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This is a well written article. Most of my comments were already addressed during the pre-review stage. I still think that the manuscript would benefit from a more detailed comparison of this new electrical discharge method with the existing corona ion sources, but it’s not absolutely necessary. In my opinion, the paper is publishable once all comments by the 2 reviewers have been addressed.

Minor comments pg 7 - “Mathieu differential equations” please provide a reference

Figure 8 - have you plotted the CIMS against the SMEAR SO2 data? Consider adding the fit parameters (slope, intercept, r) to the text.

A comment on the other reviewer’s comment regarding the MPI group being the only one to report PAA data: The detection of PAA by iodide CIMS is chemically similar to detection of peroxynitric acid (PNA) that was reported by Veres et al. ACP 2015, 15(4), 8101. In fact, my group’s iodide CIMS is very sensitive to PAA - we just haven’t reported any data as we havn’t developed a calibration source to determine response factors and customized the inlet setup as the Crowley group has done.