Separation of the optical and mass features of particle components in different aerosol mixtures by using POLIPHON retrievals in synergy with continuous polarized Micro-Pulse Lidar (P-MPL) measurements
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Abstract. The application of the POLIPHON (POLarization-Lidar PHOtometer Networking) method in synergy with continuous 24/7 polarized Micro-Pulse Lidar (P-MPL) measurements to derive the vertical separation of two/three particle components in different aerosol mixtures, and the retrieval of their particular optical properties, is presented for the first time. The procedure of extinction-to-mass conversion, together with an analysis of the Mass Extinction Efficiency (MEE) parameter, is described, and the relative mass contribution of each aerosol component is also derived in a further step. The general POLIPHON algorithm is based on the specific particle linear depolarization ratio given for different types of aerosols, and can be run either 1-step (POL-1) or 2 steps (POL-2) versions in dependence on the either 2- or 3-component separation. In order to illustrate this procedure aerosol mixing cases observed over Barcelona (NE Spain) are selected: a dust event occurred on 5 July 2016; smoke plumes detected on 23 May 2016; and a pollination episode observed on 23 March 2016. In particular, the 3-component separation isjust applied for the dust case: a combined POL-1 with POL-2 procedure (POL-1/2) is used, and additionally the dust fine contribution to the total fine mode (dust fine plus non-dusty aerosols) is estimated. The high dust impact occurred in the first part of the day yields a mean mass loading of 0.6 ± 0.1 g m⁻² due to the prevalence of Saharan dust coarse particles in comparison with that obtained for the second part of the day, just a 34% out of previous value, showing a rather weak dust incidence. In the smoke case, the arrival of fine biomass burning particles is detected at altitudes as high as 7 km height. The smoke signature, also mixed with larger less depolarizing non-smoke aerosols, is observed along the day in dependence on the singular air masses origin with height, from either North America fires or the Arctic area, as reported by HYSPLIT backtrajectory analysis. The particle linear depolarization ratio for smoke shows values in the 0.10-0.15 range, even higher at given times, and the daily mean smoke mass loading is 0.017 ± 0.008 g m⁻², around 3% out of that found for the dusty event. Pollen particles are detected up to 1.5 km height from 10:30 UTC on during an intense pollination event with a particle linear depolarization ratio ranging between 0.10 and 0.15. The maximal mass loading of Platanus pollen particles is 0.011 ± 0.003 g m⁻², representing around 2% out of the dust loading.
during the higher dust incidence. Regarding the MEE derived for each aerosol component, their values are in agreement with other referenced in the literature for those specific aerosol types examined in this work: 0.5 ± 0.1 m² g⁻¹ and 1.7 ± 0.2 m² g⁻¹ are found for dust coarse and fine particles, respectively; 4.5 ± 1.4 m² g⁻¹ is derived for smoke, and 2.4 ± 0.5 m² g⁻¹ for non-smoke aerosols with Arctic origin (a MEE value close to that reported for Arctic aerosols: 2.17 m² g⁻¹, as supposed larger aerosols than those biomass burning particles); and a MEE of 2.4 ± 0.8 m² g⁻¹ is obtained for pollen particles, though it can reach higher/lower values depending on a predominant smaller/larger size of the pollen grains. Results reveal the high potential of the P-MPL system, a simple polarization-sensitive elastic backscatter lidar working in a 24/7 operation mode, to retrieve the relative optical and mass contributions of each aerosol component along all the day, reflecting the daily variability of their properties. Moreover, the method has the advantage to be relatively easily applicable also to spaceborne lidars with an equivalent configuration such as the ongoing Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) onboard NASA/CALIPSO (Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations), and the forthcoming Atmospheric Lidar (ATLID) onboard ESA/EarthCARE mission.

1 Introduction

It is widely known that atmospheric aerosols contribute to climate change due to their effects (direct and indirect) in the Earth’s energy budget. Different types of aerosols present different radiative properties and thus contribute in a different way to climate change (Boucher et al., 2013; Myhre et al., 2013). As far as estimations of aerosol direct radiative forcing are concerned, the knowledge of the aerosol types under study is thus critical. The aerosol direct radiative properties involved in radiative transfer calculations are the particle extinction (scattering + absorption) coefficient, single scattering albedo (the ratio of scattering to extinction), asymmetry factor g as defined as the intensity-weighted average cosine of the scattering angle, and their vertical distribution. Referring to the factors important in constraining the radiative effect of aerosols, Boucher et al. (2013) stated “Particularly important are the single scattering albedo (especially over land or above clouds) and the AOD”, the aerosol optical depth, i.e., the column-integrated aerosol extinction. These two parameters can be estimated by or recalculated from the output of lidar-stand-alone algorithms such as Müller et al. (1999), Veselovskii et al. (2002) or Böckmann et al. (2005) which employ state-of-the-art elastic-Raman lidar measurements at several wavelengths. Such advanced measurements are scarce, compared with the large database of elastic lidar measurements worldwide. For this reason, synergetic algorithms recently combine data from multi-wavelength elastic lidar and passive instrumentation to retrieve the extinction or both the extinction and the single scattering albedo at several wavelengths and discriminating between fine and coarse mode. Such algorithms are the LIDAR-Radiometer Inversion Code-LIRIC (Chaikovsky et al., 2016), and the Generalized Aerosol Retrieval from Radiometer and LIDAR Combined data-GARRLIC (Loparin et al., 2013). New GARRLIC is embedded in a more generalized algorithm called the Generalized Retrieval of Atmosphere and Surface Properties inversion code-GRASP (Dobrovik et al., 2014). The drawback of these algorithms is that they apply to at least three-wavelength elastic systems, while a majority of single- and dual-wavelength elastic systems are operating worldwide. For such systems, less sophisticated, the only way of discriminating between aerosol types is to have a
polarization-sensitive channel, the discrimination principle is based on the comparison of the particle depolarization ratio measured with two reference particle depolarization ratios corresponding to two types of particles: one highly and one poorly depolarizing, previously identified. Such a method was first formulated by Chen et al. (2001) and then used by Shimizu et al. (2004) for the observation of Asian dust in China and Japan with one elastic and one depolarization sensitive channel. Since 2009, the method has been used in an increasing number of studies to discriminate between dust and smoke (Tesche et al., 2009, 2011), ash and fine mode particles (Ansman et al., 2011, 2012; Sicard et al., 2012); pollen and background particles (Noh et al., 2013; Sicard et al., 2016a). Very recently this method, known as the Polarization-Lidar PHOTometer Networking (POLIPHON), has been refined by Mamouri and Ansmann (2014) to retrieve up to three aerosol components such as fine and coarse dust and non-dust particles. POLIPHON is also the basis of the retrieval of ice nuclei number concentration in desert dust layers (Mamouri and Ansmann, 2015) and cloud condensation nucleus number concentration (Mamouri and Ansmann, 2016). In addition to their effects on climate, atmospheric aerosols are also known to have an important impact on human health when they are inhaled. For example, exposure to anthropogenic particles (pollution) is clearly identified as a public health hazard causing acute and chronic effects to the respiratory and cardiovascular systems (Dockery et al., 1993; Künzli et al., 2000; WHO, 2003). Airborne pollen grains produced by wind-pollinated plants are responsible of allergic reactions when inhaled by humans (Cecchi, 2013). More recently, Martin and Chiappello (2013) highlighted the role of desert dust on meningitis epidemics. Toxicological studies are currently aiming to identify which particle characteristics are responsible for which adverse health effects (e.g., particle number, mass, size, surface, chemical composition). Among these properties what aerosol lidars can probably estimate the best is mass concentration when the aerosol type has been previously identified. However, mass concentration retrievals from lidar data are not common and there is very little information available on the vertical distribution of aerosol number and mass concentrations, although a number of field experiments involving research and commercial aircraft have measured aerosol concentrations (Heintzenberg et al., 2011). Mass concentration profiles can be obtained by multiplying the lidar-derived extinction coefficient by the mass extinction efficiency, sometimes also called the specific extinction cross-section, when the latter is known or can be assumed. This conversion is often used to convert lidar-derived optical properties into mass concentration to test and evaluate transport models (Pérez et al., 2006; Sicard et al., 2015). Lately, POLIPHON is also used to extract from the total extinction the fractions of the high/moderate/low depolarizing particles which can then be converted separately into mass concentration (Mamouri and Ansmann, 2014; 2017). The method has been used for the estimation of the profile of mass concentration of dust (Ansman et al., 2011, 2012), volcanic ash (Ansman et al., 2012; Sicard et al., 2012) and pollen (Sicard et al., 2016b). It is worth mentioning that another field that would greatly benefit from the knowledge of the aerosol mass concentration profile is the air traffic, as large particles can damage aircraft engines. By way of example, let's recall the impact of the ash-loaded eruption plume from the Icelandic Eyjafjallajökull volcano on European air traffic in 2010 (Pappalardo et al., 2013).

The aim of this paper is to show the potential of simple lidar systems, with one elastic and one depolarization sensitive channel, to discriminate between several aerosol types and retrieve for each aerosol component the profiles of their optical properties and mass concentrations. The instrument used is the polarized version of the Micro-Pulse Lidar (P-MPL), the standard system within NASA/MPLNET (Micro Pulse Lidar NETwork) network (mplnet.gsfc.nasa.gov).
sited in the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC) in Barcelona (BCN) at the northeastern Spain. The P-MPL is an elastic and monochromatic low-energy system which includes also a depolarization-sensitive channel, operating in an automatic and continuous 24/7 mode. The algorithm used to optically discriminate components in aerosol mixtures is the POLIPHON method, both 1-step and 2-step versions, in order to assess the vertical separation of a maximum of three aerosol components. The synergistic use of P-MPL-POLIPHON is tested with aerosol mixtures containing specific climate-relevant aerosols, namely desert dust, fire smoke and pollen. It should be noted that this is the first time that POLIPHON, well established for sophisticated powerful European Aerosol Research Lidar Network (EARLINET, www.earlinet.org) lidars, is applied to worldwide and continuous simple elastic P-MPL measurements. Moreover, the method has the advantage to be relatively easily applicable also to spaceborne lidars with an equivalent configuration such as the ongoing Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) onboard NASA/CALIPSO (Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations) which has two elastic and one depolarization-sensitive channel, and the forthcoming Atmospheric Lidar (ATLID) onboard EarthCARE (future ESA mission to be launched in 2019) which will have a high-spectral resolution receiver and a depolarization channel. The paper is organized as follows: Section 1 presents the introductory framework; the methodology is introduced in Section 2, which breaks down in the description of the measurement station and of the selected aerosol cases (Sect. 2.1), as well as the lidar system used in this paper (Sect. 2.2), an extended overview of the POLIPHON method (Sect. 2.3) and a detailed extinction-to-mass conversion procedure (Sect. 2.4); Section 3 shows the results and their discussion for each case (dust, smoke and pollen). Finally, a summary of the work and the main conclusions are presented in Section 4. In addition, a list of acronyms (symbols) identifying the parameters/variables used in the work is shown in Appendix A.

2 Methodology

2.1 Measurement station and selected aerosol case studies

Barcelona (BCN) station is an urban site located at the North East Iberian Peninsula (41.4°N, 2.1°E, 115 m a.s.l.), by the coast of the Mediterranean Sea, in the North campus of the Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya (UPC) in the centre of the Barcelona city. The typical background aerosol is a mixing of polluted particles with a minor contribution of marine aerosols, only predominant under particular clean conditions; other aerosol types, such as desert dust, fire smoke, pollen, etc., are also frequently found (Sicard et al., 2011). BCN is a well-established EARLINET station, besides a recent MPLNET site, where a polarized Micro-Pulse Lidar (P-MPL) is in routine operation since 2014. BCN is also a NASA/AERONET (Aerosol Robotic Network; aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov) site.

In this work, three case studies of different aerosol mixtures (dust, fire smoke and pollen, all mixed with local background aerosols) observed over BCN are examined in order to introduce the combined application of POLIPHON in synergy with continuous P-MPL measurements for the separation of, in particular, Saharan dust aerosols, fire smoke plumes and pollen particles, from other aerosols mixed with them. Those selected dust, smoke and pollen cases occurred on 5 July, 23 May and 23 March 2016, respectively. HYSPLIT backtrajectory (Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model Version 4 developed by the NOAA’s Air Resources Laboratory (ARL);
Draxler and Hess, 1998; Stein et al., 2015; Rolph et al., 2017) analysis is used to confirm the presence of dust and smoke over BCN for each particular case. HYSPLIT backtrajectories are calculated for those days ending over BCN at high altitudes (> 2000 m a.g.l.) on 5 July 2016 only for the first part of the day, whereas North Atlantic air masses are arriving at lower heights (see Fig. 1, a-c panels) during the second part of the day, air masses at any altitude are also mostly coming from North Atlantic and central Spain regions (see Fig. 1, d-f panels), but not from Saharan desert. On the other hand, smoke plumes detected on 23 May 2016 over BCN seem to be arriving from North America fires using 10-day backtrajectories; depending on the altitude and time of the arrival, air masses are coming from either Canada and USA areas carrying fine biomass burning particles or Arctic region with larger aerosols in comparison with those smoke particles (see Fig. 1, g-i panels). The pollen case was selected in the period March-April as the day with the highest peak of daily pollen concentration. Such a peak occurred on 23 March 2016 and the most abundant taxon was Platanus. Belmonte (2016) counted a near-surface concentration of around 1700 grains of Platanus taxon per cubic meter in Barcelona downtown on 23 March 2016. This value is close to the daily values found in the pollination event of March 2015 also in Barcelona described by Sicard et al. (2016) as particularly strong in terms of pollen concentration. These results will be discussed in detail together with those obtained for each aerosol case in Section 3.

2.2 Polarized Micro-Pulse lidar (P-MPL) system

The polarized Micro-Pulse lidar system (P-MPL v. 4B, Sigma Space Corp.) acquires vertical aerosol profiles with a relatively high frequency (2500 Hz) using a low-energy (∼ 7 μJ) Nd:YLF laser at 532 nm. The P-MPL acquisition settings follow the NASA/MPLNET requirements of 30 s integrating time and 15 m vertical resolution. Polarization capabilities rely on the collection of two-channel measurements, i.e., the signal measured in the so-called ‘co-polar’ and ‘cross-polar’ channels of the instrument, denoted as $c_{pp}(z)$ and $c_{cp}(z)$, respectively (see Sigma Space Corp. Manual, 2012, for more details).

$\delta^p$ is defined as (hereafter, the dependence with height is omitted for simplicity)

$$\delta^p = \frac{p^c}{p^p}$$

(1)

where $p^p$ and $p^c$ represent, respectively, the parallel and perpendicular P-MPL range-corrected signals (RCS, also called Normalized-Relative-Backscatter signals, NRB). By adapting the methodology described in Flynn et al. (2007), the linear volume depolarization ratio $\delta^p$ for a MPL system can be easily expressed as

$$\delta^p = \frac{\chi_{pp} - \chi_{cp}}{\chi_{pp} + 2 \chi_{cp}}$$

(2)

Indeed, both RCS signals can be expressed in terms of those P-MPL co- and cross-channels, i.e., $p^p = c_{pp} + c_{cp}$ and $p^c = c_{cp}$ (see Flynn et al., 2007, for more details), being the total RCS: $p^{tot} = p^p + p^c = c_{pp} + 2 c_{cp}$. Final corrected $p^{meas}$, $p^p$ and $p^c$ are obtained using the procedure described in Campbell et al. (2002) and Welton and Campbell (2002). In order to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), both $p^p$ and $p^c$ are hourly-averaged signals in this work. However, higher uncertainties are found for daytime measurements due to the SNR decrease. Relative
uncertainties estimated for the main parameters as derived from P-MPL measurements are shown in Table 1 (references included).

The particle linear depolarization ratio \( \delta _{p} \) is calculated by the procedure shown in Cairo et al. [1999], and expressed as

\[
\delta _{p} = \frac{R \times \delta E \times (\delta_{\text{mol}} + 1) - \delta_{\text{mol}} \times (\delta E + 1)}{R \times (\delta_{\text{mol}} + 1) - (\delta E + 1)},
\]

where \( R \) is the backscattering ratio \( (R = \frac{\beta _{a}}{\beta _{m}}) \), being \( \beta _{m} \) and \( \beta _{a} \) the molecular and particle backscatter coefficients, respectively, and \( \delta_{\text{mol}} \) is the molecular depolarization ratio. In particular, the filters of the P-MPL optical-receiving system presents a spectral band lower than 0.2 nm (Sigma Space Corp. Manual, 2012), producing a temperature-independent \( \delta_{\text{mol}} \) of 0.00363 according to Behrenst and Nakamura (2002). The particle backscatter coefficient \( \beta _{a} \) is obtained by applying the Klett-Fernald (KF) algorithm (Fernald, 1984; Klett, 1985) to \( P^{e} \) profiles obtained from P-MPL measurements in synergy with simultaneous sun-photometer measurements that provide ancillary data of the Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD), that is the constraint condition for KF inversion convergence. Hence, a vertically-averaged lidar ratio (LR, extinction-to-backscatter ratio, denoted as \( \Sigma _{a} \)) can be also estimated by using this KF iterative approach in P-MPL measurements, since the LR value varies in each iteration, reaching the convergence once the relative difference between the lidar-derived height-integrated particle extinction profile \( e^{\text{P-MPL}} (= \Sigma _{a} \sigma _{a}(z) = \Sigma _{a} \Sigma _{a} \times \beta _{a}(z)) \) and the AERONET AOD is lower than a given convergence factor (see Córdoba-Jaboneru et al., 2014, for more details of this iterative convergence method applied to specific MPL measurements).

In this study, a convergence factor of 1 % is applied (relative uncertainties found for \( \Sigma _{a} \) are 5-10 %, see Table 1). AERONET data, both AOD and the Ångström exponent (AEx), are also hourly-averaged in order to coincide with the 1-h averaging applied to P-MPL measurements.

2.3 POLIPHON method

2.3.1 General features

The POLIPHON (Polarization-Lidar PHOtometer Networking) method was developed at the Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research (TROPOS, www.tropos.de) for application in polarization-lidar measurements in order to separate the optical properties (backscatter, extinction) of aerosol mixtures into their components with clearly different particle depolarization ratios. POLIPHON can run two ways: as 1-step retrieval (POL-1 approach hereafter) or in 2 steps (POL-2 approach hereafter), retrieving the separation of two or three aerosol components, respectively. A complete description of the POLIPHON discrimination technique can be found in Mamouri and Ansmann (2014). In particular, the POL-1 approach is successfully applied for separation of dust from biomass burning smoke particles (Tesche et al., 2011; Ansmann et al., 2012), and volcanic ash aerosols from other fine particles (Ansmann et al., 2012), and the POL-2 approach is used for partition of dust coarse and fine components and their discrimination from other non-dusty aerosols (marine, anthropogenic pollution) (Mamouri and Ansmann, 2017).

In this work, as stated before, the separation of the optical properties of dust, smoke and pollen particles from their mixtures with other aerosols is performed by applying POLIPHON to P-MPL measurements. The POL-1 approach
(2-component separation) is used for the selected smoke and pollen cases as occurred on 23 May 2016 and 23 March 2016, respectively, over BCN, in order to discriminate the smoke (SM) signature from other non-smoke (NS) aerosols, and the pollen (PL) particles from other local background aerosols (BA). The dust case observed on 5 July 2016 is examined to present the separation into three components: dust coarse (Dc), dust fine (DF) and non-dusty (ND) aerosols. However, particularly for this case, instead of the POL-2 approach only, a combined version of POLIPHON using together both POL-1 and POL-2 approaches (namely POL-1/2) is applied (Mamouri and Ansmann, 2017). A more detailed description of this POL-1/2 retrieval, and its use in this work, is shown in Section 2.3.7. In general, one of the constraints of POLIPHON is that it is based on the appropriate selection of the linear depolarization ratio for each of the three specific aerosol types. Table 2 shows the typical values assumed for each specific aerosol type.

In particular, in the dust case $i = 1$ is denoted for total dust (DD), and $2$ for non-dusty (ND) by using POL-1, and $1$ for dust coarse (Dc), $2$ for dust fine (DF), and $3$ for non-dusty (ND) by using POL-2 in the smoke case $i = 1$ stands for smoke (SM), and $2$ for non-smoke (NS) by using POL-1 and $1$ for pollen (PL), and $2$ for local background aerosols (BA), likely a mixture of small pollution particles mostly present in the urban environment of Barcelona city, by using POL-1. After separation of the different aerosol components, the respective extinction coefficients are calculated by assuming LR values typical for each aerosol type: 55 sr for dust (Dc and DF components) (Mamouri and Ansmann, 2014), 70 sr for smoke plumes (Groß et al., 2013), and 50 sr for pollen particles (Sicard et al., 2016).

After the backscatter fraction for each aerosol component is presented along the day, as expressed in terms of the total (sum of all the components) height-integrated backscatter coefficient $\bar{b}$, and the relative ratio between the specific height-integrated backscatter coefficient for each aerosol component $b_i$, and the total $\bar{b}$, i.e., the $\frac{b_i}{\bar{b}}$ ratio (%), as calculated from the continuous 24/7 P-MPL measurements.

2.3.2 POL-1/2 approach applied to the dust case: combined POL-1 and POL-2 versions

In dusty events, POL-1 is used to separate dusty (DD) from non-dusty (ND) aerosols instead of POL-2 is a 2-step approach used to first (step 1) separate Dc particles from the total fine mode (DF + ND) (ND are assumed to be only fine aerosols as composed mostly of small pollution particles, since AODs are large enough for neglecting the marine impact), and then (step 2) that fine contribution is separated into DF and ND particles (see more details in Mamouri and Ansmann, 2014). In the overall POL-2 procedure, the depolarization ratio for the total fine (DF+ND) mixture (i.e., the residual fine depolarization ratio), $\delta_{DF+ND}$, must be either assumed or known. In our case, $\delta_{DF+ND}$ can be estimated by a combined algorithm that uses both POL-1 and POL-2 versions (POL-1/2), as also reported by Mamouri and Ansmann (2017). In particular, the statement that the backscatter coefficient profiles obtained from the POL-1 retrieval for the DD (Dc+DF) component, $\beta_{BD}(x)_{POL-1}$, is identical to the sum of the backscatter coefficient profiles for the dust coarse (Dc) and dust fine (DF) retrieved independently by the POL-2 version (i.e., $\beta_{BD}(x)_{POL-2}$ and $\beta_{DF}(x)_{POL-2}$ respectively) must be fulfilled that is,

$$\beta_{BD}(x)_{POL-1} = \beta_{BD}(x)_{POL-2} + \beta_{DF}(x)_{POL-2}.$$  

(4)
For that purpose, first, \( \beta_{D0}(z)_{POL-1} \) profiles are derived, then, a set of both \( \beta_{D0}(z)_{POL-1} \) and \( \beta_{D0}(z)_{POL-2} \) is obtained for several \( \delta_{DF+ND} \) values ranging between the specific depolarization ratios of Df particles (\( \delta_{DF} = 0.16 \)) and ND aerosols (\( \delta_{ND} = 0.05 \)) (see Table 2). Those \( \delta_{DF+ND} \) are iteratively introduced with steps of 0.01 in the POL-2 approach point-to-point along the whole profile in order to obtain an optimal \( \delta_{DF+ND}(z) \) profile, which must satisfy that the two terms of the equality in Eq. (4) are equal at each z-point. For instance, the minimal value obtained for the root square differences, \( \Delta \), between both terms in Eq. (4) at a given \( z \), is

\[
\min(\Delta(z)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n} \left[ \left( \beta_{D0}(z)_{POL-1} - (\beta_{D0}(z)_{POL-2} + \beta_{Df}(z)_{POL-2}) \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}
\]

(5)

is used as proxy in that iteration process. Hence, those \( \min(\Delta) \) are achieved for a given \( \delta_{DF+ND} \) along the whole profile, the optimal vertical \( \delta_{DF+ND}(z) \) profile is determined. Moreover, since \( \delta_{DF+ND}(z) \) is defined in a good approximation as

\[
\delta_{DF+ND}(z) = \delta_{DF} \times \gamma(z) + \delta_{ND} \times (1 - \gamma(z))
\]

(6)

where \( \gamma(z) \) and \( 1 - \gamma(z) \) are, respectively, the fraction of each Df and ND components as contributed to the total fine (DF+ND) mode mixture, this contribution of each aerosol fine component to the total fine mode can also be estimated with height. Once \( \delta_{DF+ND}(z) \) and \( \gamma \) are optimally determined, the total particle backscatter coefficient profiles \( \beta'(z) \) can be separated into all three components \( \beta_{D0}, \beta_{DF} \) and \( \beta_{ND} \) for the dust case by applying POL-2 (step 2) retrieval (see Manouri and Ansman, 2014, for more details). Hence, their relative contribution (i.e., the \( \frac{\beta_{DF}}{\beta_f} \) ratio, %) can be also derived.

For comparison, a columnar \( \delta_{DF+N} \) value is also calculated using the same POLIPHON procedure as described before, but the minimum of the root mean square differences, \( \Delta \), between both terms in Eq. (4), is

\[
\min(\Delta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n} \left[ \left( \beta_{D0}(z)_{POL-1} - (\beta_{D0}(z)_{POL-2} + \beta_{Df}(z)_{POL-2}) \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}
\]

(7)

is used instead as the proxy applied in the iterative retrieval (\( n \) stand for the number of z-points along the overall profile). For instance, Figure 2 shows the particle backscatter coefficients profiles as obtained from either POL-1 (\( \beta_{D0} \) and \( \beta_{ND} \)) or POL-1/2 (\( \beta_{D0} \) and \( \beta_{DF} \), being \( \beta_{D0} + \beta_{DF} = \beta_{DF} \)) and \( \beta_{DF} \) approaches at two times (02:00 and 16:00 UTC) on 5 July 2016, using both the optimal \( \delta_{DF+ND}(z) \) profile (Fig. 2a), and the columnar \( \delta_{DF+ND} \) (Fig. 2b). Discrepancies are observed in both the dust and non-dust components by using a single columnar \( \delta_{DF+ND} \) value instead of the optimal \( \delta_{DF+ND}(z) \) profile. For comparison between Fig. 2a and 2b, differences are clearly found in \( \beta_{D0} \) at 02:00 UTC, picked at around 4.5 km height, as derived from either POL-1 or POL-1/2, in addition to those found for \( \beta_{D0} \) in comparison with \( \beta_{D0} \) and \( \beta_{Df} \) (particularly evident at 16:00 UTC, with \( \beta_{D0} \approx \beta_{Df} \) between 1 and 2 km height) (see Fig. 2b). These results highlight the use of a height-resolved \( \delta_{DF+ND} \) rather improves the retrieval. Indeed, the use of a single columnar (no height-resolved) \( \delta_{DF+ND} \) (and \( \gamma \)) in the retrieval can be inadequate due to the plausible variability of the relative fraction of Df particles to the total fine (DF+ND) mode with height. In particular, this is
corroborated looking at the optimal height-averaged $\delta_{\text{eff}}$ values obtained at 02:00 and 16:00 UTC are, respectively:

$0.12 \pm 0.04 (\gamma = 66 \pm 32 \%)$ and $0.09 \pm 0.05 (\gamma = 40 \pm 38 \%)$, in comparison with those columnar $\delta_{\text{eff}}^C$ values found at 02:00 and 16:00 UTC, respectively: $0.14 (\gamma = 82 \%)$ and $0.06 (\gamma = 9 \%)$.

2.4 Extinction-to-mass concentration conversion

2.4.1 General procedure

The conversion from extinction ($\alpha$, m$^{-1}$) to mass concentration ($M$, g m$^{-3}$) is performed for each component (i) by means of the so-called Mass Extinction Efficiency (MEE, or also mass-specific extinction coefficient) ($k$, m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) by using the following relationship (Ansmann et al., 2012; Córcoles-Jabonero et al., 2016) at each altitude $z$:

$$M_i(z) = \frac{\alpha_i(z)}{k_i} \tag{8}$$

The effective MEE ($k_{\text{eff}}$, m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), linking the total aerosol extinction from all aerosol components (i.e., AOD) to the Total Mass Concentration (TMC), is given by:

$$k_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\text{AOD}}{\text{TMC}} \tag{9}$$

where TMC = $\sum_i M_i$ represents the total mass loading in g m$^{-2}$, with $M_i$ the height-integrated mass concentration for each component (i.e., $M_i = \sum_z M_i(z) \Delta z$, with $\Delta z$ the height resolution). $k_{\text{eff}}$ is a measure of the predominant particle size; $k_{\text{eff}}$ values lower and higher than 1.5 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ are representative of large and small particles, respectively, as reported by the Optical Properties of Aerosols and Clouds database (OPAC: www.pole-etage.fr). The mass contribution or fraction of each aerosol component is expressed by the relative ratio between $M_i$ and $\text{TMC}$ (i.e., $M_i / \text{TMC}$ (%)).

Columnar MEE values can be obtained from AERONET data and the particle density ($P_d$, g cm$^{-3}$) assumed for each aerosol component examined in this work by using the expression (Ansmann et al., 2012):

$$k_{c,f} = \frac{\tau_{c,f}}{P_d \times V_{c,f}} = \frac{1}{P_d \times V_{c,f} \times \tau_{c,f}} \tag{10}$$

where $k_{c,f}$ designates the MEE for coarse and fine modes, as denoted by subscripts ‘c’ and ‘f’, respectively. Similarly, $V_{c,f}$ (10$^{-12}$ Mm) and $\tau_{c,f}$ are the AERONET volume concentrations and extinction values, respectively, for the coarse and fine modes. $c_{c,f}$ are the corresponding so-called extinction-to-volume conversion factors.

Indeed, one strategy is to obtain the actual $c_{c,f}$ values, and then the $k_{c,f}$ using typical particle densities, from AERONET sun-sky photometer observations performed simultaneously with P-MPL observations, as long as the separated aerosol components can be identified as composed of pure coarse or fine particles. Table 3 shows the AERONET parameters involved in the extinction-to-mass conversion ($V_{c,f}$, $\tau_{c,f}$) at selected times for each aerosol case together with those typical particle densities $P_d$ for each aerosol component. In particular, $P_d$ values assumed for each type of aerosols are: 2.60 g cm$^{-3}$ for dust (Ansmann et al., 2012), 1.30 g cm$^{-3}$ for smoke (Reid et al., 2005), 0.92 g cm$^{-3}$ for pollen (Platnus) particles (Jackson and Lyford, 1999; Zhang et al., 2014). For the other components, the particle density is obtained from the OPAC database (Hess et al., 1998).
assumed for both the ND and BA components in the dust and pollen cases, respectively, corresponding to background urban aerosols, mostly composed of fine pollution particles and for the NS component in the smoke case \( P d_{NS} = 2.0 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \), as reported by OPAC for Arctic aerosols, is assumed since the NS signature is found when air masses are coming from the Arctic as indicated by backtrajectory analysis (see Sect. 2.1). However, the corresponding \( c_d \) and \( k \) values must be examined in more detail in the extinction-to-mass conversion procedure for each aerosol case, as explained next.

2.4.2 Dust case

As stated before, POL-1/2 retrieval is applied to separate three components for the dust case \((i = Dc, Df \text{ and ND})\). Conversion factors are only reported for coarse and fine mode particles overall using AERONET data (Eq. 10). In this case, the coarse mode is completely composed by Dc particles (the ND component is assumed to be fine aerosols only, see Sect. 2.3). Hence, the MEE for Dc particles, \( k_{Dc} \), is easily obtained from

\[
k_{Dc} = \frac{\tau_{Dc} \times \gamma_{Dc}}{P d_{Dc} \times \gamma_{Dc}} = \frac{1}{P d_{Dc}}
\]

(11)

with \( P d_{Dc} = 2.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3} \) for dust. However, MEE for Df particles, \( k_{Df} \), and ND aerosols, \( k_{ND} \), must be determined from the MEE value obtained for the total fine (DF+ND) mode, \( k_{DF+ND} \), that is,

\[
k_{DF+ND} = \frac{\tau_{Df+ND} \times \gamma_{Df+ND}}{P d_{Df+ND} \times \gamma_{Df+ND}} = \frac{1}{P d_{Df+ND}}
\]

(12)

where \( P d_{Df+ND} \) represents a weighted value of the particle density for the overall fine (DF+ND) mode. Once estimated \( \gamma_{Df+ND} \) and \( \gamma \) (see Eq. 6), \( P d_{Df+ND} \) can be expressed as

\[
P d_{Df+ND} = P d_{Df} \times \gamma + P d_{ND} \times (1 - \gamma),
\]

(13)

where \( P d_{Df} \) and \( P d_{ND} \) are the particle densities assumed for dust (2.6 g cm\(^{-3}\)) and non-dust aerosols (1.8 g cm\(^{-3}\)), respectively (Table 3). Hence, the height-integrated mass concentration for the total fine (DF+ND) mode, \( \overline{M}_{DF+ND} \), can be calculated from

\[
\overline{M}_{DF+ND} = k_{DF+ND} \times \tau_{DF+ND} = \overline{M}_{DF} + \overline{M}_{ND},
\]

(14)

where \( k_{DF+ND} \) is calculated from Eq. 12, and \( \overline{M}_{DF} \) and \( \overline{M}_{ND} \) are, respectively, the mass concentrations for DF and ND aerosols (note that these quantities are height-integrated variables, i.e., mass loadings). In particular, \( \overline{M}_{DF} \) can be determined by assuming a representative conversion factor \( c_d \) for DF particles, since

\[
\overline{M}_{DF} = \tau_{DF} \times P d_{DF} \times c_{DF}.
\]

(15)

Manouris and Ansman (2017) reported statistical AERONET-based extinction-to-mass conversion factors for dust fine particles \( c_{Df} \) in the interval of 0.21-0.25 (± 0.05) \( \times 10^{-12} \text{ Mm} \). In this work, this set of values is introduced in the algorithm in order to obtain an optimal \( c_{Df} \) value satisfying the following condition: \( \overline{M}_{DF} < \overline{M}_{DF+ND} \), being estimated \( \overline{M}_{DF} \) from Eq. 15. At the same time, \( \overline{M}_{ND} \) is also obtained, since

\[
\overline{M}_{ND} = \overline{M}_{DF+ND} - \overline{M}_{DF}.
\]

(16)

Hence, \( \overline{M}_{DF} \) and \( \overline{M}_{ND} \) (and \( c_{Df} \)) are calculated applying, similarly to Eqs. 10-12, the following expressions:
\[ k_{DF} = \frac{1}{P_{DF} \times k_{ND}} \]  \tag{17}

\[ k_{ND} = \frac{\phi_{ND}}{M_{ND}} \]  \tag{18}

and

\[ c_{\phi_{ND}} = \frac{1}{P_{\phi_{ND}} \times \phi_{ND}} \]  \tag{19}

Otherwise, \( M_{DF+ND} \) (and then, \( k_{DF+ND} \)) and \( M_{ND} \) are 0. Finally, the total mass concentration \( TMC \) (i.e., mass loading, in g m\(^{-2}\)) is obtained from

\[ TMC = M_{DC} + M_{DF+ND} = M_{DC} + M_{DF} + M_{ND}. \]  \tag{20}

Those AERONET parameters used in the extinction-to-mass conversion together to the particular \( c_{\phi} \) and \( k \) values obtained at some explicit times (see Table 3) are in agreement with those reported by other authors (i.e., Manourni and Ansmann, 2014; 2017) for dust. In addition, \( k_{ND} \) values are derived between 2.52 and 2.92 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\), similar to those reported by OPAC for urban aerosols (2.87 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)), as assumed for the ND component in this work.

2.4.3 Smoke and pollen cases

For both these cases, optical properties are separated into two aerosol components by using POL-1 approach. Hence, mass concentrations are derived directly from Eqs. 8-10 of the general extinction-to-mass conversion procedure using AERONET data, satisfying that each component is composed mostly of either coarse or fine mode particles, as described in Section 2.4.1.

In particular, the smoke (SM) component is supposed to be composed of fine biomass burning particles, and the coarse mode is associated to the non-smoke (NS) component by assuming particles larger than smoke coming from the Arctic area. For instance, a \( k_{SM} = 4.5 \pm 1.4 \) m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) is derived for fine smoke particles at 06:00 UTC (see Table 3) in good agreement with that reported for Canadian forest fire smoke aerosols by other authors (Ichoku and Kaufman, 2005; Reid et al., 2005). However, a rather lower MEE value is obtained for the coarse mode NS particles (\( k_{NS} = 2.4 \pm 0.5 \) m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) at the same time. In the pollen case, PL particles are predominantly large particles, in comparison with the fine (and less depolarizing) component corresponding to local background aerosols (BA), as assumed composed of small polluted particles of urban origin (marine contribution is neglected, as stated in Sect. 2).

For instance, a \( k_{PL} = 2.3 \pm 0.1 \) m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) is obtained for pollen particles at 15:00 UTC, when pollination event is enhanced, as described later in Section 3.

Table 3 shows the derived MEE values (\( k, \) m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) at selected times by using the corresponding \( c_{\phi} \) factors and the assumed particle densities (\( P_d, \) g cm\(^{-3}\)) for each component. Particular similarities and discrepancies found from those assumptions will be discussed in more detail in Section 3.

3 Results

3.1 Dust case
A dusty event occurred over BCN station on 5 July 2016, mostly intense during the first part of the day as also confirmed by AERONET data with moderate AOD and AE < 0.5 values together with HYSPLIT backtrajectory analysis (Sect. 2.1). The separation into three components (De, Df and ND) of dusty mixtures using the synergy of hourly-averaged P-MPL measurements and POL-1/2 retrieval was performed along the day. For using POL-1/2, vertical profiles of the total particle backscatter coefficient (βp) as derived from the KF algorithm (if the KF retrieval is feasible, estimated LR values are discussed later), and the linear particle depolarization ratio (δp) are obtained along the day. Then, the corresponding vertical profiles of the backscatter coefficients for each specific component (βi, i = De, Df, ND) are retrieved by using POL-1/2 (Sect. 2.3.2). The three specific depolarization ratios selected for each pure aerosol component (δi, i = De, Df, ND), required for the POL-1/2 retrieval, are shown in Table 2. As mentioned before, height-integrated values of all these backscatter coefficient profiles (βi) and the three δi for each component are calculated along the 24 hours of the day (if the KF retrieval is feasible) to obtain the daily temporal evolution of the optical contribution for each aerosol component in terms of their specific relative ratio \( \frac{R}{P} \) (in %). Regarding the height-integrated mass concentration \( \left( \sum_i \frac{M_i}{P} \right) \), the daily evolution of specific mass contribution ratio, i.e., the relative ratio \( \frac{R}{TMC} \) (in %), is also calculated for each aerosol component (note that height-integrated mass concentrations represent the mass loading, expressed in g m\(^{-2}\)). For simplicity, the same notation is used for mass concentration and mass loading.

**Figure 3** shows the daily evolution of the specific (a) optical and (b) mass relative contribution for each aerosol component along the day. A high loading of large particles with peaks of 78 % for βDe and 98 % for MDe is obtained in the first half of the day. These peaks drop to minimums of 9 and 43 %, respectively, in the second part of the day. In this period of the day, the optical contribution of the total dust (De+Df) varies between 17 and 46 % while the mass contribution ratio varies between 56 and 98 %. In terms of mean TMC (dust loading), values of 0.6 ± 0.1 and 0.2 ± 0.1 g m\(^{-2}\) are estimated, respectively, at time intervals before and after noon. The last one just represents a TMC of 3 % respect to that found for the first part of the day. Specific \( \sum_i \frac{M_i}{P} \) and TMC at given times are shown in Table 4. Therefore, two different dusty scenarios with an intense and weak dust impact are clearly observed in the first and second part of the day, respectively.

These results are related to the mean MEE values found for dust particles: \( k_{BC} = 0.5 ± 0.1 \text{ m} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \) and \( k_{DF} = 1.7 ± 0.2 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1} \) as obtained for De and Df particles, respectively. These quantities are within and close to the range of values representative, respectively, for coarse- and fine-dominated dust particles, as reported by the OPAC database (www.pole-ether.fr): 0.16-0.97 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) (dust coarse) and 2.3-3.1 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) (dust fine). Higher MEE values are obtained for the ND component (\( k_{ND} = 3.1 ± 1.3 \text{ m} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \)), indicating much smaller particles, and close to that value of 2.87 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) reported by OPAC (Hess et al., 1998) for urban aerosols (note that fine polluted aerosols with urban origin were assumed for the ND component). For comparison, the corresponding mean conversion factors \( c_\text{v} \) obtained for De and Df particles are, respectively, \( c_{vBC} = 0.8 ± 0.3 \text{ 10}^{12} \text{ Mm} \) and \( c_{vDF} = 0.24 ± 0.02 \text{ 10}^{12} \text{ Mm} \), values that are in good agreement with other reported values (i.e., Manouri and Ansmann, 2017).
AERONET AOD and AEx values provided along the day (night-time data are assumed equal to the first and last daytime values in each case) also confirm these results (see Fig. 3a). In particular, AEx is close to 0.5 (coarse particles predominance) and higher than 1.5 (fine particle prevalence), respectively, in the first and second part of the day. Regarding LR values as derived from the KF algorithm (Fig. 3a, right axis), a daily mean \( S_n = 42 \pm 15 \) sr is obtained. No significant differences are found between LR values for the first and second part of the day, and just a certain variability is observed along the day as modulated by the dust loading, as expected.

Figure 4 illustrates, in more detail, both aerosol scenarios before (i.e., at 02:00 UTC) and after (i.e., at 16:00 UTC) noon (as shown in Fig. 3 by black arrows), in terms of the profiles of both the particle backscatter coefficients (total \( \beta_p \) and \( \beta_{DC} \), \( \beta_{DF} \), and \( \beta_{ND} \), left panels) and the linear depolarization ratios (volume \( \delta' \) and particle \( \delta_p \), right panels). An enhanced dust impact is observed in Fig. 4a (02:00 UTC) due to a high amount of Dc particles confined in a layer located between 2 and 5 km height (red line in Fig. 4a). Contrarily, Fig. 4b (16:00 UTC) shows a rather weaker dust incidence from ground up to 4 km height mostly due to a low loading of both Dc and Df particles (red and green lines, respectively, in Fig. 4b), regarded as remains of the dust intrusion. Indeed, according to HYSPLIT backtrajectories (Sect. 2.1), no Saharan origin of air masses is observed for the second part of the day (see Fig. 1, d-e panels). AERONET AOD and AEx and KF-derived LR values for those different dusty scenarios are also included in Table 2. In particular, \( S_n = 50 \pm 10 \) sr is retrieved at 02:00 UTC that is within the typical LR range determined for dust. Meanwhile, a lower value (\( S_n = 29 \pm 6 \) sr) is found at 16:00 UTC when a rather weaker dust incidence occurs.

Moreover, \( \delta_p \) shows values close to the linear particle depolarization ratio for pure Dc particles (\( \delta_{DC} = 0.39 \)) for the first aerosol scenario (Fig. 4a, centre panels), and slightly lower than 0.16 (\( \delta_{DF} \) for pure dust fine particles) for the second one (Fig. 4b, centre panels). In addition, the \( \delta_{DF+ND} \) profiles for those times are also shown in Figure 4 (right panels) in order to examine the corresponding variability of the DF contribution to the particle fine mode with height: \( \delta_{DF+ND} \) is greater than 0.10, indicating that the DF fraction within the fine mode is larger than 45.5 %, at altitudes higher than 1.5 and around 4.0 km height, respectively, for those two dusty situations (Fig. 4a and 4b, respectively), in correspondence with the backscatter profiles; otherwise, DF fraction is reduced (< 40 %) at lower heights. In these two particular cases (Fig. 4), the derived MEE values are close to the typical ranges for Dc (\( k_{DC}: 0.5-0.6 \)) and Df (\( k_{DF}: 1.5-2.0 \)) aerosols (see Table 3).

3.2 Smoke case

Smoke plumes were observed over BCN station on 23 May 2016. The two principal areas that air masses are arriving from are North America and the Arctic, as reported by HYSPLIT backtrajectory analysis for that day at several times (see Fig. 1, g-i panels). The smoke origin is likely from forest fires occurred in North America (as stated in Sect. 2.1). Hence, the smoke case is examined as a mixture of two components: fine biomass burning particles (SM for smoke) from Canada and USA fires, and another particle type larger than smoke coming from the Arctic region (hereafter, referred to as non-smoke aerosols, NS). Their vertical separation is achieved using POL-1 retrieval (2-component separation), as described in Sect. 2.3 and 2.4. Both the particular backscatter coefficients and mass concentrations are retrieved for each component. In particular, the study is focused only on tropospheric features, avoiding thus aerosols
from other distant background sources in the boundary layer. Like for the dusty case, Figure 5 shows the relative fractions of each SM and NS components in terms of the backscatter coefficient and the mass concentration along the day. Those $k$ values together with the $c_p$ factors at selected times are shown in Table 3, as well as the $P_d$ values assumed: 1.30 g m$^{-3}$ for SM and 2.0 g m$^{-3}$ for NS aerosols (see Sect. 2.4). Since values of $\delta_p$ higher than 0.1 are found at given altitudes along the day, a high-limit value of the particle linear depolarization ratio for smoke, $\delta_{SM}=0.15$ is assumed. This rather high $\delta_{SM}$ value is typical for smoke particles mixed with dust (Tesche et al., 2011; Groß et al., 2013) as one would expect $\delta_{SM}<0.10$ for pure biomass burning particles (Müller et al., 2005; Groß et al., 2013). In addition, in the first part of the day, AERONET AEx varies between 1.25 and 1.55 (see Fig. 5a), indicating rather moderate AEx values as compared to higher fresh smoke values (~2.00), as measured by Sicard et al. (2011) also in Barcelona. Hence, the value of $\delta_{SM}=0.15$ reflect a mixing state of biomass burning particles, but not necessarily with dust. For the other, less depolarizing, NS component, a $\delta_{NS}=0.05$ is applied. Those particle linear depolarization ratio values assumed for SM and NS are shown in Table 2.

In general, smoke particles are detected during almost the day, representing approximately 40-60 % of the total height-integrated aerosol backscatter. However, a sharp $\frac{P_d}{P}$ decrease from those values to around 4 % is observed at 15:00 and 16:00 UTC, in concordance with the 47 % decrease found for AEx (see Fig. 5a). Since lower AEx values are usually associated with the predominance of large particles and/or the fine mode decrease, these results are in agreement with that observed reduction of fine biomass burning particles during the same time interval. At those same times, the TMC reaches high values respect to the daily mean TMC background of $0.05 \pm 0.03$ g m$^{-2}$, that is $0.26 \pm 0.06$ g m$^{-2}$ in average, as mostly contributed by larger NS aerosols, meanwhile fine SM particles represent only 3-7 % of TMC at the same times. In particular, the daily mean $\bar{M}_{SM}$ is $0.017 \pm 0.008$ g m$^{-2}$, representing 2.7 % of the mean TMC found for the dust case. Regarding KF-derived LR values (see Fig. 5a, right axis), a daily mean $S_{lr} = 56 \pm 23$ sr is obtained. That value is lower as compared to typical LR of 70 sr for smoke (i.e., Groß et al., 2013, and references therein), together with the large relative deviation (42 %) indicates a high aerosol variability along the day as expected due to the singular arrival of air masses in height and time, and hence the particular vertical aerosol mixing found with the smoke particles.

Regarding the vertical structure, Figure 6 shows two aerosol scenarios observed along the day. While the smoke appears in clearly defined layers above 5 km height at 06:00 UTC (see Fig. 6a, red line), its vertical distribution and mixing with NS is more heterogeneous at 14:00 UTC (Fig. 6b). Indeed, the mean $S_{lr}$ values of $70 \pm 19$ and $35 \pm 9$ sr found, respectively, for the first and second part of the day reflect that the smoke signature detected before noon presents a lower mixing with other aerosols than that observed after noon. Additionally, in average, the mean height-integrated mass concentration for smoke is also obtained in those two different scenarios: $\bar{M}_{SM} = 0.014 \pm 0.002$ and $0.022 \pm 0.009$ g m$^{-2}$ are found, respectively, for the first and second part of the day, those values represent 2.2 and 3.4 %, respectively, of the TMC found for the intense dust period. In particular, Figure 6a clearly shows a smoke layer between 6 and 7.5 km height, also mixed with a certain NS contribution, and presenting $\delta_p$ values of 0.15 and higher. In addition, a smaller SM layer of about 300 m thickness is also found below at around 5.2 km height with rather higher $\delta_p$ than 0.15, and another layer is observed between 3 and 4 km height corresponding to the presence of
NS aerosols with a $\delta_p$ slightly higher than 0.05. The fraction of smoke particles is around 50% out of total backscatter (see Fig. 5a) with a height-integrated mass concentration for smoke $M_{sm}$ = 0.012 ± 0.002 g m$^{-2}$, representing 2% out of the mean TMC during the intense dusty event (see Table 4). Later in the day at 14:00 UTC, both SM and NS particles are found along the profile, being $\delta_p$ values close to 0.15, mainly between 4.0 and 4.5 km height. In addition, a single NS layer is also clearly observed, peaking at 2.5 km height, with $\delta_p$ values decreasing down to 0.05 (see Fig. 6b). These results agree with the $\delta_p$ value selected for NS aerosols ($\delta_p = 0.05$, see Table 2). At this time, a $M_{sm}$ = 0.023 ± 0.001 g m$^{-2}$, being 4% out of the mean TMC for the intense dusty event, is obtained. Particular LR values for those times shown in Figure 6 are also included in Table 2: $S_A$ = 81 ± 16 sr is retrieved at 06:00 UTC that is within the typical LR range determined for smoke, meanwhile a lower LR ($S_A$ = 45 ± 9 sr) is found at 14:00 UTC, as expected. Besides, particular MEE values derived for smoke particles, $k_{SM}$ = 4.5 ± 1.1 and 1.9 ± 0.4 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ are obtained, respectively, at 06:00 and 14:00 UTC. These results would indicate that smoke plumes detected in the first scenario are predominantly composed of rather pure fine biomass burning particles with similar MEE values to those reported for Canadian boreal forest fire aged smoke particles (Ichoku and Kaufman, 2005; Reid et al., 2005). However, those observed in the second one would represent a mixed state of smoke particles with an enhanced coarse mode, rather decreasing than their MEE. All those values are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

These results are corroborated by a more detailed analysis of the backtrajectories ending over BCN on 23 May 2016 (selected heights and times of their arrival are shown in Fig. 1). In particular, air masses arriving at 06:00 UTC are carrying out smoke particles from Canada and USA fires at altitudes higher than around 4500 m a.s.l. (see Fig. 1, b-i panels), while Arctic air masses arrive at lower heights (see Fig. 1, g panel). Later on, smoke signature observed at 14:00 UTC is distributed from altitudes higher than around 3000 m a.s.l. height up (Fig. 1, k-l panels), and the NS layer identified at around 2500 m height (see Fig. 6b) actually corresponds to air masses coming from the Arctic (see Fig. 1, j panel).

3.3 Pollen case

The pollination period (i.e., the enhanced formation/presence of pollen particles) in Barcelona is from local sources predominately occurred in March, among the most abundant species such as the Pinus and Platanus trees (Sicard et al., 2016a). In this case, a pollen episode occurred on 23 March 2016 is selected, corresponding to a high pollination event observed over BCN (Belmonte, 2016). As for the smoke case, POL-1 retrieval is used to separate pollen (PL) particles from local background (BA) aerosols, mostly composed of urban fine polluted particles. Particle linear depolarization ratios for 'pure' PL, $\delta_{PL} = 0.40$, and BA, $\delta_{BA} = 0.05$, aerosols are shown in Table 2, as well as those $k$ (and $e_p$) values are in Table 3. The relative fractions of each aerosol component in terms of the backscatter coefficient and the mass concentration are also calculated along the day. Pollen signature is clearly observed from 10:00 UTC on, as shown in Figure 7 by the increase of their relative fraction $F_{PL}/F_p$ with a maximum around 30% between 12:00 and 16:00 UTC. The coincident increase of $A_{Bx}$ (see Fig. 7a) is probably associated to the formation of local urban aerosols, which are much smaller particles as compared to pollen grains. This hypothesis suggests that local urban aerosols dominate the columnar-averaged optical properties.
4 Conclusions

The synergistic use of the POLIPHON retrieval with P-MPL measurements is introduced for the first time in order to separate dust (both coarse Dc, and fine Df, modes) and biomass burning smoke (SM) particles from their mixtures with other aerosols (namely, non-dust ND, and non-smoke NS aerosols). In addition, a case study of pollen (PL) detection detached from local urban background aerosols (BA) is also examined. In all the cases, the particle linear depolarization ratio for each aerosol ‘pure’ component is a relevant constraint by using POLIPHON method. The separation of aerosol mixtures into their particle components can be performed just for rather different depolarizing particles. In particular, typical linear depolarization ratios found in the literature are assumed for each pure aerosol component: 0.39, 0.16 and 0.05, respectively, for Dc, Df and ND; 0.15 and 0.05, respectively, for SM and NS; and 0.40 and 0.05, respectively, for PL and BA.

In this work, airborne performance is achieved by obtaining the relative optical and mass contributions of each aerosol component along the day as based on P-MPL continuous 24/7 observations carried out in Barcelona (NE Spain). Three case studies observed on 5 July, 23 May and 23 March 2016 are examined, respectively, for dust, smoke and pollen occurrences. In particular, the POLIPHON 1-step version (POL-1: separation into two components) is applied for the smoke and pollen cases. In order to illustrate the 3-component separation for the dust case, a combined algorithm using both the POLIPHON 1-step (POL-1) and 2-step (POL-2) versions (namely POL-1/2) is described in more detail. In addition, both the vertical and columnar particle depolarization ratio for the total fine (DF+ND) mode, $\delta_{DF+ND}$, and
correspondingly both the vertical and columnar fraction of DF particles to the total fine (DF+ND) mode, are also estimated by using the POL-1/2 retrieval (the a priori assumption of those variables is thus avoided). Indeed, minimal differences in the particle backscatter coefficient, $\beta$, for each dusty and non-dusty component are found as obtained from either POL-1 or POL-1/2 approaches, as long as a vertical depolarization ratio for the total fine (DF+ND) mode $\delta_{DF+}$ (x) is regarded. Otherwise, the use of a single columnar, no height-resolved, $\delta_{DF+}$ is inadequate due to the plausible DF variability, respect to the total fine mode, with height. Moreover, the extinction-to-mass conversion procedure is described in terms of the Mass Extinction Efficiency (MEE: $\kappa$, m$^2$ g$^{-1}$), a parameter associated to the size of the particles. The MEE is estimated for each aerosol component by using the corresponding conversion factors as calculated from AERONET data (volume concentrations and extinctions for the coarse and fine modes), as reported at simultaneous times with P-MPL measurements, and the particles densities assumed for each type of aerosol. In addition, the effective MEE ($\kappa_{eff}$, a measure of the predominant size of those aerosol mixtures) is also retrieved for each aerosol event. Hence, height-integrated mass concentrations (i.e., mass loadings, g m$^{-3}$) are obtained along the day for each component. In general, the daily evolution of their relative optical and mass contributions, respect to the height-integrated total backscatter coefficient and total mass concentration (total mass loading) for each aerosol case, is also derived. Due to the variation of the aerosol situation observed for each case study along the day, particular different aerosol scenarios can be present, and hence their vertical distribution is examined in more detail in the rest of the paper.

In the dust case, a Saharan dust intrusion arrives at BCN during the first part of the day. Meanwhile, a weak dust incidence is observed for the second part of the day, as also confirmed by AERONET data and HYSPLIT backtrajectory analysis. This is due to the predominance of large particles (Dc component) during the first half of the day. In terms of mean dust mass loading, values of TMC = 0.6 ± 0.1 and 0.2 ± 0.1 g m$^{-2}$ are obtained, respectively, at time intervals before and after noon. This last value just represents a mass loading of 34% respect to that found for the first part of the day. In addition, mean MEE values of $\kappa_{DC} = 0.5 ± 0.1$ m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and $\kappa_{CC} = 1.7 ± 0.2$ m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ are obtained for Dc and DF particles, respectively. These quantities are within and close to the range of values representative of coarse- and fine-dominated dust particles, respectively. AERONET AOD and AEx values reported along the day confirm these results. In particular, AEx is close to 0.5 (coarse particles predominance) and higher than 1.5 (fine particles prevalence), respectively, in the first and second part of the day. A mean KF-derived lidar ratio $\tau_{L}$ = 42 ± 15 sr is obtained with no significant differences for the first and second part of the day. Regarding particular aerosol scenarios, a $\tau_{L}$ = 50 ± 10 sr is retrieved at 02:00 UTC (within the typical range of lidar ratios defined for dust), meanwhile a lower value ($\tau_{L}$ = 29 ± 6 sr) is found at 16:00 UTC when a rather weaker dust incidence occurs. Moreover, $\delta_{L}$ shows values close to the particle linear depolarization ratio for pure Dc particles (0.39) for the first dusty scenario, and lower than 0.16 (typical for pure dust fine particles), highlighting the prevalence of ND aerosols for the second one. In addition, the particle depolarization ratio for the total fine (DF+ND) mode is greater than 0.10, that is, the relative DF fraction within the total fine mode is larger than 45.5%, at altitudes higher than 1.5 and around 4.0 km height, respectively, for those two particular dusty situations. The derived MEE values are typical for Dc ($\kappa_{DC} = 0.5-0.6$) and DF ($\kappa_{DF} = 1.5-2.0$) aerosols in those two particular cases.
In the smoke case, the air masses arriving over BCN on 23 May 2016 are mainly coming from two areas: North America and the Arctic, as reported by HYSPLIT backtrajectory analysis. Hence, fine biomass burning particles originated from fires occurred in Canada and USA, are likely mixed with other larger than smoke aerosols coming from the Arctic region (non-smoke aerosols, NS). In general, both SM and NS particles are found along all the profile; \( \delta_p \) values are higher than 0.10 and close to 0.15 when SM particles are mostly detected. Fine smoke particles are observed during almost all the day, representing approximately 40-60% of the total height-integrated aerosol backscatter coefficient. The mean mass loading for smoke is \( M_{SM} = 0.017 \pm 0.008 \text{ g m}^{-2} \), representing 2.7% out of that mean TMC found for the dust case. However, individual decreases in the relative smoke fractions of both the backscatter coefficient and mass concentration are also observed along the day, coinciding also in time with AEx decreases (as associated to predominance/reduction of coarse/fine particles). Regarding the vertical structure, two aerosol scenarios are observed along the day: the smoke signature is specially detected at defined layers in the beginning of the daytime, while a vertical SM distribution mixed along with a NS layered structure is observed later on. Mean LR values of \( S_a = 70 \pm 19 \) and \( 35 \pm 9 \text{ sr} \) are found, respectively, for the first and second part of the day, showing a lower smoke mixing before than after noon. In addition, the mean mass loading for smoke as obtained in those two different scenarios is \( M_{SM} = 0.014 \pm 0.002 \) and \( 0.022 \pm 0.009 \text{ g m}^{-2} \), respectively, for the first and second part of the day, i.e., 2.2 and 3.4%, respectively, out of the TMC found for the intense dust period. This is likely due to the singular arrival of air masses in height and time, and hence the particular vertical aerosol mixing found together with the smoke particles over BCN. Besides, the corresponding particular MEE values derived for smoke particles in those two scenarios are \( k_{SM} = 4.5 \pm 1.1 \) and \( 1.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1} \), respectively, indicating that smoke plumes detected in the first scenario are predominantly composed of radionuclides and fine biomass burning particles, unlike the situation in the second one with a mixed state of smoke particles with an enhanced coarse mode.

In the pollen case, the PL signature is clearly observed from 10:00 UTC on, when the relative fraction of the height-integrated backscatter coefficient for pollen enhances, reaching a maximum around 30% between 12:00 and 16:00 UTC, and \( \delta_p \) increases with values between 0.10 and 0.15 from the surface up to around 1.5 km height. A mean LR of \( S_a = 55 \pm 17 \text{ sr} \) is obtained during the pollen occurrence period. This value is close to that considered by other authors. The relative fraction of mass loading for pollen reaches a maximum of around 40% at 15:00 UTC, being the mass loading of \( M_{PL} = 0.011 \pm 0.013 \text{ g m}^{-2} \) (i.e., 1.7% out of that for dust during their higher incidence at that time).

In addition, the mean MEE derived for pollen particles is \( k_{PL} = 2.4 \pm 0.8 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1} \), representing an intermediate value between those reported for DF particles (\( k_{DF} = 1.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1} \)) and for smaller local background urban polluted aerosols (\( k_{BA} = 3.4 \pm 0.7 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1} \)). However, the \( k_{PL} \) can reach higher/lower values depending on a prevalent smaller/larger size of the pollen grains.

In summary, the vertical separation of aerosol mixtures into their components is achieved by using the POLIPHON retrieval in synergy with continuous 24/7 P-MPL measurements. The methodology, including the extinction-to-mass conversion procedure, is described and applied to several aerosol mixtures case studies. Therefore, vertical optical and mass features are obtained in a daily basis for different climate-relevant aerosols: dust, smoke and pollen particles. In addition, the method can be relatively easily applicable to spaceborne lidars with an equivalent configuration (elastic
with a depolarization-sensitive channel) such as the ongoing CALIOP/CALIPSO, and the forthcoming ATLID/EarthCARE (future ESA mission to be launched in 2019).

Appendix A. List of acronyms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$c_{ch_{co}}$, $c_{ch_{cross}}$</td>
<td>P-MPL signal channels: co-polar and cross-polar, respectively</td>
<td>a.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_{tot}$, $p_{par}$, $p_{perp}$</td>
<td>P-MPL range-corrected signals: total, parallel, perpendicular signals, respectively</td>
<td>a.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_p$</td>
<td>Total particle backscatter coefficient</td>
<td>km$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_i$</td>
<td>Backscatter coefficient for a specific particle component (i)</td>
<td>km$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{tot}$</td>
<td>Height-integrated total particle backscatter coefficient</td>
<td>sr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{int}$</td>
<td>Height integrated backscatter coefficient for a specific particle component (i)</td>
<td>sr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{mol}$</td>
<td>Molecular backscatter coefficient</td>
<td>km$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$</td>
<td>Root square differences (see Eq. 5)</td>
<td>km$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>Root mean square differences (see Eq. 7)</td>
<td>sr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^\theta$</td>
<td>Linear volume depolarization ratio</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_p$</td>
<td>Linear particle depolarization ratio</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_i$</td>
<td>Linear particle depolarization ratio for a specific particle component (i)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{mol}$</td>
<td>Molecular depolarization ratio</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{DF+ND}$</td>
<td>Total fine (DF+ND) depolarization ratio (residual depolarization ratio)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{DF+ND}^{mol}$</td>
<td>Columnar total fine (DF+ND) depolarization ratio</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>Backscattering ratio ($= \frac{P_{tot}+P_{par}}{P_{tot}}$)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_a$</td>
<td>Lidar Ratio (LR) (KF-derived)</td>
<td>sr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_p$</td>
<td>Total particle extinction coefficient</td>
<td>km$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_i$</td>
<td>Extinction coefficient for a specific particle component (i)</td>
<td>km$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOD</td>
<td>Aerosol Optical Depth (total particle extinction, AERONET data)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE</td>
<td>Angstrom Exponent (AERONET data)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{eff}$</td>
<td>Effective Mass Extinction Efficiency (MEE)</td>
<td>m$^2$ g$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_i$</td>
<td>Mass Extinction Efficiency for a specific particle component (i)</td>
<td>m$^2$ g$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c_{vol}$</td>
<td>Extinction-to-volume conversion factor for a specific particle size mode</td>
<td>10$^{-12}$ Mm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_C$</td>
<td>Volume concentration for a specific particle size mode (AERONET data)</td>
<td>10$^{-12}$ Mm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_x$</td>
<td>Extinction for a specific particle size mode (AERONET data)</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$TMC$</td>
<td>Total Mass Concentration</td>
<td>g m$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\( M_i \) Mass concentration for a specific particle component (i) g m\(^{-3}\)

\( \overline{TMC} \) Total mass loading (height-integrated TMC, over-bar is removed for simplicity) g m\(^{-2}\)

\( \overline{M}_i \) Mass loading (height-integrated \( M_i \)) for a specific particle component (i) g m\(^{-2}\)

(*) \( i \) denotes the aerosol component: dust coarse (DC), dust fine (DF), non-dust (ND), smoke (SM), non-smoke (NS), pollen (PL), background aerosols (BA).

(**) \( x \) denotes the particle size mode: coarse (c), fine (f).
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Table 4. Height-integrated mass concentration ($\tilde{M}$, i.e., mass loading, g m$^{-2}$) for each component and the total mass concentration (TMC) at two times for each aerosol case. Errors are shown in parenthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aerosol case</th>
<th>Time (UTC)</th>
<th>$\tilde{M}$ (g m$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>TMC (g m$^{-2}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUST</td>
<td>02:00</td>
<td>0.54 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16:00</td>
<td>0.08 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.026 (0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMOKE</td>
<td>06:00</td>
<td>0.012 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.027 (0.007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14:00</td>
<td>0.023 (0.006)</td>
<td>0.053 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLLEN</td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>0.0009 (0.0003)</td>
<td>0.029 (0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15:00</td>
<td>0.011 (0.001)</td>
<td>0.017 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: HYSPLIT backtrajectories ending at different altitudes over BCN depending on the aerosol case (only for the dust and smoke cases): (a) – (f) for dust (5 days back) on 5 July 2016; (g) - (l) for smoke (10 days back) on 23 May 2016. Selected times of the air masses arrivals are related to those aerosol profiles particularly examined (as shown in Sect. 3; in particular, see Figs. 4, 6 and 8).