ambient air conditions during two 24 and 28 days long inter-comparison with a commercial NO/NO$_2$ chemiluminescence detectors (CLD 770 AL ppt with BLC and Horiba APNA 370). The inter-comparison also showed the good long-term stability of the instrument and a significant calibration drift could not be observed.

We found that our ICAD NO$_2$ instrument is so robust that even strong vibrations, as encountered in a car during driving, do not disturb the measurement accuracy, allowing a simple application to mobile platforms. This was demonstrated in a pilot study where the instrument was applied to car measurements in the Mannheim-Ludwigshafen urban area, which are to our knowledge the first car based measurements with a cavity instrument.

On the basis of the here presented ICAD method and instrument an even smaller ICAD NO$_2$ instrument (size 40x30x13cm$^3$) was developed by Airyx GmbH (see www.airyx.de).

The ICAD Method was patented with PCT/EP2016/068344, US and CN patents pending.
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S1 ICAD Evaluation Including Aerosols

In this section we give a brief discussion of an ICAD evaluation with Aerosols. The aerosol extinction, typically modeled with an Angstrom exponent $\varepsilon_{\text{aerosol}}(m, \lambda) = m_1 \cdot \lambda^{-m_2}$, has a relatively broadband wavelength dependency compared to trace gasses. However, due to the strong wavelength dependency of the path length $L_{\text{eff}}(\lambda)$, the effective reference spectrum

$$\sigma_{\text{aerosol}}(\lambda) = (L_{\text{eff}}(\lambda) \cdot \varepsilon_{\text{aerosol}}(m, \lambda))$$

is sufficiently wavelength dependent. Therefore, it can be distinguished from other broadband variations, like instrumental intensity drifts e.g. of the LED, which do not take place in the cavity and therefore are not modulated with the path length curve. We used the simulations from section 1.3 plus aerosol extinction with a typical Angstrom exponent of 1.7 and Gaussian noise ($\sigma = 10^{-4}$). As an example we chose 10 ppbv NO\textsubscript{2} and a peak aerosol optical density of 20\%. Figure SF2 shows the

ICAD Evaluation with aerosol absorption. Fit settings of config 1 (Table 1) are adapted, to get a good aerosol retrieval. A broader fit range 441 nm to 494 nm has to be chosen to include both wings of the aerosol fit reference (the shape of the aerosol extinction arises due to the mirror reflectivity), the DOAS Polynomial is set to first order and the High Pass Binomial filter is removed. The ICAD evaluation correctly retrieves (9.97 ± 0.03) ppbv NO\textsubscript{2} which shows that the reduction of the light path by aerosol absorption is correctly accounted for by the correction factor $K(\lambda)$. This demonstrates that ICAD can in principle also be used in the presence of aerosol extinction. To derive optimal trace gas concentrations under real measurement conditions it is probably advisable to make a two stage fit. The first stage would a the broad fit range and reduced high pass filtering like in this example in order to retrieve the aerosol extinction. For an optimal trace gas fit, the second stage would include the aerosol extinction with fixed parameters and use the narrower fit range and high pass filtering, e.g. according to config 1 (Table 1). Further studies with aerosol application are beyond the scope of this manuscript.

S2 Comparison of Mobile Measurements to Air Quality Stations

The temporal variation of the NO\textsubscript{2} levels during the measurement cruise (from section 5.2) is further compared to the hourly NO\textsubscript{2} levels measured by the air quality stations operated by the State Office for the Environment, Measurements and Na-
The hourly averages of the ICAD NO\textsubscript{2} measurements are similar to the LUBW stations. This indicates that the large scale average of the NO\textsubscript{2} mixing ratio is well represented by the air quality network. However, on the local scale the ICAD time series shows a strong variability with peak values being almost four times higher than the hourly average. The peak levels of NO\textsubscript{2} regularly exceed 105 ppb, the hourly EU limit value. Such peak values are especially found in street canyons where ventilation is limited and at intersections of big roads where cars frequently accelerate from a standstill position. This hints, that there are areas where we have NO\textsubscript{2} levels are strongly underestimated by the average values from the monitoring stations, and therefore require a reassessment of the air quality. However, to make a certain statement on the air quality measurement drove from this pilot study would need to be repeated over a longer time period and also would need to revisit the same points at different times of day to reduce systematic errors induced by traffic pattern and meteorology.

\footnote{Data taken from the data and map service (UDO) of the LUBW. \url{https://udo.lubw.baden-wuerttemberg.de} Accessed 04-15-2018}
Figure SF1. Loss per light pass in the resonator for the ICAD instrument config 1. The corresponding path length curve $\bar{L}_0(\lambda)$ is shown in Figure 2. It can be seen that the dominant contribution to the path length comes from the mirror reflectivity $R(\lambda)$. The contribution of Rayleigh scattering is almost two orders of magnitude lower and O4 absorption is almost negligible. Higher mirror reflectivities can be used to increase the path length as long as they contribute the dominant loss process.
Figure SF2. ICAD Evaluation for a simulated spectrum with aerosol extinction. Simulations are based on section 1.3 with 10 ppbv NO$_2$ plus aerosol extinction with a typical Angstrom exponent of 1.7 and a peak aerosol optical density of 20% and Gaussian noise ($\sigma = 10^{-4}$). Fit settings are based on config 1 (Table 1). A broader fit range 441 nm to 494 nm has to be chosen to include both wings of the aerosol fit reference, the DOAS Polynomial is set to first order and the High Pass Binomial filter is removed. The ICAD evaluation correctly retrieves (9.97 ± 0.03) ppbv NO$_2$ which shows that the reduction of the light path by aerosol absorption is correctly accounted in the correction factor $K(\lambda)$. 
Figure SF3. Measurement setup for the laboratory measurements. To produce NO$_2$, NO from a calibration gas cylinder is titrated with O$_3$, generated photolytically from zero air from a gas bottle. Two calibrated mass flow controllers (MFC1, MFC2) provide a constant gas flow. They are adjusted to ensure that the gas phase titration is completed to at least 99% after one third of the residence time in the reaction volume. After the titration the gas mixture is further diluted with zero air to achieve a NO$_x$ mixing ratio of 114 ppbv. During the measurement all gas flows are kept constant. To produce different NO$_2$ mixing ratios the O$_3$ concentration is adjusted through the electrical current of the UV-light source. Simultaneously to the NO$_2$ ICAD measurements, the NO mixing ratio in the sample gas is measured by a calibrated NO Chemiluminescence Detector (Eco Systems, CLD 770 Al ppt). This allows to calculate the exact NO$_2$ mixing ratio from the comparison with a measurement at deactivated ozone generator as the total NO$_x$ mixing ratio is constant ($X_{NO_2} = X_{NO_x} - X_{NO}$).
Figure SF4. Correlation plot between ICAD NO₂ A and B. The NO₂ concentrations show a very good linear correlation (Pearson’s R of 0.999) with a slope of $1.007 \pm 5 \times 10^{-4}$ and a very low offset of $(0.04 \pm 0.01)$ ppbv.
Figure SF5. NO$_2$ time series for the automobile measurements (instrument configuration 1). The line graph shows the ICAD measurements at full time resolution. Additionally the hourly averages from the ICAD and four air quality stations are shown for comparison. The line graph has the colour of an air quality station at time intervals where the car is closer than 1.5 km to the station.