A technique for quantifying heterogeneous ice nucleation in microlitre supercooled water droplets
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Abstract

The ice content of mixed phase clouds, which contain both supercooled water and ice, affects both their lifetime and radiative properties. In many clouds, the formation of ice requires the presence of particles capable of nucleating ice. One of the most important features of ice nucleating particles (INPs) is that they are rare in comparison to cloud condensation nuclei. However, the fact that only a small fraction of aerosol particles can nucleate ice means that detection and quantification of INPs is challenging. This is particularly true at temperatures above about −20 °C since the population of particles capable of serving as INPs decreases dramatically with increasing temperature. In this paper, we describe an experimental technique in which droplets of microlitre volume containing ice nucleating material are cooled down at a controlled rate and their freezing temperatures recorded. The advantage of using large droplet volumes is that the surface area per droplet is vastly larger than in experiments focused on single aerosol particles or cloud-sized droplets. This increases the probability of observing the effect of less common, but important, high temperature INPs and therefore allows the quantification of their ice nucleation efficiency. The potential artefacts which could influence data from this experiment, and other similar experiments, are mitigated and discussed. Experimentally determined heterogeneous ice nucleation efficiencies for K-feldspar (microcline), kaolinite, chlorite, Snomax®, and silver iodide are presented.

1 Introduction

Clouds droplets can supercool to temperatures below −37 °C (Rosenfeld and Woodley, 2000), but can freeze at much warmer temperatures in the presence of ice nucleating particles (INPs). In fact, mixed-phase stratus clouds are observed to glaciate at temperatures warmer than −15 °C, but only in certain locations (Kanitz et al., 2011). In addition, ice formation in the ice multiplication regime around −3 to −8 °C is critically important in the formation of precipitation from convective clouds (Crawford et al.,...
2012). However, recent assessments of laboratory ice nucleation data for a range of atmospherically relevant materials concluded that it is unclear which aerosol species trigger freezing above \(-15 \, ^\circ\text{C}\) (Murray et al., 2012; Hoose and Möhler, 2012). Part of the problem is that many quantitative experimental techniques using cloud sized droplets for determining ice nucleation efficiency are not sufficiently sensitive to quantify the efficiency of many nucleant species at temperatures warmer than \(-15 \, ^\circ\text{C}\).

There are a number of instruments types that have been used for research into immersion mode ice nucleation and reviews on the subject are available (Hoose and Möhler, 2012; Murray et al., 2012). These instruments include, among others, cloud chambers e.g. (Jiang et al., 2014; Niemand et al., 2012; Cotton et al., 2007), continuous flow diffusion chambers (CFDCs) e.g. (Salam et al., 2006; Rogers et al., 2001) and a wide variety of droplet freezing experiments e.g. (Knopf and Alpert, 2013; Vali, 2008; Murray et al., 2011). Cloud chambers and CFDC’s quantify the ice nucleation ability of a dispersion of aerosol particles as a function of relative humidity and temperature. In contrast, droplet freezing experiments tend to have multiple particles suspended in individual water droplets.

A common way of quantifying the ice nucleation efficiency of a material is using the ice active surface site density, \(n_s\), which is the cumulative number of nucleation sites per unit surface area of nucleant that become active on cooling from 0 \(^\circ\text{C}\) to a temperature \(T\) (Connolly et al., 2009).

\[
\frac{n(T)}{N} = 1 - \exp(-n_s(T)A)
\]

(1)

where \(n(T)\) is the number of droplets frozen at temperature \((T)\), \(N\) is the total number of droplets in the experiment and \(A\) is the surface area of nucleant per droplet. In using this approximation it is assumed that the time dependence of nucleation arising from the stochastic nature of ice nucleation is negligible.

In general, instrumentation employing single aerosol particles suspended in gas and droplet experiments working with clouds sized droplets (10’s of micrometers) report
values of \( n_s \) down to about \( 10^3 \) cm\(^{-2} \) (e.g. see Fig. 18 of Murray et al., 2012 for a compilation). However, Crawford et al. (2012) suggest that mineral dust with an \( n_s \) at this detection limit would be sufficient to trigger significant cloud glaciation in a cumulus cloud they studied over wintertime Southern England. Clouds which are more heavily influenced by mineral dust would be sensitive to even smaller values of \( n_s \). Ideally, our laboratory instrumentation should be capable of making measurements of \( n_s \) more than covering the range of relevance for the atmosphere, hence providing the motivation for developing the instrument described in this paper.

Our experimental approach builds on techniques employing aliquots of water much larger than the dimensions of typical cloud droplets which have been used since the very early days of ice nucleation studies (Vali, 1995, 1971) and continues to be used in the present day (Stopelli et al., 2014; Conen et al., 2011; Knopf and Forrester, 2011; Garcia et al., 2012). The advantage of this approach is that the surface area of nucleant per droplet scales with the volume of the droplet (for a constant mass fraction of nucleant in water). Hence, increasing the size of droplet from cloud droplet sizes (\( \sim \) 10 \( \mu \)m; picolitre) to 1 mm (microlitre) increases the surface area per droplet by six orders of magnitude. This therefore allows the quantification of \( n_s \) to much smaller values.

The basic concept of a droplet freezing experiment (or droplet freezing assay) is to take an aqueous suspension and subdivide it into multiple aliquots of ideally identical volume, although polydisperse droplet distributions can also be used (Vali, 1971; Murray et al., 2011). The multiple droplets are then cooled identically. Experiments can be conducted using either a constant cooling rate, isothermally or with a stepped temperature profile, and have differed widely in terms of the volume of droplet used and methods of cooling and droplet production (Vali, 1995). The fraction of droplets frozen at a given temperature or after a certain time interval can hence be determined. There are various methods of using the resultant data (Vali, 2014). These include deterministic models (such as Eq. 1) that link droplet fraction frozen directly to temperature e.g. (Vali, 1971) and time dependent models of varying complexity (e.g. Marcolli et al., 2007; Herbert et al., 2014; Broadley et al., 2012).
In this paper, we present a new method of conducting a droplet freezing experiment using microlitre scale droplets. This instrument, the microlitre Nucleation by Immersed Particle Instrument (µL-NIPI), is based around a Stirling cryocooler which cools a hydrophobic surface supporting microlitre volume droplets and the freezing of the droplets is monitored using a digital camera. In addition to presenting new data for the nucleation efficiency of K-feldspar (microcline), kaolinite, chlorite, Snomax®, and silver iodide we also discuss potential artefacts and how to avoid them.

2 Description of the µL-Nucleation by Immersed Particle Instrument (µL-NIPI)

The µL-NIPI forms part of a suite of instruments which are designed to make measurements of $n_s$ over a range of 10 orders of magnitude, thus covering the range relevant for the atmosphere. We have previously described a droplet freezing technique using picolitre volume droplets which has been used to study mineral dusts (Atkinson et al., 2013; Broadley et al., 2012; Murray et al., 2011), soil particles (O’Sullivan et al., 2014) and homogeneous nucleation (Murray et al., 2010), and a technique using nanolitre droplets with soil particles (O’Sullivan et al., 2014). The microlitre technique described in detail here has been used to study ice nucleation by mineral dusts (Atkinson et al., 2013), soil (O’Sullivan et al., 2014), and time dependence of nucleation by kaolinite and K-feldspar (Herbert et al., 2014). The µL-NIPI also offers a range of other advantages. Experiments can be performed relatively quickly with excellent reproducibility. Freezing events are easy to detect and the continuous monitoring of freezing during a controlled temperature ramp allows generation of a nucleation spectrum. In addition, as it has no need for cooling fluids and the equipment is portable allowing it to be readily deployed in field settings.

The general layout of the µL-NIPI is shown in Fig. 1. The µL-NIPI consists of a cold stage, a hydrophobic surface which supports the droplets, an enclosure in which the humidity experienced by the droplets can be controlled, and a digital camera to monitor the state of the droplets. To provide cooling and temperature monitoring,
a Grant-Asymptote EF600 cold stage was employed. The EF600 was developed for the purpose of cooling samples for biological cryopreservation and can control the temperature of a sample between 20°C and −100°C. For cryopreservation, a top plate capable of holding multiple cryovials is typically employed. However, a flat aluminium top plate is also available and was used for this experimental setup. To conduct a droplet freezing experiment, a hydrophobic silanised glass slide of 22 mm diameter and 0.22 mm thickness (Hampton Research HR3-231) was placed onto this flat top plate. The slide was cleaned before use using water, methanol, and chloroform. 40 to 50 droplets of 1 µL volume were pipetted onto the slide using a Picus Biohit electronic pipette while the slide was at room temperature. To ensure that individual droplets contained the same amount of material the suspensions were stirred during the pipetting process. The uncertainty in volume quoted by the manufacturer is ±0.025 µL. The droplets and slide were then covered within a Perspex chamber with ports for a camera (Microsoft Lifecam HD) and stainless steel pipes for delivering a gas flow to the cell. A recessed rubber O-ring was used to seal the chamber to the EF600 cold stage and an O-ring is also used to seal the camera opening. Both O-rings were coated with vacuum grease. A flow of dry zero grade nitrogen (0.2 L min⁻¹) was passed through the cell in order to prevent frost growth (see discussion later in paper).

The EF600 was internally controlled by a Eurotherm 2416 PID controller, run via Eurotherm’s iTools control software. For all work presented here, this software was used to program and commence a 1°C min⁻¹ temperature ramp from 1 to −35°C. Once the ramp was commenced data logger software associated with the EF600 was started and used to produce a log of temperature against time. A LabView program was used to record an image series from the digital camera, typically at a rate of 1 frame per second, and to produce a timestamp for each frame. Hence, the temperature of the cold stage during each frame was known. Videos were reviewed frame by frame and the temperature of freezing of each droplet recorded. Stills from the digital camera at several stages in the freezing experiment are shown in Fig. 2. The first change in droplet structure leading to droplet freezing was taken to be the nucleation event and
this information was used to establish the fraction of droplets frozen as a function of temperature.

The EF600 has a quoted temperature uncertainty of ±0.15°C at −7°C. To check the reliability of temperature measurement across a range of temperatures, a variety of compounds with known melting points were frozen and then melted by heating at 0.1°C min⁻¹. The melting temperature range was determined visually. Results from this process are presented in Table 1. It is necessary to calibrate using melting points, rather than freezing points, since phase transitions observed during cooling are always subject to nucleation phenomena, making them unsuitable for calibration of temperature (Riechers et al., 2013). By propagating the temperature error of the EF600 and the melting point range seen for water a maximum temperature error of ±0.4°C has been estimated.

2.1 Suspension preparation

As in previous studies suspensions of solid material in Milli-Q purified water (18.2 MΩ cm) are made up gravimetrically and are then mixed using a magnetic stirrer plate overnight (Atkinson et al., 2013; O’Sullivan et al., 2014). In this study we used three minerals (K-feldspar, kaolinite and chlorite), Snomax® (a commercial ice nucleant derived from Pseudomonas syringae bacteria), and silver iodide (details in Table 2). The kaolinite (Murray et al., 2011), K-feldspar, and chlorite samples (Atkinson et al., 2013) used here have been used in previous studies. Mineralogies and other details are given in Table 2. The specific surface area of K-feldspar sample used was measured using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) N₂ adsorption method using a Micromeritics TriStar 3000. The data presented in Sect. 4 using these nucleants is to illustrate the utility of the µL-NIPI and also illustrate potential artefacts the user should be aware of and how to avoid them.
2.2 Control experiments

Droplets on the microlitre scale are notoriously difficult to produce free of any heterogeneous INPs. For example, Langham and Mason (1958) recorded a median freezing temperature of around −28 °C for droplets of a volume on the order of a few microlitres and only occasionally saw drops reaching what is thought to be homogeneous nucleation temperatures (∼ −33 °C) in a system that suspended water droplets between two liquids of different densities. This was despite the use of a complex distillation system to obtain high purity water. To the best of our knowledge, only Fornea et al. (2009) have reported being able to reach the freezing temperatures predicted by CNT for microlitre volume droplets repeatably, reporting an average freezing temperature of −33.1 ± 0.6 °C for 2.0 µL droplets of HPLC water. Given the difficulty in producing microlitre droplets and suitably extensive surfaces free of ice nucleants it is necessary to establish the temperature limit below which freezing cannot be assumed to have been induced by a heterogeneous nucleant.

Freezing temperatures for Milli-Q water droplets are shown in Fig. 3. These droplets froze mostly between −20 and −30 °C, whereas classical nucleation theory predicts that these droplets should only freeze below −33 °C if they were to freeze homogeneously (Murray et al., 2010). In addition, there is a 2–3 K spread in freezing temperatures between separate runs which is larger than we would expect if the freezing pathway was homogeneous. We conclude that there are variable quantities of heterogeneous ice nucleating sites present that are active below about −20 °C, but it is not clear if nucleation is occurring on the slide or on impurities in the Milli-Q water. Milli-Q water is filtered through a 0.22 µm filter and is specified to have less than 1 particle per millilitre larger than this. No specification is provided for smaller particles. An impurity could also be introduced at points during the experimental procedure or even come from the air in the lab. In light of these control experiments, −20 °C has been taken as the lower limit for heterogenous ice nucleation experiments in this study.
3 Discussion of potential artefacts and uses of droplet freezing experiments

Droplet freezing experiments can suffer from a range of practical difficulties. This section addresses these problems and the solutions employed. As discussed by Stopelli et al. (2014), different sets of problems are encountered when using open droplet systems, where droplets are arrayed together on a hydrophobic surface, compared to closed droplet experiments, where each droplet is held in a separate container. In an open droplet system, there is potential for droplets to evaporate over time, for contamination from air or other droplets to impact freezing, and for freezing of droplets to influence neighbouring droplets by frost growth or splintering. As the µL-NIPI uses open droplets these issues must be overcome. Closed droplet systems avoid some of these problems, but monitoring of freezing becomes more challenging as the droplets are not easily visible (Stopelli et al., 2014).

3.1 Frost growth

In early experiments on the apparatus conducted without a flow of dry N₂ over the droplets, significant issues were experienced when freezing of a droplet induced freezing in nearby droplets. Images of experiments with and without a dry flow of gas are shown in Fig. 4. In these experiments 10 droplets were seeded with silver iodide while the remainder were composed of pure water. In Fig. 4b where there was no flow of dry gas there is extensive frost on the surface which triggers freezing in neighbouring droplets; this artificially enhances the number of frozen droplets by 80 % at −12°C in this case. Figure 4a shows an experiment conducted using a dry flow and it can clearly be seen that with the dry flow in use, the seeded droplets would freeze at around −5°C as expected while the pure water droplets persisted down to below −20°C, indicating that with a dry flow there is no artificial enhancement of freezing temperatures due to frost growth.

There are two distinct origins of the frost on the surface. Firstly, Jung et al. (2012) describe the formation of liquid condensation haloes, formed by the sudden increase
in vapour pressure of water associated with the latent heat release of droplet freezing. They show that this halo can freeze if it persists. After freezing, subsequent frost growth directly from the vapour phase could come into contact with neighbouring droplets and trigger freezing in those droplets. Both of these effects have the potential to artificially increase the fraction frozen and the problem will be most acute if the droplets freeze over a wide range of temperatures (our test with silver iodide containing droplets next to pure water droplets represents an extreme case). Our example illustrates that the use of a 0.2 L min\(^{-1}\) flow of dry gas largely eliminates the problems of frost haloes and frost growth. The condensation halo, consisting of very small droplets, evaporates rapidly in low humidity conditions and frost growth is also largely eliminated. The flow of zero grade nitrogen has the additional benefit that it flushes the chamber reducing the chance of contact with aerosol particles from external sources.

### 3.2 Droplet evaporation

Using a dry flow in the fashion described in Sect. 3.1 has the potential to introduce additional issues. Droplets will evaporate to some extent through the course of the experiment (the extent will depend on the length of the experiment). This will increase the concentration of the contents of the droplets. Current descriptions of heterogeneous ice nucleation assume that the freezing temperature is dependent on the surface area of nucleant in a droplet, which will be constant despite evaporation. Therefore, it is assumed that changes in droplet volume will not affect experimental results. If solution droplets are being analysed, concentration changes due to droplet shrinkage will need to be accounted for as colligative effects will change the nucleation temperatures (Zobrist et al., 2008).

A second potential problem is that evaporation of water from droplets will cause cooling and may lead to the droplets being colder than the cold stage temperature. In order to test for this evaporative cooling effect a series of experiments was conducted both with and without the dry flow. Droplets containing 0.1 wt% and 1 wt% K-feldspar were tested with the dry flow switched on. They were then thawed and refrozen with
the dry flow switched off. Figure 5 shows the results of this experiment. Freezing by K-feldspar is described well by a singular model (Herbert et al., 2014), suggesting that each droplet freezes with a repeatable characteristic temperature (Vali, 2014, 2008; Wright and Petters, 2013). Freezing temperatures did not shift, showing that the cooling effect of the dry flow is smaller than the temperature measurement uncertainty (±0.4 °C). The small freezing temperature range of these experiments (~ 4 K) meant that frost growth from frozen droplets did not spread to neighbouring droplets even with the dry flow switched off.

4 Test experiments and analysis

Several example datasets are presented here to demonstrate the efficacy of the µL-NIPI. The freezing temperatures for droplets containing K-feldspar (microcline), kaolinite, chlorite, Snomax®, and silver iodide are shown in Fig. 6. The concentration of K-feldspar was varied between 0.01 and 1 wt%, and as expected the droplets containing more K-feldspar froze at a higher temperature. Droplets containing 1 % chlorite and kaolinite froze at lower temperatures than droplets containing K-feldspar which is consistent with Atkinson et al. (2013) who presented a case suggesting that K-feldspar is the most important mineral component of atmospheric mineral dusts.

Silver iodide is known to be capable of nucleating ice at high temperatures (De-Mott, 1995), and even with a mass concentration 100 times less than that of 1 wt% K-feldspar it still nucleated ice at higher temperature. Interestingly, when a 0.1 wt% suspension was left on a windowsill for several days in a glass vial the freezing temperature increased by around 2 K. There is a precedent for light exposure improving of the ice nucleating ability of silver iodide in the literature, albeit in deposition mode, rather than immersion mode (Rowland et al., 1964). There is also evidence from older work showing that light exposure can reduce the effectiveness of silver iodide as an ice nucleus (Fletcher, 1959; Smith et al., 1955). Further work is necessary to understand and quantify these effects.
Snomax® is a commercial preparation which contains fragments of a cultivated strain of *Pseudomonas Syringae* and is used in the production of artificial snow at ski resorts (Cochet and Widehem, 2000). Droplets containing Snomax® nucleated ice at the warmest temperatures (around −4 °C) in this set of test experiments. Again, Snomax® is likely to exhibit variability in its ice nucleation activity between batches and individual samples depending on storage history, hence quantitative comparison with previous data is difficult. Nevertheless, Möhler et al. (2008) also report freezing by Snowmax® containing droplets at very high temperatures with about 1 % of particles freezing at −5.6 °C. Hartmann et al. (2013) also observed freezing in Snowmax containing droplets at temperatures up to −6 °C. Similarly, Wood et al. (2002) report freezing up to −6 °C in free falling micron-scaled droplets containing Snomax®. One feature reported in these previous studies is that the probability of freezing increases very steeply with decreasing temperature, which is again qualitatively consistent with our present measurements where the fraction frozen curve is extremely steep (Fig. 6).

While droplet freezing temperatures and fraction frozen data is useful for comparing ice nucleation abilities of different materials within the context of a single experimental set-up, it is necessary to normalise the data to some measure of the amount of material per droplet, typically surface area (Murray et al., 2012). The resulting values of $n_s$ are shown in Fig. 7, and we start this discussion with the data for K-feldspar. Over the three experiments the mineral surface area per droplet was varied by two orders of magnitude and the $n_s$ values fall on a single line and the data is in good agreement with our previous study by Atkinson et al. (2013). Atkinson et al. (2013) produced this parameterisation for K-feldspar through a combination of experiments with the µL-NIPI and pl-NIPI. The K-feldspar used in the present study is from the same stock sample used by Atkinson et al. (2013) but was ground separately and has a different specific surface area; 1.86 m² g⁻¹ rather than 3.15 m² g⁻¹ for the powder used by Atkinson et al. (2013).

It is conceivable that placing many particles per droplet might result in aggregation which might reduce the available surface area. If this were the case, then we would
expect to measure a smaller $n_s$ value than we would without aggregation. However, the reduction of surface area due to aggregation would depend on the amount of material dispersed per droplet, with larger weight fractions causing larger aggregates to form with greater loss of available surface area. The fact that $n_s$ for droplets containing from 0.01 to 1 wt% K-feldspar fall on a single line suggests that aggregation does not lead to occlusion of surface area and indicates that the measured $n_s$ values are accurate.

By using relatively large droplets containing large surface areas of heterogeneous IN, higher freezing temperatures can be accessed using the µL-NIPI than are possible in smaller scale droplet freezing experiments or techniques employing particles dispersed in gas. A consequence of this is very small amounts of relatively efficient nucleant can dominate the ice nucleating ability of a given sample. For instance, if an impurity in a material is 1000 times more active than the bulk material, i.e. its $n_s$ is 1000 times higher than that of the bulk material, then the probability of freezing due to a 1 wt% contamination would be 10 times higher than of the bulk material and the impurity would completely dominate observed ice nucleation. This effect is illustrated in Fig. 8, where a sample containing a 60 times larger surface area of kaolinite than K-feldspar is shown to nucleate ice at essentially the same temperatures that the K-feldspar component of the mixture would be expected to do so.

5 Summary

A new design of droplet freezing experiment for ice nucleation experiments has been constructed and tested. The µL-NIPI uses a Stirling engine based cryocooler to cool microlitre volume droplets at a controlled rate in order to determine the efficiency with which immersed particles nucleate ice. Many modern atmospheric ice nucleation measurement techniques measure the nucleation by aerosolised particles or particles suspended in cloud sized droplets. The advantage of working with droplets much larger than typical cloud sizes is that they contain a far larger surface area of nucleant. This
allows the determination of nucleation efficiencies to cover the range of atmospheric relevance and complement the aerosol and cloud-sizes droplet techniques.

In most ice nucleation experiments, efficient minor components in samples can dominate observed results, meaning that great care is needed in interpretation of results. While this is particularly true for experiments using such relatively large amounts of sample as the technique presented here, the ability to detect the activity of relatively rare, high temperature ice nucleation events is valuable. The µL-NIPI may be particularly useful in a field setting, where its low detection limit and simplicity of operation offer advantages over, and complementarity to, more complex instruments.
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**Table 1.** Melting points of solvents used to calibrate temperature of the µL-NIPI. Recorded melting points are the average of 5 measurements. Literature melting points were taken from the 2007 CRC hand book.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical</th>
<th>Literature melting temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Recorded melting temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Start (°C)</th>
<th>Finish (°C)</th>
<th>Range (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dodecane</td>
<td>−9.57</td>
<td>−9.5</td>
<td>−9.7</td>
<td>−9.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octanol</td>
<td>−14.8</td>
<td>−14.9</td>
<td>−15.1</td>
<td>−14.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undecane</td>
<td>−25.5</td>
<td>−25.4</td>
<td>−25.7</td>
<td>−25.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Characteristics of the materials used in this study. For silver iodide and Snomax® BET surface areas are not reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleant</th>
<th>N₂ BET surface area (m² g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Purity</th>
<th>Impurities</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K-feldspar (microcline)</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>78.1 %</td>
<td>3.9 % quartz, 16.0 % Na/Ca feldspar</td>
<td>Bureau of Analysed Samples, UK</td>
<td>Atkinson et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KGa-1b kaolinite</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>96 %</td>
<td>Crandallite, mica, illite, anatase unknown</td>
<td>Clay Mineral Society</td>
<td>Chipera and Bish (2001); Murray et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorite</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>99.6 %</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>School of Earth and Environment Specimen Collection</td>
<td>Atkinson et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver iodide</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>99.999 %</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Alfa-Aesar premion</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snomax®</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>York Snow Inc.</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Layout of the µL-NIPI.
**Figure 2.** The progression of a µL-NIPI freezing experiment. The experiment shown used a dispersion containing 0.01 wt% K-feldspar. Frame (a) was taken at around −10°C, shortly before the onset of freezing, frame (b) immediately after the first droplet had frozen, frame (c) at −14°C and frame (d) at −20°C, after the completion of freezing. The top left of frame (c) shows a droplet in the process of freezing. Droplets of this size typically take 2–4 s to freeze completely, proceeding much faster at lower temperatures. The initial change in the droplet leading to freezing is taken as the occurrence of ice nucleation.
Figure 3. Temperature against fraction frozen for 6 different experiments using Milli-Q water. Also included is a line indicating the temperature of freezing expected for 1 µL pure water droplets from Classical Nucleation Theory (CNT) according to the parameterisation by Murray et al. (2010).
Figure 4. Examples of experiments conducted (a) with and (b) without a flow of dry nitrogen gas. (a) shows a single frame from an experiment where 10 droplets containing silver iodide were spread among droplets of pure water. A dry flow was employed to ensure ice growth did not take place. (b) Shows a similar experiment where the dry flow was not employed and the resultant growth of ice across the slide which triggered freezing in pure water droplets. Both frames were taken at −12 °C.
Figure 5. Temperature against droplet fraction frozen with and without dry gas flowing over them. Four different sets of droplets containing K-feldspar were used. It can be seen that switching the dry flow on or off made no systematic difference to freezing temperatures.
Figure 6. Temperature against fraction frozen for a variety of nucleants. The freezing temperature of droplets containing K-feldspar can be seen to steadily increase with increasing K-feldspar contamination.
Figure 7. \(n_s\) values for K-feldspar, kaolinite and chlorite. Example temperature error bars are shown on the first three points of the 1 wt% K-feldspar experiment. Estimated uncertainty in \(n_s\) due to uncertainty in the surface area of material per droplet is estimated at ±15% and is too small to be shown on the chart. The K-feldspar parameterisation is from Atkinson et al. (2013).
Figure 8. Fraction frozen for water droplets contaminated with 0.1 wt% K-feldspar and 1 wt% kaolinite. For comparison the expected fractions calculated from parameterisations for $n_s$ for relevant amounts of each material are included. In each droplet there is approximately 60 times more surface area of kaolinite than K-feldspar. Nevertheless, the experimental fraction frozen clearly tracks the fraction frozen calculated based upon the K-feldspar component. This demonstrates why it is important to quantify the precise composition of mineral dusts if for ice nucleation measurements.