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Thank you for making valuable comments on this paper. It’s our pleasure to address your comments in details below.

Reviewer #1 Comments and suggestions: Liang et al., (2018) presented an IBBCEAS at 425-475 nm for measuring the ambient NO$_2$ and glyoxal simultaneously. The detection capacity is as good as previously works reported by Min et al., (2016). This study showed the improvement of retrieving NO$_2$ and glyoxal by measuring and applied the NO$_2$ cross section in field measurement, as the convolved NO$_2$ cross section affected the retrieve of glyoxal due to the grating spectrometer had nonuniform dispersions when NO$_2$ is high. While the paper seems missed several important details, such as the introduction of the retrieve method (DOASIS or others?); the production of the glyoxal standard, the experimental description of the measurement of NO$_2$ cross section in field condition without the absorption of glyoxal. Additionally, the cross section of glyoxal is encouraged to measure by the developed IBBCEAS system and glyoxal standard at lab, which may also improve the measurement. All the details should be given and the following comments should be addressed before published at AMT.

Reply: Thanks for the constructive comments and the recommendation of a publication of the paper after making revisions to address these comments and suggestions. We use DOASIS software to retrieve data. We re-write the sentence on line 2 of page 5 as “Finally, the absorber concentrations can be retrieved from the measured broadband spectrum via the DOASIS program (Kraus, 2006).”. The standard gas generator for glyoxal was designed by Prof. Xin Li and Dr. Jingwei Liu from Peking University. The test for glyoxal sample gas was done with their help. So, we add Jingwei Liu and Xin Li as co-authors. We measured the NO$_2$ reference spectrum in the experiment and applied it to the field test. In order to make the statement clearer, we add the sentence “Samples of NO$_2$ in N$_2$ were prepared by flow dilution from a standard cylinder containing 5 ppm NO$_2$ in N$_2$.” on line 12 of page 10. Since both the measured reference spectrum and the real atmospheric measurements share the same instrument (i.e. the grating spectrometer) function, the spectral fitting effect may be improved by using the measured glyoxal reference spectrum. However, the absorption due to NO$_2$ (above 12 ppbv) is more than 100-fold higher than that due to a typical 0.1 ppbv glyoxal in the atmosphere. And it is difficult to obtain a known accurate concentration of glyoxal standard gas.

Comments and suggestions: What is the purpose of section 3.4.2, the five lower normalized CHOCHO concentrations are calculated by the dilution flow? What the
offset -2.14 ppb mean in figure 6(b)?

Reply: Thanks to the reviewer’s comment. The section 3.4.2 indicates the linearity of the IBCEAS instrument response. Five low normalized CHOCHO concentrations are calculated by the dilution flow ratio. In order to make the statement clearer, we add the sentence “Here, the normalized mixing ratio is calculated based on the dilution flows,” on line 8 of page 9 in the revised version. When diluting high concentrations of glyoxal gas with high purity nitrogen, we use a gas pump to mix it evenly. The material of the air pump may absorb some glyoxal. We add the sentence “The intercept value of -2.4 ppbv may be due to the loss of glyoxal onto the surfaces exposed the gas samples during the experiment.” on line 8 of page 9 in the revised version. We re-write the sentence on line 7 of page 9 as “Figure 6b shows the average of these concentration gradients and the normalized mixing ratios, with high linearity (R2 = 0.9996).”.

Comments and suggestions: Page 3 line 18, here the purge flow is added in each end of cavity with the same flow rate of 0.1 sL/min?

Reply: Yes. In order to make the statement clearer, we re-write this sentence as “Each cavity mirror was purged with the constant flow of dry nitrogen at a rate of 0.1 sL min⁻¹...”.

Comments and suggestions: The details information of high reflectivity mirrors should be given, such as the radius of curvature of mirrors, as well as the details of the LED.

Reply: Thanks for your suggestions. Information about the radius of curvature of high reflectivity mirrors and the model of the LED has been added in the revised version.

Comments and suggestions: What is the role of rotameter valve in this system in Figure 1?

Reply: The rotameter is used to increase the resistance of air in the bypass gas line. The combination of a mass flow controller and a rotameter maintains a constant gas flow through the optical cavity. We re-write the sentence on line 20 of page 3 as “The combination of a mass flow controller and a rotameter maintained a constant combined sample and purge gas flow rate of 1.2 sL min⁻¹...”.

Comments and suggestions: How about the change frequency of the filter membrane in field measurement?

Reply: In the field test, we changed the filter membrane approximately once a day. In heavy polluted weather conditions, we will increase the frequency of replacing the filter membrane approximately twice a day.

Comments and suggestions: Eqs(3): please add (λ) as _abs(λ) and _i (λ)

Reply: Thanks for your reminding. The corresponding changes have done in the revised version.

Comments and suggestions: Page 5 line 16, the HITRAN database 2012 should add the reference.

Reply: A reference has been added in the revised manuscript.

Comments and suggestions: Page 5 line 11, reword “greater than 0.99994” and give the exact value.

Reply: An exact value is used in the revised manuscript.

Comments and suggestions: Page 6 Eqs(4), the O4 signal is the measured spectrum signal or the retrieve concentration of O4 at purge on or off condition?

Reply: The O4 signals is the retrieve concentration with and without the N2 purge flows, respectively. In order to make the statement clearer, we re-
write the sentence on line 8 of page 6 as “Here, the O4 signals were the retrieve concentration of O4 with and without the N2 purge flows, respectively.”.

Comments and suggestions: Figure 4, why the same dataset for the NO2 and glyoxal Allan variance has such a big difference?

Reply: I think the difference in the absorption cross sections between NO2 and glyoxal leads to a big difference in their Allan variances. The absorption cross section of NO2 has more and larger absorption structures in the blue light band. Therefore, NO2 is more advantageous when NO2 and glyoxal together fit the same nitrogen spectrum. As shown in Figures 4(a) and (b), the value of NO2 obtained by retrieval is larger than that of glyoxal. Since the fitted value of glyoxal is smaller than NO2, glyoxal is more susceptible than NO2 under the same external interference. So, there is a big difference in the Allan variance between NO2 and glyoxal, and the optimum integration time of the instrument for glyoxal is shorter.

Comments and suggestions: Figure 6, is the normalized mixing ratio calculated by the dilution flows?

Reply: Yes. In order to make the statement clearer, we add the sentence “Here, the normalized mixing ratio is calculated based on the dilution flows.” on line 8 of page 9.

Comments and suggestions: This paper highlights the importance of the using of measurement-based NO2 reference spectrum, while the determination of the measurement-based NO2 reference spectrum is missed, how about the NO2 standard and the quantification of NO2 standard.

Reply: Thanks for the comments. In order to make the statement clearer, we add the sentence “Samples of NO2 in N2 were prepared by flow dilution from a standard cylinder containing 5 ppm NO2 in N2.” on line 12 of page 10.

Comments and suggestions: Page 13, line 13-14 this sentence is confused, please reword it.

Reply: Thanks for the comments. We can use either the convolution-based NO2 reference spectrum or the measured NO2 reference spectrum to retrieve NO2 concentration, so there are two uncertainties for NO2, respectively. In order to make the statement clearer, we re-write the sentence on line 13-14 of page 13 as “The propagated errors (summed in quadrature) are estimated to be 6.7% for NO2 when convolution-based NO2 reference spectrum was used or 6.9% when measurement-based reference spectrum was used, and 7.3% for CHOCHO using convolution-based literature reference spectrum”.

Comments and suggestions: Page 11, line 4, Fig. 9. The standard deviation of the fit residual from fig.7, fig. 7 change to fig. 8.

Reply: Thanks for your reminding. The corresponding change has done in the revised version.