Retrieval of sulphur dioxide from the infrared atmospheric sounding interferometer (IASI)
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Abstract

Thermal infrared sounding of sulphur dioxide (SO$_2$) from space has gained appreciation and popularity as a valuable complement to ultraviolet sounding. There are several strong absorption bands of SO$_2$ in the infrared, and atmospheric sounders, primarily designed for weather forecasting, have therefore often the ability to globally monitor SO$_2$ abundances. Most of the observed SO$_2$ is found in volcanic plumes. In this paper we outline a novel algorithm for the sounding of SO$_2$ above $\sim$500 hPa altitude using high resolution infrared sounders and apply it to measurements of the infrared atmospheric sounding interferometer (IASI). The main features of the algorithm are a wide applicable total column range (over 4 orders of magnitude, from 0.5 to 5000 dobson units), a low theoretical uncertainty (3–5 %) and near real time applicability. We make an error analysis and demonstrate the algorithm on the recent eruptions of Sarychev, Kasatochi, Grimsvötn, Puyehue-Cordón Caulle and Nabro.

1 Introduction

Prodigious amounts of sulphur dioxide (SO$_2$) are released every year in the atmosphere. Anthropogenic emissions, mostly coming from combustion of sulfur-rich biomass such as coal and petroleum, add up to 50–65 Tg S yr$^{-1}$ (Smith et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2011). Volcanoes are the largest natural source of sulphur dioxide and account for 7.5–10.5 Tg S yr$^{-1}$ on average (Andres and Kasgnoc, 1998; Halmer et al., 2002). These emissions lead to acid deposition and can affect air quality and climate through the formation of sulfate aerosols (Longhurst et al., 1993; Zhang et al., 2007; Graf et al., 1997; Haywood and Boucher, 2000; Robock, 2000; Chin and Jacob, 1996). While in general only a fraction of the emissions makes it to the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS), a large volcanic eruption reaching the UTLS can impact the climate significantly as the lifetime of sulfate aerosol is proportional to the injection altitude. Bottom up approaches are well suited to determine total emissions of
anthropogenic SO$_2$ and emissions of some degassing volcanoes, but quantifying UTLS SO$_2$ emissions is best done directly via space measurements (Bluth et al., 1993). In this paper we detail a novel algorithm for calculating SO$_2$ columns above the mid troposphere (500 hPa) from infrared space measurements.

Apart from climatological relevance, measuring high altitude SO$_2$ is also important for studying uplift of anthropogenic pollution (e.g. Clarisse et al. (2011b) found on average 8 major events of SO$_2$ uplift per year over East Asia), for analyzing explosive volcanic eruptions (e.g. Carn and Prata (2010) show a broad correlation between total SO$_2$ cloud masses and explosion volume) and, when it can be done in near real time, for monitoring volcanic activity (e.g. Surono et al. (2011) report on the extensive use of satellite data during the Merapi 2010 volcanic crisis) and tracking volcanic clouds for the mitigation of aviation hazards (Prata, 2008; Rix et al., 2009; Carn et al., 2009).

Since 1978, the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) (Krueger et al., 1995) and other ozone monitoring instruments have been measuring SO$_2$ through solar backscattered ultraviolet (BUV) measurements (see e.g. Yang et al. (2007) and references therein). BUV measurements have a good sensitivity to SO$_2$, even in the lowest atmospheric layers. The record of infrared sounding of SO$_2$ also goes back to 1978 with the High-Resolution Infrared Sounder (HIRS/2) (Prata et al., 2003). One clear advantage of thermal infrared (TIR) instruments is that they can measure in the absence of sunlight (thus also at night and at high latitudes in the winter) and often have a smaller footprint. For an overview of all the different instruments capable of measuring SO$_2$ and their characteristics and limitations, we refer to Thomas and Watson (2010). Here we give a short overview of thermal infrared sounding of SO$_2$ without going into instrumental specifics.

Sulfur dioxide has three absorption bands in the mid infrared, see Fig. 1. The $\nu_3$ is by far the strongest band. Competing water vapor absorption limits its vertical sensitivity to SO$_2$ above 3–5 km, depending on the humidity profile and SO$_2$ abundance. Higher altitude SO$_2$ is also affected, directly, by water vapor in and above the SO$_2$ layer, but also indirectly by variable radiation coming from below. The $\nu_1$ band is situated in an
atmospheric window, and can penetrate the lower troposphere. While water vapor is not that important here, the 800–1200 cm\(^{-1}\) region is very sensitive to the surface temperature, surface emissivity and volcanic ash (Clarisse et al., 2010a,b), and for young volcanic plumes from explosive eruptions, SO\(_2\) and ash often need to be retrieved simultaneously. The combination band \(\nu_1 + \nu_3\) can only be used when there is reflected solar light. It is weak, but has been applied for the study of major volcanic eruptions as an alternative to a saturating \(\nu_3\) band (Karagulian et al., 2010; Prata et al., 2010).

Broadband instruments typically have a handful of channels (each covering 50–100 cm\(^{-1}\)) which can be used to retrieve SO\(_2\). Most retrieval algorithms are based on approximating the SO\(_2\) affected bands from the other bands assuming the absence of SO\(_2\). The difference between these reconstructed background radiances and the observed radiances can then be used to infer abundances. In the case of the \(\nu_1\) band this can be done by first estimating the surface temperature (Realmuto et al., 1994, 1997) or by assuming a linear correlation with another band (Prata and Kerkmann, 2007). For the \(\nu_3\) band it has been shown that it is possible to estimate the relevant unperturbed band radiance from a linear interpolation of two other bands (Prata et al., 2003; Doutriaux-Boucher and Dubuisson, 2008). Other schemes rely on the use of a large series of simulated radiances (see e.g. Corradini et al., 2010). For retrievals using the \(\nu_1\) band, explicit (Corradini et al., 2009) or implicit (Campion et al., 2010) corrections for aerosols can be made.

Retrievals using high resolution instruments typically use (optimal) least square procedures (Carn et al., 2005; Prata and Bernardo, 2007; Clerbaux et al., 2008; Clarisse et al., 2008), preceded by a SO\(_2\) detection routine. These are time consuming and it was shown (Karagulian et al., 2010; Haywood et al., 2010) that for the \(\nu_3\) band it often suffices to perform optimal estimation on a selected number of pixels and exploit the empirical correlation between these retrieved total columns and brightness temperature differences. It is this scheme we generalize and put on a more solid theoretical footing. Instead of relying on optimal estimation retrievals, however, we use elementary radiative transfer and a large lookup table. Our algorithm is akin to some
of the methods applied for broadband sensors. The advantage, however, is that we can selected specific microchannels, making the algorithm simpler and less sensitive to changes of other atmospheric variables (water vapour, clouds).

We outline the algorithm for observations of the high resolution infrared sounder IASI (Clerbaux et al., 2009), but it can easily be transferred to other high resolution sounders. Instrumental specifics of the IASI instrument are a continuous spectral coverage between 645 and 2760 cm\(^{-1}\), a spectral resolution of 0.5 cm\(^{-1}\) (sampled at 0.25 cm\(^{-1}\)) and a radiometric noise around 0.2 K at 280 K. It has a global coverage twice a day with a footprint ranging from circular (12 km diameter at nadir) to elliptical (up to 20 by 39 km at the end of the swath) and a mean local equatorial overpass time of 09:30 and 21:30.

In the next section we outline the theoretical basis of the algorithm. In Sect. 3 we give an overview of the most important sources in the error budget. Examples are presented in Sect. 4 and we conclude in Sect. 5.

2 The algorithm

In what follows, we assume an atmosphere with a \(\text{SO}_2\) cloud present at a given altitude. We adopt the notations from Watson et al. (2004). When the plume is at sufficient altitude (where the absorption of other species can be ignored) the measured radiance \(L_s\) at a wavenumber \(\nu\) can be approximated as

\[
L_s(\nu) = L_{\text{ucb}}(\nu)t_c + L_c(\nu)(1 - t_c),
\]

with \(L_c(\nu) = B(\nu,T_c)\) the ambient radiance coming from the cloud and specified by Planck’s law, \(L_{\text{ucb}}(\nu)\) the upwelling radiance at the cloud base and \(t_c\) the transmission of the cloud, given by the Bouguer-Lambert-Beer law

\[
t_c = e^{-cu},
\]
with $c$ an absorption coefficient dependent on pressure and temperature and $u$ the column abundance. While Eq. (1) is valid under the mentioned assumptions, a subtlety arises when applying it to real measurements. Real radiance measurements are always integrated (convoluted) over a wavenumber interval and are altered by the instrumental line shape. To check to what extent Eq. (1) holds at the level of finite microwindows (here IASI microchannels), we have simulated the radiative transfer of a standard atmosphere and introduced a SO$_2$ layer at a fixed altitude, but with varying abundances. The results are shown in Fig. 2 in brightness temperature space at wavenumber $\nu = 1371.75 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The simulations are shown as black squares and the best fit with Eq. (1) (best choice of the absorption coefficient $c$) is shown in red. For a plume at high pressure (left panel, 450 hPa), an almost perfect fit can be obtained. The asymptotic behavior for increasingly large abundances can also be observed ($L_s(\nu) \to B(\nu, T_c)$ or $T_s \to T_c$). This saturation is slower for lower pressure (right panel, 10 hPa). At very low pressure, spectral lines saturate quickly at their line centers while wings saturate more slowly. In contrast, at a higher pressure, pressure broadening of the individual lines is important and will distribute absorption over a wider spectral range, resulting in a net larger absorption and thus a quicker saturation over the complete band when taking into account all spectral lines. For the low pressure test case, a good fit with Eq. (1) and a constant absorption coefficient $c$ is not possible. Because of the lower pressure broadening, the instrumental line shape and apodisation become relatively more important, and these effects are not taken into account in Eq. (1). One way to resolve this is to introduce an explicit column dependence in the coefficient $c$, so that $c = c(T, P, u)$. These coefficients can be estimated from forward simulations as outlined below.

To determine the SO$_2$ abundance from Eq. (1), all that is left is to estimate $L_{ucb}(\nu)$. This can be done from channels not affected by SO$_2$, but for which the channel $\nu$ responds similarly to H$_2$O and other atmospheric parameters than the channels sensitive to SO$_2$. It is here easier to work in brightness temperature space, where Eq. (1) reads

$$B(T_s, \nu) = B(T_{ucb}, \nu)t_c + B(T_c, \nu)(1 - t_c).$$

(3)
Now $T_{ucb}$ can be estimated from another channel $\nu'$ when for background concentrations of $SO_2$

$$T_s = B^{-1}(L_s(\nu), \nu)) \approx B^{-1}(L_s(\nu'), \nu') = T_{ucb}.$$ (4)

The critical part is to choose these channels $\nu$ and $\nu'$ to make this estimate as good as possible. We have used combinations of 4 channels: two to estimate $T_s$, representing the absorption in the $\nu_3$ band and two reference channels to estimate $T_{ucb}$. Table 1 lists two sets of such parameters together with their standard deviation (estimated from a full day of IASI measurements with no detectable volcanic $SO_2$). Figure 3 illustrates the sensitivity range of both sets for a plume at 150 hPa. The absorption channels in the $\nu_3$ band of the first set are chosen close to the region of maximum absorption, around 1371.75 cm$^{-1}$. It is sensitive to mass loadings as low as 0.5 DU, but saturates at around 200 DU, above which differences in the observed channels become too small. The second set has its absorption channels further away from the band center, at 1385 cm$^{-1}$. It has a lower sensitivity of about 10 DU, but can measure columns up to 5000 DU. The combined use of both sets therefore enables to retrieve columns of $SO_2$ from about 0.5 to 5000 DU at 150 hPa.

Equation (1) is only valid when no absorption above the $SO_2$ plume takes place. Even at altitudes above $\sim$500 hPa altitude, some residual water absorption can still affect observed channels. Assuming that water vapour above is colder than the $SO_2$ plume, we have for a saturating cloud $T_s < T_c$. We therefore introduce a virtual cloud temperature $T^*_c = T_c - [H_2O]/10^{21}$, with $[H_2O]$ the partial column of water (in molecules cm$^{-2}$) above the $SO_2$ layer. The factor $10^{21}$ was determined empirically, and while this is a first order correction, it is largely sufficient as we will see below.

To calculate the absorption coefficients $c(T, P, u)$ we have used representative atmospheric profiles (temperature, pressure, humidity and ozone) from the ECMWF 40-year reanalysis, ERA-40 (Chevallier, 2001). The total set contains 13 495 well sampled profiles. Pressure and temperature (PT) pairs between 5 and 30 km altitude are plotted in Fig. 4. The visible pressure bands are an artifact caused by the specific 60-level
coordinate system in the data set, and these disappear when working with the interpo-
lated data. We have calculated \( c(T,P,u) \) on a subgrid of this PT diagram, indicated by
the black dots.

For each PT pair in the subgrid, we selected 10 atmospheres from ERA-40 with the
closest match in the PT profile. A variable SO\(_2\) cloud (from 0 to 10 000 DU) was then
inserted at the altitude corresponding to the PT pair and the resulting IASI spectrum
was simulated. Based on these simulations a best value for \( c(T,P,u) \) was obtained
from minimizing the relative error between the real and the calculated SO\(_2\) abundance.
Each \( c(T,P,u) \) is obtained from 10 independent simulations and determining the best
value is therefore an over-constrained problem. The solution however is guaranteed
not to be too much dependent on an individual atmosphere, and the average relative
error is a good indication for the theoretical error (caused by the variability of other
atmospheric parameters) which can be achieved with this algorithm.

The top panel in Fig. 5 shows the absorption coefficients for the two sets of channels
at 10 and 750 DU respectively. For 4 PT pairs, \( T_{ucb} \) was very close or inferior to \( T_c \) for all
10 profiles, these are (550 hPa, 280 K); (100 hPa, 240 K); (25 hPa, 250 K) and (10 hPa,
260 K). These sets of low thermal contrast or temperature inversion were excluded.
From Fig. 4 it can be seen that they are situated at the very edge of the PT space
and are very uncommon. The bottom panel shows the mean relative error between
the input SO\(_2\) abundance and the retrieved for the ten different profiles. Errors are less
than 3 % and 5 % for the first and second set respectively, except again at some points
at the edge of the PT space.

We end this section with a practical consideration, which is important in the imple-
mentation of the above retrieval algorithm. The use of \( c(T,P,u) \) to calculate the col-
umn abundance \( u \) is inherently a recursive problem. It is therefore necessary to start
with a first guess \( c(T,P) \) and iteratively calculate \( u \) and \( c(T,P,u) \) until convergence is
achieved. We have verified numerically that this convergence is always achieved (due
to the smooth and monotonous behavior of the \( c \) coefficients). Also note that we find
two estimates \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) for \( u \), for each set of absorption and background channels.
When either $u_1$ or $u_2$ exceed 100 DU, the second estimate is likely to be more accurate, otherwise $u_1$ is used. Finally, the retrieval is also preceded by a detection criterion, here taken to be $T_{ucb} - T_s > 0.4$ K.

3 Sources of error

A good description of typical sources of error can be found in Prata et al. (2003). Most of these are inherent to any retrieval which uses the $\nu_3$ band. There are broadly speaking five main sources of error. The first category is related to propagation of errors in the measurements, in our case in the measurements of $T_s$ and $T_{ucb}$. The second category includes errors related to the assumed or measured altitude or cloud temperature $T_c$. A third source of errors becomes important when Eq. (1) is no longer a good approximation for the radiative transfer due to presence of aerosols above the SO$_2$ layer. There is the modeling error related to Eq. (1), which was estimated above to be in the range 3–5%, and finally there are errors related to spectroscopy and radiative transfer. In this section we will discuss the first three types of error.

3.1 Measurements errors

We call measurements errors any errors that affect the difference of $T_s$ and $T_{ucb}$ beyond the contribution of SO$_2$. This includes the instrumental noise, but also contributions from the fact that the background channels are only a best-effort estimate of the absorption channels in the absence of SO$_2$. Following Table 1 we estimate the error to be of the order 0.15 K and 0.25 K for the first and second set of channels. From Fig. 3 it is easily seen that the influence of these errors will be largest for very thin or very thick SO$_2$ clouds. For very thin clouds the contribution of SO$_2$ on $T_s$ will be of the same order of magnitude as the measurement error and hence relatively important. For very thick clouds, we are close to saturation regime and a small error on the observed temperatures will lead to large differences in the SO$_2$ estimates. As an example of how
this type of error translates in errors on the abundance, an error of 0.15 K and 0.25 K was introduced in the data of Fig. 3 and the relative differences are plotted in Fig. 6. It illustrates the increase of errors near the extremes. The errors between 0.5 DU and 5000 DU are in this example below 30 % (and below 6 % for loadings above 3 DU). It should be stressed though that this type of error is a random error and averages out when calculating the total mass of plumes much larger than the footprint of the instrument.

Related to this, there is the situation where the \( \text{SO}_2 \) cloud at \( T_c \) has little or no thermal contrast with the radiation from below \( T_{\text{ucb}} \). In this case (see again Fig. 3) the regime of low sensitivity and the regime of saturation overlap and errors are naturally very large. This dependence on thermal contrast is inherent to infrared sounding.

3.2 Altitude

As the present algorithm does not retrieve altitude, a cloud altitude (and therefore pressure and temperature) must be assumed. This affects the estimated loading through the assumed water vapour absorption above, \( c(T,P,u) \) and \( T_c \). The latter is the most important, especially close to saturation or when considering large temperature differences. To assess their combined effect it is best to look at some examples. Figure 7 is a plot of retrieved total masses (as a percentage of the maximum) for different eruptive plumes (young and aged) as a function of the assumed altitude.

Mass loadings at around the tropopause are generally the smallest. At the tropopause, the local minimum in the temperature profile, a same column amount of \( \text{SO}_2 \) corresponds to relatively larger absorption features. And thus, a measured absorption feature will correspond to a smaller column amount when the temperature is lower. For instance the Merapi (Java/Indonesia) and the Nabro (Eritrea) plumes have their minimum retrieved mass at a higher altitude (17 km) than e.g. Sarychev (Kuril Islands, Russia) or Kasatochi (Aleutian Islands, Alaska) plumes, which have their minimum at 10–12 km. As can be seen from Fig. 7, the effect of altitude is generally within 10–20 % between 10 and 20 km. This is due to slow changing temperature at these
altitudes and the fact that the effects of $c(T,P,u)$ and $T_c$ partially cancel each other out. For low altitude plumes, the assumed altitude is more critical with differences up to 500% between a plume at 5 and 10 km. Note that often a lower bound on the altitude can be estimated from the minimum observed $T_s$, even for modest eruptions (Clarisse et al., 2008). This lower bound becomes an estimate in case of saturation where $T_s = T_c$.

3.3 Aerosols

While the majority of volcanic emissions is released in the form of (quiescence) degassing, large eruption plumes contain typically a large amount of various particles (ash, ice, sulfate aerosols and aggregates). All these absorb and scatter infrared radiation. The wavenumber dependence is most pronounced for ash and ice as illustrated in Fig. 8 for the 2008 Kasatochi eruption (ash) and 2011 Nabro eruption (ice). Extinction of infrared radiation by ash is strongest in the 800–1200 cm$^{-1}$ range (see also Clarisse et al., 2010b), but almost uniformly throughout the $\nu_3$ band of SO$_2$. The same goes for ice particles, which have their largest extinction feature in the 800–1000 cm$^{-1}$ range (see also Clarisse et al., 2008). The retrieval algorithm is not sensitive to what happens below the SO$_2$ cloud as long as the radiation coming from below has sufficient thermal contrast with the SO$_2$ plume and as long as the radiation at background and absorption channels extinguishes uniformly. Lower lying thin to medium optical thick aerosol layers, or optical thick aerosol layers which are located well below the SO$_2$ layer have therefore limited or no impact on our retrieval. Opaque aerosol layers close to the SO$_2$ plume impede the sensitivity of the algorithm as is apparent when comparing the black and the blue spectra in the top panel of Fig. 8.

Aerosols above or at the same altitude as SO$_2$ will have an impact on the retrieved abundance. As a test case, we have simulated the radiative transfer (following the methods described in Clarisse et al., 2010a) of a thick aerosol layer located below, above and in a 25 DU upper tropospheric SO$_2$ plume. The aerosol abundance was in the three cases chosen as to cause a drop of 20 K in the spectrum at 7.3 µm. As
expected and explained above, aerosol below the SO$_2$ layer had limited impact (2\%) on the retrieved abundance. Ash in the SO$_2$ layer caused a 20\% overestimation, while aerosol above gave rise to a 45\% overestimation. It is clear that the effect of aerosol depends very much on the specific aerosol loading and its altitude, and while our tests point to an overestimation of the SO$_2$ loading, pixels with completely opaque ash in or above the SO$_2$ layer will go undetected and this will lead to an underestimation of the total measured SO$_2$ mass. An example of such a spectrum is shown in pink in the top of Fig. 8. A little SO$_2$ can be detected at \sim 225 \text{K} above the ash cloud at \sim 220 \text{K}, but everything below the ash cloud is not measurable.

Note finally that for fresh plumes, it is not uncommon for a portion of the erupted SO$_2$ to be sequestered on ice, only to be later released in the volcanic cloud by sublimation (Rose et al., 2004). This could account for some of the increases in SO$_2$ total mass timeseries observed in ice rich volcanic plumes (Krueger et al., 2008; Clarisse et al., 2008).

4 Examples

4.1 Kasatochi – large columns

Kasatochi volcano (part of the Aleutian Islands) erupted on 7 and 8 August 2008 five times (Waythomas et al., 2010) and ejected the largest amount of SO$_2$ in the atmosphere since the eruption of Cerro Hudson in 1991 (Krotkov et al., 2010). There are several aspects which complicate the SO$_2$ retrieval. The five eruptions occurred in quick succession, and these were different in nature (phreatomagmatic and magmatic (Waythomas et al., 2010)) and altitude (5–20 km (Kristiansen et al., 2010)). The resulting plume was therefore highly heterogeneous in SO$_2$, H$_2$S (Clarisse et al., 2011a), H$_2$O, ash (Corradini et al., 2010) and ice content and likely multilayered within a typical operational satellite’s footprint (>10 km diameter).
In terms of total ejected SO$_2$ mass, estimates from satellites vary widely, from 1 to 3 Tg: GOME2 2.5 Tg (Richter et al., 2009); OMI 1.4 to 2.2 Tg (Kristiansen et al., 2010; Krotkov et al., 2010) AIRS 1.2 to 1.4 Tg (Prata et al., 2010); IASI 1.7 Tg (Karagulian et al., 2010); MODIS 0.94 to 2.65 Tg (Corradini et al., 2010). The main difficulty in comparing the respective retrievals is understanding the impact of the different assumed or calculated heights coupled with the different responses in the IR/UV absorption bands. Also important are the different strategies applied to cope with non-linear effects associated with very large columns as also reflected in the large variance in reported maximum columns, ranging from 100 to 700 DU: OMI 280 (Kristiansen et al., 2010) – 700 DU (Bobrowski et al., 2010), GOME2 100 DU (operational retrieval) – 600 DU (Richter et al., 2009) and IASI 300 DU (Karagulian et al., 2010).

Retrieval results using the new algorithm are shown in Fig. 9 for the first 4 IASI overpasses (the first overpass on 7 August happened after 3 of the 5 explosive events). In terms of maximum columns, the first overpass on the 8th measured columns in excess of 600 DU (depending on the ejection altitude). This is higher than any other retrieval reported using $\nu_3$ measurements, and of the same order as the maximum columns measured by GOME2 and OMI and shows the ability of our retrieval algorithm to deal efficiently with band saturation. Retrieved total masses vary from 3.7 Tg (7 km) over 1.2 Tg (10–13 km) to 2 Tg (25 km). As the plume was spread over altitudes ranging from 5 to 20 km, this is again consistent with data from other sounders. If we just look at the retrieved total mass at 10 km, we find that the values further increase after the 9th to about 1.7 Tg on the 12th. The likely reason is that part of the plume was vertically stratified, with the $\nu_3$ band mostly sensitive to the upper part (Corradini et al., 2010). By the 12th vertical wind shear probably dispersed the multilayered cloud sufficiently for it to be exposed completely. These measured values are compatible with the high total initial masses of 2–3 Tg found by UV instruments.
4.2 Sarychev – aging plume

Another large eruption took place in 2009, namely Sarychev Peak (Kuril Islands, Russia) on 11–16 June (Matoza et al., 2011; Rybin et al., 2011; Haywood et al., 2010). There were several explosive events, but the majority of the high altitude SO$_2$ was injected on 15 and 16 June at an altitude of 10–16 km. An earlier study (Haywood et al., 2010) using IASI data estimated the sulphur dioxide emissions for those two days to be of the order of 1.2 Tg and this figure is commensurate with OMI measurements (Carn and Lopez, 2011). Like the Kasatochi eruption, the eruption of Sarychev peak presented a nice validation opportunity for modeling and measuring lower stratospheric injections of SO$_2$ and gradual oxidation to sulfate (Haywood et al., 2010; Kravitz et al., 2011; Vernier et al., 2011).

Figure 10 shows the measured total mass in the Northern Hemisphere at 13 km (the total mass does not vary a lot for height assumptions between 10 and 16 km) as a function of time in June 2009. The difference with the previous timeseries (shown in black and reported in Haywood et al., 2010) is minimal. The reanalysis we present here is less spiky, possibly due to better handling of overlapping orbits and a different gridding technique. The match with the HadGEM2 model is even better than in the original study, with an e-folding lifetime of 12–14 days for both model and observation. The correspondence is especially good given the fact that HadGEM2 was only fed with an initial emission rate and constant injection height.

4.3 Grimsvötn, Puyehue-Cordón Caulle, Nabro – global retrievals

In May and June this year, three volcanoes erupted, releasing all three large amounts of SO$_2$ (Fig. 11). Near real time retrieval using the outlined algorithm illustrates its operational usefulness and robustness for a variety of very different atmospheric conditions and eruptive plumes. The retrieved total masses agree well with those retrieved from other sensors (AIRS and OMI) as reported on various forums and news sites. A full analysis taking into account precise altitude estimates is out of the scope of this paper.
and we report total masses here assuming an altitude of 10 km.

Grimsvötn (Iceland) erupted first on 21 May, with about 350–400 kT of \( \text{SO}_2 \). Last traces of the initial plume were observed until 15 June. \( \text{SO}_2 \) from Puyehue-Cordón Caulle (Chile) was detected first on 5 June; and a fast westerly jet stream carried the plume of about 250 kT \( \text{SO}_2 \) round the world in 9–10 days. The third eruption was the one of the volcano Nabro (Eritrea), which was prior to this event believed to be totally extinct and is not monitored so actively as other volcanoes. First \( \text{SO}_2 \) was measured on 12 June and continued emissions were observed in the days and weeks which followed. Total masses of the order 1.5 Tg were measured. Water and ice rich plumes and low altitude filaments hampered retrieval on several occasions, and we therefore believe this to be a lower bound. By the end of June all traces of Nabro plumes disappeared, which indicates a shorter lifetime of \( \text{SO}_2 \) compared to Kasatochi or Sarychev. This is possibly due larger \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and OH concentrations at tropical latitudes.

Apart from large volcanic eruptions, IASI regularly picks up smaller puffs from world’s most active volcanoes such as Etna. As an example, Fig. 12 shows some snapshots of volcanic plumes detected in the first part of 2011 over the Kamchatka Peninsula (originating from volcanoes such as Bezymianny, Kizimen, Karymsky, Kliučevskoi and Shiveluch).

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have presented an algorithm for retrieving \( \text{SO}_2 \) abundances from IASI, although the algorithm can in principle be applied to any high resolution thermal infrared sounder with the sufficient spectral coverage. It was specifically designed for quantifying high altitude \( \text{SO}_2 \) plumes from volcanic eruptions. A first attractive feature of the algorithm is its robustness, simplicity and near real time applicability. With just a few lines of code this algorithm could for instance be implemented by volcanic ash advisory centers. Its second strong point is its very low theoretical uncertainty (3 % uncertainty for 0.5–100 DU and 6 % for 100–5000 DU for assumed altitudes above
500 hPa) coupled with a large applicable range (4 orders of magnitude of SO$_2$ columns 0.5 to 5000 DU). By not using all IASI’s channels the outlined algorithm does not exploit IASI’s high spectral resolution to the fullest (for estimating plume altitude see Clarisse et al., 2008; for improved detection see Walker et al., 2011). Ideally therefore, these algorithms should be used in combination with each other.

Apart from this intrinsic uncertainty associated to the algorithm, the accuracy will be determined by knowledge of the plume altitude. This is especially the case in the mid troposphere where we have a large temperature gradient. Another source of error is the presence of (volcanic) aerosols and while the magnitude of the associated errors in the retrieval is hard to quantify, thin ash clouds will in general lead to slightly overestimated loadings, while thick opaque aerosol layers can cover up part or all SO$_2$ and will give rise to underestimates.

Although a validation or comparison of this algorithm is out of the scope of this paper, we have illustrated the algorithm on a number of examples and found that the results were in agreement with the literature.
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Table 1. Two sets of absorption and background channels used in the calculation of SO$_2$ abundances. The mean and standard deviation of their brightness temperature differences were calculated on one day with no detectable quantities of SO$_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\nu_3$ absorption channels</th>
<th>background channels</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>std</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>set 1 1371.50, 1371.75 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>1407.25, 1408.75 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$-$0.05 K</td>
<td>0.14 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>set 2 1384.75, 1385.00 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>1407.50, 1408.00 cm$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.05 K</td>
<td>0.25 K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Top: Example IASI spectrum measured over the plume of the August 2008 eruption of Kasatochi. Bottom: Line positions and intensities of SO$_2$ from HITRAN (see Rothman et al., 2009, and references therein). Band centers and integrated band intensities of SO$_2$ are (see Flaud et al., 2009, and references therein): the $\nu_1$ symmetric stretch ($\sim$1152 cm$^{-1}$ at $0.35 \times 10^{-17}$ cm$^{-1}$/molecule cm$^{-2}$), the $\nu_3$ asymmetric stretch ($\sim$1362 cm$^{-1}$ at $2.72 \times 10^{-17}$ cm$^{-1}$/molecule cm$^{-2}$) and the $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ combination band ($\sim$2500 cm$^{-1}$ at $0.054 \times 10^{-17}$ cm$^{-1}$/molecule cm$^{-2}$).
**Fig. 2.** Brightness temperature at 1371.75 cm$^{-1}$ as a function of SO$_2$ mass loading for a low (left, plume at 247 K and 450 hPa) and high (right, plume at 230 K and 10 hPa) altitude plume. The colored black squares were calculated from simulated IASI spectra, while the red full line is a best fit of these simulations with Eq. (1).
Fig. 3. Brightness temperature of the two sets of absorption channels (at 1371.75 cm\(^{-1}\) and at 1385 cm\(^{-1}\)) as a function of SO\(_2\) abundance for a plume located at 150 hPa and 207 K.
Fig. 4. Pressure and temperature correlations of the ERA-40 data set between 5 and 30 km. The black dots are the PT pairs for which the lookup tables were built.
Fig. 5. Absorption coefficients for the two sets of IASI channels (top) and their corresponding average errors in percentage (bottom). Here the absorption coefficients and errors are shown for a \( \text{SO}_2 \) cloud of 10 DU (set 1) and 750 DU (set 2) respectively.
Fig. 6. Illustration of the measurement error. Relative errors in the retrieved abundances, made from introducing 0.15 K and 0.25 K error in the data of Fig. 3.
Fig. 7. Effect of the assumed altitude on retrieved abundances; illustrated for different eruptions.
Fig. 8. Example spectra from the 2008 Kasatochi (top) and 2011 Nabro eruptions (bottom) illustrating the effect of volcanic aerosol (ash and ice). Different degrees of aerosol extinction demonstrate the damping of the SO$_2$ signature.
Fig. 9. The eruption of Kasatochi (Aleutian islands) on 7 and 8 August as seen by IASI, with a 5–20 km altitude SO$_2$ plume of 2–3 Tg drifting to North America.
Fig. 10. Time series of $\text{SO}_2$ measured with IASI: original study (Haywood et al., 2010) in black, current reanalysis in red and HadGEM2 model in blue.
Fig. 11. Maximum observed SO$_2$ columns for the period 20 May to 30 June 2011, during which three major volcanic eruptions took place. Grimsvötn ($-17.33^\circ$, 64.42$^\circ$) erupted first on 21 May, then Puyehue-Cordón Caulle ($-40.59^\circ$, $-72.12^\circ$) on 3 June and finally Nabro ($13.37^\circ$, 41.70$^\circ$) on 12 June. A plume altitude of 10 km was assumed.
Fig. 12. Snapshots of volcanic SO$_2$ plumes detected in the first part of 2011 over the Kamchatka Peninsula.