A New Method for Calculating Number Concentrations of Cloud Condensation Nuclei Based on Measurements of A Three-wavelength Humidified Nephelometer System
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Abstract

The number concentration of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) plays a fundamental role in cloud physics. Instrumentations of direct measurements of CCN number concentration ($N_{CCN}$) based on chamber technology are complex and costly, thus a simple way for measuring $N_{CCN}$ is needed. In this study, a new method for $N_{CCN}$ calculation based on measurements of a three-wavelength humidified nephelometer system is proposed. A three-wavelength humidified nephelometer system can measure aerosol light scattering coefficient ($\sigma_{sp}$) at three wavelengths and the light scattering enhancement factor (fRH). The Angstrom exponent (Å) inferred from $\sigma_{sp}$ at three wavelengths provides information on mean predominate aerosol size and hygroscopicity parameter ($\kappa$) can be calculated from the combination of fRH and Å. Given this, a look-up table that involves $\sigma_{sp}$, $\kappa$ and Å is established to predict $N_{CCN}$. Due to the precondition for the application, this new method is not suitable for externally mixed particles, large particles (e.g. dust and sea salt) or particles near single source regions. This method is validated with direct measurements of $N_{CCN}$ using a CCN counter on the North China Plain. Results show that relative deviations between calculated $N_{CCN}$ and measured $N_{CCN}$ are within 30% and confirm the robustness of this method. This method enables simpler $N_{CCN}$ measurements because the humidified nephelometer system is easily operated and stable. Compared
with the method of CCN counter, another advantage of this newly proposed method is that it can obtain \( N_{CCN} \) at lower supersaturations in the ambient atmosphere.

1. Introduction

Cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) is the aerosol particle forming cloud droplet by hygroscopic growth. CCN number concentration (\( N_{CCN} \)) plays a fundamental role in cloud micro physics and aerosol indirect radiative effect. In general, the direct measurement of \( N_{CCN} \) is achieved in a cloud chamber under super-saturated conditions (Hudson, 1989; Nenes et al., 2001; Rose et al., 2008). Due to the requirement of high accuracies of working conditions like temperatures, vapors and flow rates in cloud chambers, the direct measurement of \( N_{CCN} \) is complex and costly (Rose et al., 2008; Lathem and Nenes, 2011). Thus, developments of simplified measurements of \( N_{CCN} \) are required. In recent years, attention has been focused on measurements of aerosol optical properties (Jefferson, 2010; Ervens et al., 2007; Gasso and Hegg, 2003), which are simple and well-developed (Covert et al., 1972; Titos et al., 2016). For aerosol population free of sea salt or dust, the accumulation mode aerosol not only dominates aerosol scattering ability but also contribute most to \( N_{CCN} \). Thus, the calculation of \( N_{CCN} \) based on measurements of aerosol optical properties is feasible, and can facilitate \( N_{CCN} \) measurement.

There are two kinds of methods to calculating \( N_{CCN} \) based on measurements of aerosol optical properties. For the first kind, \( N_{CCN} \) as well as the hygroscopicity parameter (\( \kappa \)) can be calculated based on measurements of a humidified nephelometer system in combination with aerosol particle number size distribution (PNSD) (Ervens et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2014). Thus additional measurements of PNSD are needed. For the second kind, \( N_{CCN} \) is calculated based on statistical relationships between \( N_{CCN} \) and aerosol optical properties, such as scattering coefficient (\( \sigma_{sp} \)), Angstrom Exponent (\( \AA \), which is the exponent commonly used to describe the dependence of \( \sigma_{sp} \) on wavelength) and single scattering albedo (SSA) (Jefferson, 2010; Shinozuka et al., 2015). Compared with the first kind, whose \( R^2 \) can be about 0.9, instruments used in the second kind of methods are cheaper and easier in operation, but has a lower accuracy of \( R^2 \) much lower than 0.9. Applications similar to the second kind are widely used in remote sensing. As shown in Table 1, earlier studies
found that the aerosol volume or aerosol PNSD retrieved from remote sensing measurements can be used to calculate $N_{CCN}$ (Gasso and Hegg, 2003; Kapustin et al., 2006). Recently, aerosol optical depth (AOD) or aerosol vertical profile is used to predict $N_{CCN}$ directly (Ghan and Collins, 2004; Ghan et al., 2006; Andreae, 2009; Liu and Li, 2014).

In the statistical relationship between $N_{CCN}$ and aerosol optical properties, $\sigma_{sp}$ or AOD is mainly the proxy of aerosol absolute concentration, while Å or SSA can be used to reveal the variations of aerosol CCN activity, as shown in Table 1. Based on Kohler theory (Köhler, 1936; Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007), aerosol CCN activity is determined by aerosol size and aerosol chemical composition which is defined as aerosol hygroscopicity. Information about aerosol size and aerosol hygroscopicity are critical to $N_{CCN}$ prediction and their absence can lead to a deviation with factor of four (Andreae, 2009). Compared with aerosol hygroscopicity, aerosol size is more important in determining CCN activity (Dusek et al., 2006). The value of Å can provide information on mean predominate aerosol size (Brock et al., 2016; Kuang et al., 2017a). As a result, $N_{CCN}$ calculation from Å and extinction coefficient is found to be accurate to some extent (Shinozuka et al., 2015). As proxies for aerosol hygroscopicity, SSA or aerosol light scattering enhancement factor (fRH) is commonly used while not so effective (Jefferson, 2010; Liu and Li, 2014). SSA is determined by the ratio between the light absorbing carbonaceous and less-absorbing components. Black carbon dominates the absorption of solar radiation and is a main hydrophobic composition as well.

Less-absorbing components consist of inorganic salts and acids, as well as most organic compounds, which are generally hygroscopic compositions. SSA correlates positively with aerosol hygroscopicity (Rose et al., 2010) but deviates significantly due to the diversity of hygroscopicity of less-absorbing components. Thus $N_{CCN}$ calculation combining SSA, backscatter fraction and $\sigma_{sp}$ still lead to significant deviations, with a 0.6 $R^2$ (Jefferson, 2010). As for fRH, there was a study applied aerosol optical quantities ($\sigma_{sp}$ or aerosol optical thickness) with fRH or SSA to calculate $N_{CCN}$ (Liu and Li, 2014). In their study, compared with the combination of SSA and aerosol optical quantities, the combination of fRH and aerosol optical quantities is found to be less effective in estimating $N_{CCN}$, even though fRH directly connected with aerosol hygroscopicity (Liu and Li, 2014). This may result from the significant dependence of fRH on aerosol size (Chen et al., 2014; Kreidenweis and
Asa-Awuku, 2014; Kuang et al., 2017a). As mentioned before, PNSD is used for better calculation of \( \kappa \) and \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) from fRH in previous studies (Ervens et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2014). A new method to estimate \( \kappa \) from fRH and \( \tilde{\Lambda} \) was proposed recently (Kuang et al., 2017a; Brock et al., 2016). Based on this method, fRH can be used to calculate \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) without measurements of PNSD and can be expected to improve the \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) prediction just based on measurements of aerosol optical properties.

In this study, the relationship between \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) and aerosol optical properties measured by a humidified nephelometer system is studied and a new method for \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) prediction is proposed. This new method is validated based on data observed in Gucheng campaign on the North China Plain and can be expected to improve measurements of \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) due to advantages of applying nephelometers.

2. Methodology

2.1. Data

Data in this study are mainly measured at Gucheng (39.15N, 115.74E) during autumn in 2016 on the North China Plain (NCP). Gucheng is 100km southwest from Beijing and 40km northeast from Baoding under background pollution condition in the NCP. The observation site was surrounded by farmland and about 3km away from the Gucheng town. This campaign started on 20 October and lasted for nearly one month.

Instruments used in Gucheng campaign were located in a measurement container under temperature maintained at 25 °C. Ambient aerosol was sampled and dried to relative humidity (RH) lower than 30\% by an inlet system consisting of a PM10 inlet, an inline Nafion dryers and a RH and temperature sensor (Vaisala HMP110). Then the sample aerosol was separated by a splitter and directed into various instruments. During this campaign, aerosol scattering coefficient (\( \sigma_{\text{sp}} \)), aerosol optical hygroscopic growth factor (fRH), particle size-resolved activation ratio (AR) and particle number size distribution (PNSD) were obtained.

fRH as well as \( \sigma_{\text{sp}} \) at three wavelengths were measured by a humidified nephelometer system consisting of two nephelometers (Aurora 3000, Ecotech Inc.) and a humidifier. \( \sigma_{\text{sp}} \) can be described by a formula of \( \tilde{\Lambda} \):
\[ \sigma_{sp}(\lambda) = \beta \cdot \lambda^A, \]  

\[ f_{RH} = \frac{\sigma_{sp}(RH)}{\sigma_{sp}} \]

where \( \beta \) is the aerosol number concentration and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength. Thus \( A \) can be calculated directly from \( \sigma_{sp} \) measured by a nephelometer. The humidifier with a Gore-Tex tube humidified the sample air up to 90% RH. A whole cycle of humidification lasted about 45 minutes from 50% RH to 90% RH. Dried \( \sigma_{sp} \) was obtained directly from dried sample aerosol measured by one nephelometer and humidified \( \sigma_{sp} \) was obtained from humidified aerosol measured by another nephelometer. \( f_{RH} \) is defined as:

\[ f_{RH} = \frac{\sigma_{sp}(RH)}{\sigma_{sp}} \]

where \( \sigma_{sp}(RH) \) is the humidified \( \sigma_{sp} \) at each RH. Detailed description of the humidified nephelometer system was illustrated in Kuang et al. (2017a).

The particle size-resolved activation ratio (AR), defined as the ratio of \( N_{CCN} \) to total particles, was measured by a system mainly consisting of a differential mobility analyzer (DMA, Model 3081) and a continuous-flow CCN counter (model CCN200, Droplet Measurement Technologies, USA; Roberts and Nenes (2005); Lance et al. (2006)). The system selected mono-disperse particles with the DMA coupled with an electrostatic classifier (model 3080; TSI, Inc., Shoreview, MN USA) and measured AR of the mono-disperse particles by a condensation particle counter (CPC model 3776; TSI, Inc.) and CCN counter. Ranges of particle size and supersaturation were 10-300 nm and 0.07%-0.80%, respectively. Measurements at five supersaturations (0.07%, 0.10%, 0.20%, 0.40% and 0.80%) were conducted sequentially with each cycle lasted for 1 hour, and \( N_{CCN} \) at 0.07% supersaturation was used in this study. Before and after the campaign, supersaturations set in this system were calibrated using ammonium sulfate (Rose et al., 2008). More information about the system are given in Deng et al. (2011) and Ma et al. (2016).

PNSD with particle diameter from 9 nm to 10 um was measured by a mobility particle size spectrometer (SMPS, TSI Inc., Model 3996) and an Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS, TSI Inc., Model 3321). SMPS consisted of a DMA, an electrostatic classifier and a CPC (model 3776; TSI, Inc., Shoreview, MN USA) and measured PNSD with diameter lower than 700 nm.

In addition, PNSD and \( \sigma_{sp} \) from 2011 to 2014 at four campaigns (Wuqing in 2011, Xianghe in
2012 and 2013, and Wangdu in 2014) in NCP were used in this study. PNSD in these campaigns was measured by a Twin Differential Mobility Particle Sizer (TDMPS, Leibniz-Institute for Tropospheric Research (IfT), Germany) and an Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS, TSI Inc., Model 3321). A TSI 3563 nephelometer was used to obtain $\sigma_{sp}$ at three wavelengths. Details about the four campaigns can be found in Ma et al. (2011), Ma et al. (2016), Kuang et al. (2016) and Kuang et al. (2017a).

2.2. Theories

Hygroscopic growth of particles at certain relative humidity can be described by $\kappa$-Köhler theory (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2007):

\[
\frac{RH}{100} = \frac{g(RH)^{3-1}}{g(RH)^{3-(1-\kappa)}} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{4\sigma_{sa}M_w}{RTD_f\rho_w}\right)
\]

where $g(RH)$ is geometric diameter growth factor, $\kappa$ is the hygroscopicity parameter, RH is the relative humidity; $\rho_w$ is the density of water; $M_w$ is the molecular weight of water; $\sigma_{sa}$ is the surface tension of the solution–air interface, which is assumed to be equal to the surface tension of the pure water–air interface; $R$ is the universal gas constant; and $T$ is the temperature.

Accounting for the impact of $\mathring{A}$, $\kappa_f$ can be derived directly from fRH (Brock et al., 2016; Kuang et al., 2017a). A single-parameter parameterization scheme proposed by Brock et al. (2016) connects fRH and $\kappa$ by the approximately proportional relationship between total aerosol volume and $\sigma_{sp}$:

\[
f(RH) = 1 + \kappa_{sca} \cdot \frac{RH}{(100-RH)}
\]

where $\kappa_{sca}$ is a parameter for fitting fRH curves and is found can be used to predict $\kappa_f$ in combination with $\mathring{A}$ in recent studies (Brock et al., 2016; Kuang et al., 2017a). This method of calculating $\kappa_f$ based on $\kappa_{sca}$ and $\mathring{A}$ was confirmed by good agreement with $\kappa_f$ calculated from fRH and PNSD.

$N_{CCN}$ can be calculated from size-resolved AR at a certain supersaturation (SS) and PNSD (referred to as $n(\log D_p)$) as follows:

\[
N_{CCN} = \int_{\log D_p} AR(\log D_p, SS) \cdot n(\log D_p) d\log D_p
\]
In general, size-resolved AR curves are complicated and always replaced by a critical diameter to simplify calculation (Deng et al., 2013). The critical diameter is defined as:

$$N_{CCN} = \int_{\log D_c}^{\log D_{p,\text{max}}} n (\log D_p) d \log D_p$$

where $D_{p,\text{max}}$ is the maximum diameter of the measured particle number size distribution. In other words, the integral of PNSD larger than $D_c$ equals to the measured $N_{CCN}$. And a critical $\kappa$ ($\kappa_c$) can be calculated by equation (1) and indicates CCN activity and hygroscopicity of particles.

3. Results

3.1. Calculation of $N_{CCN}$ based on measurements of a Humidified Nephelometer system

Free of sea salt aerosol and dust aerosol, accumulation mode aerosol dominates both the optical scattering ability at short wavelengths and the CCN activity at low supersaturations, and thus a reasonable relationship between $\sigma_{sp}$ and $N_{CCN}$ can be achieved. Figure 1 shows the size distribution of cumulative contributions of $\sigma_{sp}$ at 450nm and $N_{CCN}$ at 0.07% with various $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\kappa_c$, and corresponding normalized PNSDs based on data measured at the four campaigns on the North China Plain. During the four campaigns, no sea salt aerosol or dust aerosol was observed (Ma et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2016; Kuang et al., 2016; Kuang et al., 2017a). For continental aerosol without sea salt or dust, $\bar{\alpha}$ varies from 0.5 to 1.8 and $\kappa_c$ varies from 0.1 to 0.5 (Cheng et al., 2008; Ma et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2014; Kuang et al., 2017b). And as mentioned before, $\bar{\alpha}$ can be used as a proxy of the overall size distribution of aerosol populations, with smaller $\bar{\alpha}$ indicating more larger particles. In figure 1, comparisons for $\bar{\alpha}$ are made between 0.5 and 1.9 and for $\kappa_c$ are made between 0.1 and 0.5. As larger particles contribute more to light scattering and activation, cumulative contributions of both $\sigma_{sp}$ and $N_{CCN}$ increase significantly at the diameter range of accumulation mode particles. Because more hygroscopic particles are able to activate at smaller diameters, the cumulative contribution of $N_{CCN}$ with higher $\kappa_c$ increases at smaller diameters. In general, major contributions of both $\sigma_{sp}$ and $N_{CCN}$ are made by particles from 200nm to 500nm for various $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\kappa_c$. This implies the feasibility of inferring $N_{CCN}$ from aerosol optical properties.
Because particles smaller than 200nm can activate at supersaturations higher than 0.07% while scatter less light at wavelengths longer than 450nm, which are shown as the light color lines in Figure 1, it’s obvious that significant differences will exist between cumulative contributions of $\sigma_{sp}$ and $N_{CCN}$. This means $\sigma_{sp}$ and $N_{CCN}$ are dominated by different particles and poor correlation between $\sigma_{sp}$ and $N_{CCN}$ can be expected. Thus the method of inferring $N_{CCN}$ from aerosol optical properties is applicable for shorter wavelength and lower supersaturations.

Furthermore, PNSD with higher $\bar{A}$ indicates more Aitken mode particles and fewer accumulation mode particles. Thus large particles contribute less for both $\sigma_{sp}$ and $N_{CCN}$ when $\bar{A}$ are higher, characterizing an increase of cumulative contribution curves at smaller diameters. In detail, differences of cumulative contribution curves between 0.5 $\bar{A}$ and 1.9 $\bar{A}$ are about 150nm for $\sigma_{sp}$ and about 100nm for $N_{CCN}$, by estimating the average of differences of diameters where cumulative contributions range from 0.2 to 0.8. Changes of cumulative contributions of $N_{CCN}$ and $\sigma_{sp}$ with various $\bar{A}$ reveal that the shape of PNSD can influence the correlation between $N_{CCN}$ and $\sigma_{sp}$. This is confirmed by previous studies in which the $\bar{A}$ is found to play an important role in calculating $N_{CCN}$ from $\sigma_{sp}$ (Shinozuka et al., 2015; Liu and Li, 2014).

The relationship between $\sigma_{sp}$ and $N_{CCN}$ dependent on $\bar{A}$ and $\kappa_c$ is evaluated by calculating $\sigma_{sp}$ and $N_{CCN}$ with different PNSDs (classified by $\bar{A}$) and different $\kappa_c$. In detail, ratios of $N_{CCN}$ to $\sigma_{sp}$, referred to as AR$_{sp}$, are calculated to eliminate the effect of variations of particle concentrations consistent at all diameters. Results at the supersaturation of 0.07% are shown in figure 2 and AR$_{sp}$ is higher than 0 and lower than 10. In general, AR$_{sp}$ are higher for more hygroscopic particles or smaller particles. As particles become more hygroscopic, more CCN can be expected when $\sigma_{sp}$ is fixed. As aerosol populations consist of more smaller CCN-active particles, the increase of $\sigma_{sp}$ is weaker than that of $N_{CCN}$. For example, particles with diameters slightly larger than $D_c$ contribute less to $\sigma_{sp}$ than particles with diameters much larger than $D_c$. 
In detail, the sensitivity of \(AR_{sp}\) to \(\hat{\alpha}\) also changes with \(\hat{\alpha}\) and \(\kappa_c\). When \(\hat{\alpha}\) are higher than 1.4 and \(\kappa_c\) is lower than 0.2, \(AR_{sp}\) is insensitive to \(\hat{\alpha}\). While when \(\hat{\alpha}\) are lower than 1 and \(\kappa_c\) are higher than about 0.3, \(AR_{sp}\) is more sensitive to \(\hat{\alpha}\) than \(\kappa_c\). This higher sensitivity of \(AR_{sp}\) to \(\hat{\alpha}\) reveals that particles having mean predominate size smaller than existing particles can contribute more to \(N_{CCN}\). This is the consequence of the sensitivity of \(AR_{sp}\) to \(\hat{\alpha}\) resulting from the variation of small CCN-active particles, as mentioned before.

Based on the lookup-table illustrated in Figure 2, \(N_{CCN}\) at the supersaturation of 0.07% can be calculated simply from \(\hat{\alpha}\), \(\kappa_f\) and \(\sigma_{sp}\) which can be obtained from measurements of a humidified nephelometer system. The description of this simple method is shown in figure 3. A new look-up table needs to be made for \(N_{CCN}\) estimation at other supersaturations, which should better be less than 0.07% as mentioned in the discussion of figure 1.

One critical issue about the method is the conversion of the \(\kappa_f\) obtained from the humidified nephelometer system to the \(\kappa_c\) under super-saturated conditions. There are mainly two factors making this conversion necessary. First, closure studies of aerosol hygroscopicity found significant deviations between hygroscopicity at sub-saturated conditions and super-saturated conditions (Wex et al., 2009; Irwin et al., 2010; Good et al., 2010; Renbaum-Wolff et al. 2016). Their difference can be expected to be about 0.1 for accumulation mode aerosol(Wu et al., 2013; Whitehead et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2016). Second, \(\kappa_f\) indicates the hygroscopicity of total particles and can be quite different from aerosol hygroscopicity at a specific diameter due to variations of size-dependent particle hygroscopicity. Kuang et al. (2017a) found a difference around 0.1 between \(\kappa_f\) and \(\kappa\) inferred from g(RH) measurements for accumulation mode particles whose \(\kappa_f\) is no larger than 0.2. In this study, a simple conversion that \(\kappa_c\) is 0.2 higher than \(\kappa_f\) is used to calculate \(N_{CCN}\), while for \(\kappa_f\) larger than 0.2, a smaller difference of 0.1 between \(\kappa_c\) and \(\kappa_f\) should be used (Kuang et al., 2017a). This simplified relationship between \(\kappa_c\) and \(\kappa_f\) is a rough estimate regardless of the complexity of differences of aerosol hygroscopicity measured by different instruments, but still used in this study for two reasons. First, the accurate conversion cannot be achieved without detailed information of the particle hygroscopicity, which is difficult and complicated to measure. Second, a deviation of \(\kappa_c\) less than 0.1 generally leads to a deviation of \(N_{CCN}\) less than 20% (Ma et al., 2016), which is comparable with the deviation of CCN measurements. As a result, for a simple method of
\( N_{\text{CCN}} \) calculation, this conversion is quite easy. In addition, it is important to note that the value of the difference between \( \kappa_c \) and \( \kappa_f \) is also a rough estimate regardless of the complexity of aerosol hygroscopicity under different conditions, and the influence of \( \Delta \kappa \) deviation on \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) calculation needs to be further examined based on field observation. In regions of single aerosol emissions or productions, the actual \( \Delta \kappa \) can be too large (some organic compositions, Wex et al., 2009; Renbaum-Wolff et al., 2016) or too small (inorganic compositions and black carbon) and thus is not suitable for the application of this method.

Besides aerosol size and hygroscopicity, aerosol mixing state can also affect aerosol cloud activity. When primary aerosol emissions are strong, aerosol populations are likely to be externally mixed and a realistic treatment of aerosol mixing state is critical for \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) calculation (Cubison et al., 2008; Wex et al., 2010). But for regions away from strong aerosol primary emissions, the influence of mixing state on aerosol cloud activity is small and the assumption of internal mixing state is effective for the estimation of \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) (Dusek et al., 2006; Deng et al., 2013; Ervens et al., 2010). For regions above the boundary layer where clouds form and measurements of \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) are important, this conclusion is tenable if there are no plumes (Moteki and Kondo, 2007; McMeeking et al., 2011). In the new method of this paper aerosol populations are assumed to be internally mixed. Thus this method might not be applicable for regions or air masses greatly affected by strong primary aerosol emissions. Furthermore, this new method cannot be applied for regions where sea salt or dust prevails, as mentioned before. In summary, this method can be used to calculate \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) for continental regions, especially at clouds forming heights, where aged aerosol particles dominate.

3.2. Validation based on \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) measurement

The method for calculating \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) based on measurement of the humidified nephelometer system, including the conversion of \( \kappa_c \) and the lookup-table, is examined using data measured in Gucheng.

Overview of data in Gucheng is shown in Figure 4. From polluted periods to clean periods, significant variations of \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{sp}} \) can be found but AR_{sp} of \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) to \( \sigma_{\text{sp}} \) stays around 5. On October 23\(^{\text{rd}} \) and 29\(^{\text{th}} \), \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{sp}} \) are lower than 2000#/cm\(^3\) and 500Mm\(^{-1}\), respectively. While on October 20\(^{\text{th}} \), 26\(^{\text{th}} \) and November 3\(^{\text{rd}} \), \( N_{\text{CCN}} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{sp}} \) are higher than 2000#/cm\(^3\) and 500Mm\(^{-1}\),
respectively. These variations of $N_{CCN}$ and $\sigma_{sp}$ are mainly due to the variation of the particle number concentration rather than the shape of particle size distribution and aerosol hygroscopicity. Variations of AR$_{sp}$ result from the variations of $\tilde{A}$ and $\kappa_c$, which indicate the variations of aerosol microphysical properties and chemical compositions.

In general, AR$_{sp}$ is more sensitive to variations of $\tilde{A}$ than $\kappa_c$. As mentioned before, the sensitivity of AR$_{sp}$ to $\tilde{A}$ is determined by both $\tilde{A}$ and $\kappa_f$. In detail, $\tilde{A}$ during the campaign mainly ranges from 0.5 to 1.5 and $\kappa_f$ ranges mainly from 0.05 to 0.2, which means that $\kappa_c$ ranges from 0.25 to 0.4. These values of $\tilde{A}$ and $\kappa_f$ correspond a significant sensitivity of AR$_{sp}$ to $\tilde{A}$, as the lookup table shows in figure 2. The sensitivity of AR$_{sp}$ to $\kappa_c$ is much small and only notable during some short periods (grey bars in Figure 4). For example, from November 5$^{th}$ to 7$^{th}$, variations of $\kappa_f$ and $\tilde{A}$ are opposite and result in nearly constant AR$_{sp}$. And from October 30$^{th}$ to November 2$^{nd}$, consistent variations of $\tilde{A}$ and $\kappa_f$ lead to greater variations of AR$_{sp}$ than other periods. This weak sensitivity of AR$_{sp}$ to $\kappa_f$ may be due to the uncertainty of $\kappa_c$ calculated from $\kappa_f$ based on the simplified conversion.

This simplified conversion of $\kappa_c$ is examined by comparing $\kappa_f$ and $\kappa_c$ measured in Gucheng campaign, shown in Figure 5. In general, $\Delta \kappa$ that indicates the difference between $\kappa_f$ and $\kappa_c$ is around 0.2 and independent from $\tilde{A}$ and $\kappa_c$. Over 80% of $\Delta \kappa$ ranges from 0.1 to 0.3 that confirms applicability of the simplified conversion of $\kappa_c$. However, a notable deviation of $\Delta \kappa$ can be found when $\tilde{A}$ is higher than 1.5. High values of $\tilde{A}$ represent existence of small particles. Compositions and mixing state of these small particles, which may be fresh emitted and experience inefficient aging processes, are diverse and likely to deviate from the simplified conversion of $\kappa_c$.

Therefore, considering the deviation of $\kappa_c$ conversion and high sensitivity of AR$_{sp}$ to $\kappa_c$ when $\tilde{A}$ is higher than 1.5, the method of calculating $N_{CCN}$ from measurements of a humidified nephelometer system may lead to significant deviation in this case which means that this method can only be adopted when $\tilde{A}$ is lower than 1.5.

Based on the lookup table of $\kappa_c$ and $\tilde{A}$, AR$_{sp}$ is calculated and applied to calculate $N_{CCN}$ with $\sigma_{sp}$. The calculated AR$_{sp}$ and $N_{CCN}$ are compared with the measured AR$_{sp}$ and $N_{CCN}$ shown as the green dots in Figure 6. In general, good agreements between calculations and measurements are
achieved and relative deviations are within 30%. For the comparison of ARsp, the system relative deviation is less than 10%. For the comparison of NCCN, the slope and the correlation coefficient of the regression are 1.03 and 0.966, respectively.

In addition, the influence of the $\kappa_c$ conversion on ARsp and NCCN calculation are evaluated in two ways. In the first way, $\Delta \kappa$ of the $\kappa_c$ conversion is set to be 0.05 higher or lower, which means $\Delta \kappa$ of 0.25 or 0.15. The corresponding results are presented as the red dots and blue dots in Figure 6. In the second way, a constant $\kappa_c$ of 0.34, which is the average of $\kappa_c$ values in Gucheng campaign, is used to calculate ARsp and $N_{CCN}$, and shown as the grey dots in Figure 6. In general, differences among calculations using various $\kappa_c$ conversions are quite small. The $\Delta \kappa$ difference of 0.05 in $\kappa_c$ conversion only leads to a difference of 10% for the system relative deviation. The correlation coefficient of the calculation using a constant $\kappa_c$ is just a little lower than correlation coefficients of calculations using a $\kappa_c$ conversion. As a result, the method of calculating $N_{CCN}$ is insensitive to the uncertainty of the $\kappa_c$ conversion.

In this study, the insensitivity of calculated $N_{CCN}$ to $\kappa_c$ conversion is partly due to the small variation of $\kappa_f$ during the campaign. On one hand, the variation of $\kappa_c$ can be quite large and cause non-ignorable deviations of calculated $N_{CCN}$. As previous studies of $N_{CCN}$ measurement showed, the variation of $\kappa_c$ is often small and a constant $\kappa_c$ can be used to calculate $N_{CCN}$ accurately (Andreae and Rosenfeld, 2008; Gunthe et al., 2009; Rose et al., 2010; Deng et al., 2013). Results in this study are similar to these previous studies. However, large variations of $\kappa_c$ are also found in some other studies. In NCP, fluctuations of aerosol hygroscopicity during New Particle Formation events and soot emissions lead to significant deviations of calculated $N_{CCN}$ from average aerosol hygroscopicity (Ma et al., 2016). On the other hand, the influence of $\kappa_c$ cannot be ignored because the value of the average hygroscopicity is different in various regions during various periods. In summer of NCP, measured $\kappa_f$ at sub-saturated conditions can reach up to 0.45 when inorganic compositions dominate in particles (Kuang et al., 2016). In this case, calculated $N_{CCN}$ ignoring $\kappa_c$ may be 10 times larger than measured $N_{CCN}$. To sum up, although the exact value of $\kappa_c$ cannot be obtained from the measurement of the humidified nephelometer system, the influence of $\kappa_c$ on $N_{CCN}$ can be inferred and is found to be correct enough considering the convenience of this method. More data, especially in observations of more hygroscopic aerosol, is still needed to confirm this method.
4. Conclusions

$N_{\text{CCN}}$ is a key parameter of cloud microphysics and aerosol indirect radiative effect. Direct measurements of $N_{\text{CCN}}$ are generally conducted under super-saturated conditions in cloud chambers, and are complex and costly. The aerosols of accumulation mode contribute most to both the aerosol scattering coefficient and the aerosol CCN activity. In view of this, it is possible to predict $N_{\text{CCN}}$ based on relationships between aerosol optical properties and the aerosol CCN activity. In this study, a new method is proposed to calculate $N_{\text{CCN}}$ based on measurements of a humidified nephelometer system. In this method, $N_{\text{CCN}}$ is derived from a look-up table which involves $\sigma_{\text{sp}}$, $\text{Å}$, and $\kappa_f$, and the required three parameters can be obtained from a three-wavelength humidified nephelometer system.

Relationships between aerosol optical properties and aerosol CCN activity are investigated using datasets about aerosol PNSD measured during several campaigns in the North China Plain. The relationship between $\sigma_{\text{sp}}$, $\text{Å}$, $\kappa_c$ and $N_{\text{CCN}}$ is analyzed. It is found that the ratio between $N_{\text{CCN}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{sp}}$, referred to as AR$_{\text{sp}}$, is determined by $\kappa_c$ and $\text{Å}$. In light of this, it is possible to calculate $N_{\text{CCN}}$ based only on measurements of a three-wavelength humidified nephelometer system which provides information about $\sigma_{\text{sp}}$, the hygroscopicity parameter $\kappa$ and $\text{Å}$. However, $\kappa$ derived from measurements of a humidified nephelometer system under sub-saturated conditions (termed as $\kappa_f$) differs from $\kappa$ under super-saturated conditions which indicate CCN activity (termed as $\kappa_c$). As a result, the conversion from $\kappa_f$ to $\kappa_c$ is needed. Based on previous studies of aerosol hygroscopicity and CCN activity, a simple conversion from $\kappa_f$ to $\kappa_c$ with a fixed difference (referred to as $\Delta \kappa$ ) of 0.2 is proposed. On the basis of this simple conversion, the method of $N_{\text{CCN}}$ prediction based only on measurements of a humidified nephelometer system is achieved under conditions without sea salt aerosol, dust aerosol, externally mixed aerosol or aerosol near single source regions.

This method is validated with measurements from a humidified nephelometer system and a CCN counter in Gucheng in 2016. During the campaign, both $N_{\text{CCN}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{sp}}$ vary with the pollution conditions. AR$_{\text{sp}}$ is around 5 and changes with $\text{Å}$ and $\kappa_f$. The difference between $\kappa_f$ and $\kappa_c$ was 0.2±0.1. The agreement between the calculated $N_{\text{CCN}}$ and the measured $N_{\text{CCN}}$ is achieved with
relative deviations less than 30%. Sensitivity of calculated $N_{CCN}$ to conversions from $\kappa_f$ to $\kappa_c$ is studied by applying different kinds of conversions. Results show that calculated $N_{CCN}$ varies little and is insensitive to the conversions, which confirms the robustness and applicability of this newly proposed method.

This study has connected aerosol optical properties with $N_{CCN}$, and also proposed a novel method to calculate $N_{CCN}$ based only on measurements of a three-wavelength humidified nephelometer system. Due to the simple operation and stability of the humidified nephelometer system, this method will facilitate the real time monitoring of $N_{CCN}$, especially on aircrafts. In addition, measurements of the widely used CCN counter are limited to supersaturations higher than 0.07. This method is more suitable for calculating $N_{CCN}$ at lower supersaturations, thus is more applicable for ambient measurements of clouds and fogs in the atmosphere.
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Figure 1.

Aerosol PNSD (black lines), the cumulative contribution of $\sigma_{sp}$ at wavelength of 450nm and 700nm (dark green lines and light green lines, respectively), the cumulative contribution of $N_{CCN}$ at supersaturation of 0.07% (dark red and dark blue lines) and the cumulative contribution of $N_{CCN}$ at supersaturation of 0.20% (light red and light blue lines) based on measurement in several campaigns in the North China Plain. Solid lines and dashed lines indicate $\bar{A}$ of 1.9 and 0.5, respectively. Blue lines and red lines indicate $\kappa_c$ of 0.1 and 0.5, respectively.
Colors represent AR$_{sp}$ (calculated as $AR_{sp} = \frac{N_{CCN}}{\sigma_{sp}}$ at 450nm wavelength and 0.07% supersaturation) with different PNSDs (classified by $\tilde{\Lambda}$ values) and different $\kappa_c$.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.
The schematic chart of the $N_{CCN}$ prediction based on measurements of a humidified nephelometer system.

Figure 4.

Overview of measurements in Gucheng in 2016. Upper plot: time series of $N_{CCN}$ at the supersaturation of 0.07% (red dots), $\sigma_{sp}$ at the wavelength of 50nm (green dots) and their ratios (black dots), referred to as $AR_{sp}$. Lower plot: time series of $\kappa_f$ (red dots) and $\hat{A}$ (green dots). The grey bars are periods when the sensitivity of $AR_{sp}$ to $\kappa_c$ is notable.
Figure 5.

Differences between $\kappa_c$ and $\kappa_f$, referred to as $\Delta \kappa$, with $\kappa$ (positions of dots) and $\kappa_f$ (colors of dots). Bars represent percentages of $\Delta \kappa$ within different ranges.

Figure 6.

Left plot: comparisons of calculated $AR_{\text{sp}}$ and measured $AR_{\text{sp}}$ with different conversions of $\kappa_c$ from $\kappa_f$. Right plot: regressions of calculated $N_{\text{CCN}}$ and measured $N_{\text{CCN}}$ with different conversions of $\kappa_c$ from $\kappa_f$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campaign</th>
<th>Air mass</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Caveats</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICARTT$^1$ in the north eastern USA and Canada</td>
<td>Polluted air mass</td>
<td>fRH and PNSD</td>
<td>Calculate $N_{\text{CCN}}$ with aerosol hygroscopicity contrained by f(RH) and PNSD.</td>
<td>Predict $N_{\text{CCN}}$ at SS &gt; 0.3% with a 0.9 $R^2$.</td>
<td>Ervens et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HaChi$^2$ on the North China Plain</td>
<td>Aged continental air mass</td>
<td>PNSD and fRH</td>
<td>Calculate $N_{\text{CCN}}$ with the hygroscopicity parameter contrained by f(RH) and PNSD.</td>
<td>Slopes around 1 and $R^2$ around 0.9.</td>
<td>Chen et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Measurement/Methodology</td>
<td>Prediction/Result</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARFOX³</td>
<td>Atlantic seaboard and ACE-2⁴</td>
<td>Retrieved aerosol volume from remote sensing</td>
<td>Predict $N_{CCN}$ from aerosol volumes with empirical number-to-volume concentration ratio</td>
<td>Gasso and Hegg, 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE-2 in northeastern Atlantic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Backscatter or extinction profile, CCN at the surface.</td>
<td>Retrieve $N_{CCN}$ profile from backscatter (or extinction) vertical profile assuming their ratios are the same to the ratio at surface, which can be calculated by backscatter (or extinction) and $N_{CCN}$ measured at the surface</td>
<td>Ghan and Collins, 2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARM⁵</td>
<td>Climate Research Facility central site at the Southern Great Plains</td>
<td>Backscatter (or extinction) and RH profile, fRH and CCN at surface.</td>
<td>Same as Ghan and Collins, 2004.</td>
<td>Ghan et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRACE-P⁶ and ACE-Asia⁷</td>
<td>Asian outflow over the western Pacific</td>
<td>Aerosol Index (AI, the product of ambient light extinction and Å)</td>
<td>Explains CCN variance for 25%-63% of all measurements at high supersaturations</td>
<td>Kapustin et al., 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple measurements</td>
<td>Diverse air mass</td>
<td>AERONET aerosol optical thickness (AOT)</td>
<td>Predict $N_{CCN}$ at SS &gt; 0.3% with a 0.88 $R^2$, but have a factor-of-four range of $N_{CCN}$ at a given AOT</td>
<td>Andreae, 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four ARM sites</td>
<td>Polluted air mass</td>
<td>SSA, backscatter fraction and $\sigma_{sp}$</td>
<td>Estimate $N_{CCN}$ from fitting parameters for the $N_{CCN}$ activity spectra, which can be calculate based on their empirical relationships with $N_{CCN}$ with slopes around 0.9 and $R^2$ around 0.6.</td>
<td>Jefferson, 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
aerosol optical properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiple ARM sites around the world</th>
<th>Diverse air mass</th>
<th>RH, fRH, SSA, AOT and σ_{sp}</th>
<th>Calculate N_{CCN} with σ_{sp} (or AOT) based on their empirical relationship, whose impact RH, fRH and SSA.</th>
<th>Achieve the best results by using σ_{sp} and SSA. Weakly affect on the σ_{sp}–N_{CCN} relationship by fRH. Deteriorate N_{CCN}–AOT relationship with increasing RH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiple ARM sites around the world</td>
<td>Diverse air mass not dominated by dust</td>
<td>Å and extinction coefficient</td>
<td>Calculate N_{CCN} with light extinction based on their empirical relationship.</td>
<td>Deviate typically within a factor of 2.0.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Shinozuka et al., 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tabel 1.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review of studies that have used aerosol optical parameters to infer N_{CCN}.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 International Consortium for Atmospheric Research on Transport and Transformation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Haze in China.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Troposphere Aerosol Radiative Forcing Experiment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Second Aerosol Characterization Experiment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Atmospheric Radiation Measurement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Transport and Chemical Evolution over the Pacific.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Aerosol Characterization Experiment–Asia.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>