Determination of aerosol properties from MAX-DOAS observations of the Ring effect
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Abstract

The first quantitative comparison of MAX-DOAS observations of the Ring effect with model simulations is presented. It is performed for a large variety of viewing geometries (solar zenith angles: 45° to 90°, elevation angles: 3°, 6°, 10°, 18°, 90°; three different azimuth angles), which allows a comprehensive test of our capabilities to measure and simulate the Ring effect. In addition to the Ring effect, also the observed O_4 absorptions (optical densities) and radiances are compared with model simulations. In general good agreement is found for all measured quantities. From several sensitivity studies it is found that for most measurement situations the aerosol optical depth has usually the strongest influence on the observed quantities, but also other aerosol properties like e.g. the vertical distribution have a significant effect. Typically, the qualitative dependence of the Ring effect on aerosol properties is very similar to that of the O_4 absorption. This can be understood, since both quantities depend strongly on the light path length in the lower atmosphere. However, in specific cases, the observation of the Ring effect can provide complementary information to that retrieved from the O_4 observations. This is e.g. possible for measurements at small relative azimuth angles, from which information on the asymmetry parameter can be derived. Observations at large solar zenith angle allow the retrieval of stratospheric aerosol properties, even in cases with very low aerosol optical depths. In addition, Ring effect observations in zenith direction are rather sensitive to the aerosol optical depth (in contrast to O_4 observations), which might allow to retrieve information on aerosol properties from existing zenith UV data sets prior to the MAX-DOAS era.

1 Introduction

The Ring effect was first observed by Shefov (1959) and Grainger and Ring (1962); it describes the so-called ‘filling-in’ of solar Fraunhofer lines in the spectra of scattered light compared to direct sun light observations and is caused by rotational Raman scat-
tering by atmospheric molecules (Brinkmann, 1968; Kattawar et al., 1981; Solomon et al., 1987; Burrows et al., 1995; Chance and Spurr, 1997; Vountas et al., 1998). In many atmospheric remote sensing applications using scattered solar radiation (e.g. from ground based, airborne or satellite based observations), the accurate correction of the Ring effect is an important prerequisite for the precise retrieval of atmospheric trace gas absorptions (e.g. Noxon et al., 1979; Solomon et al., 1987; Chance and Spurr, 1997; Vountas et al., 1998; Sioris and Evans, 2000; Aben et al., 2001; de Beek et al., 2001; Wagner et al., 2002, 2004; Platt and Stutz, 2008). Usually, for that purpose a so-called Ring spectrum is calculated and included in the spectral fitting process; it can be obtained from observations using polarisation filters or can be computed from measured solar spectra (Solomon et al., 1987; Bussemer 1993; Vountas, 1998; de Beek et al., 2001). In addition, the Ring effect reduces the optical densities of atmospheric trace gas absorption bands (Fish and Jones, 1995; Platt et al., 1997). Apart from the complicating effects of rotational Raman scattering on atmospheric trace gas retrievals, observations of the Ring effect can also be used to investigate details of atmospheric radiative transfer. Besides the viewing geometry and surface albedo, the filling-in of Fraunhofer lines depends on atmospheric scattering and thus on the presence and properties of clouds and aerosols. Thus, from the measured strength of the Ring effect, information on aerosols and clouds can be obtained (Park et al., 1986; Joiner et al., 1995, 2002, 2004; Joiner and Bhartia, 1995; de Beek et al., 2001; Wagner et al., 2004; Joiner and Vasilkov, 2006; van Deelen et al., 2008). In this study we focus on the potential to retrieve aerosol information from observations of the Ring effect using a ground based Multi-Axis-DOAS (MAX-DOAS) instrument. MAX-DOAS instruments observe scattered sun light under a variety of elevation angles (Hönninger and Platt, 2002; Leser et al., 2003; Bobrowski et al., 2003; van Roozendael et al., 2003; Wittrock et al., 2004; Hönninger et al., 2004; Heckel et al., 2005; Wagner et al., 2004, 2007b; Frieß et al., 2006; Fietkau et al., 2007; Theys et al., 2007). The MAX-DOAS observations used in this study make simultaneous measurements in three different azimuth directions.
Recent studies have qualitatively and semi-quantitatively described the aerosol effect on ground based observations of scattered light (Wagner et al., 2004; Langford et al., 2007). Here we present the first comprehensive quantitative comparison of the observed and modelled Ring effect for MAX-DOAS measurements on two cloud free days with very different aerosol loads. Our comparison includes a large variety of viewing conditions: the SZA ranges from about 45° to 90°, the elevation angles include 3°, 6°, 10°, 18° and 90° (zenith) and are made simultaneously at three different azimuth angles (North, West, South). The respective combinations of SZA and relative azimuth angles are shown in Fig. 1. In addition to the Ring effect, also the observed radiances and O₄ absorptions are compared with model simulations, giving additional confidence both in the observations and model simulations.

Our paper is structured as follows: in Sect. 2, the instrument, data analysis, and observed quantities used in this study are introduced. In Sect. 3, the radiative transfer simulations are described. Section 4 shows the comparison of model simulations and measurements and in Sect. 5, the sensitivity of the Ring effect towards various atmospheric and surface parameters is investigated in detail.

2 Measurements

We investigate MAX-DOAS observations performed in September 2003 in Milan (Italy) during the FORMAT-II campaign (Formaldehyde as a tracer for oxidation in the troposphere (FORMAT) is a European research project, see www.nilu.no/format/). These observations were already discussed in a previous paper (Wagner et al., 2004) which focussed on the aerosol effects on the O₄ absorptions measured by MAX-DOAS. In this study, we focus on the aerosol influence on MAX-DOAS observations of the Ring effect. As a consistency check, we perform a simultaneous quantitative comparison also for the observed O₄ absorptions as well as for the measured radiances. Our comparisons include two selected days (14 and 17 September 2003) with different aerosol load, which are mostly cloud free.
Since the MAX-DOAS observations are already described in detail in Wagner et al. (2004), here only a brief overview is given. The MAX-DOAS instrument observes light from three steerable telescopes, which are connected via glass fibre bundles to a spectrograph with a two dimensional CCD-detector. The telescopes are directed at three different azimuth angles (5°, 185°, 250°) with respect to north. For simplicity we refer to these directions as north, south, and west, respectively. Each telescope sequentially scans 5 different elevation angles: 3°, 6°, 10°, 18° and 90° (zenith); a single measurement is taken about every 90 s (a full sequence thus taking about 10 min). The measurements cover the wavelength range 320–457 nm and the spectral resolution is about 0.75 nm (FWHM).

The O₄ absorption and the Ring effect are analysed from the measured spectra in the wavelength range from 335–367 nm using the DOAS method (Platt and Stutz, 2008; Wagner et al., 2004). To remove the strong Fraunhofer structures, a measured spectrum taken at low SZA in zenith direction (a so-called Fraunhofer spectrum, FRS) is included in the fitting process. The Fraunhofer spectrum used in this study was measured at noon on 14 September 2003. In addition to the trace gas cross sections for O₃, NO₂, BrO, HCHO, and O₄, a normalised Ring spectrum (see Wagner et al., 2009) calculated from the FRS is included in the spectral analysis. The fit coefficient retrieved for this normalised Ring spectrum directly yields the fraction of the observed photons which have undergone a Raman scattering event. In the following we refer to this fraction as “Raman scattering probability” (RSP), see Wagner et al. (2009). The RSP is a universal quantity for the description of the Ring effect and is in particular independent on the spectral resolution of the instrument. The RSP is directly proportional to various definitions of the Ring effect, see Table 1 and Wagner et al. (2009).

The retrieved fit coefficient for the O₄ cross section (for 296 K) (Greenblatt et al., 1990) yields the slant column density (SCD, the trace gas concentration along the absorption path). In the case of O₄, the SCD cannot be directly referred to the O₄ concentration, because the equilibrium constant between O₄ and (O₂)₂ is not known. Therefore usually the O₄ SCD is referred to the integrated quadratic O₂ concentra-
tion (see also Greenblatt et al., 1990). The O$_4$ SCD then includes the equilibrium constant and it has the unit [molec$^2$/cm$^5$]. From the comparison between measurements and model results, it was found that the retrieved O$_4$ SCDs were systematically too high to match the model simulations: On the day with low aerosol load (14 September 2003), the measured values were found to be even larger than the simulations for a pure Rayleigh atmosphere. One reason for this discrepancy is probably the temperature dependence of the O$_4$ absorption at 360 nm (see e.g. Wagner et al., 2002). The atmospheric temperature at the measurement site weighted with the O$_4$ profile was about 267 K compared to 296 K for the laboratory measurement of the O$_4$ cross section. In addition, it might be related to the fact that the O$_4$ cross sections at 360 nm were measured in the laboratory by Greenblatt et al. (1990) and Hermans (http://www.aeronomie.be/spectrolab/o2.htm) while those from Perner and Platt (1980), Volkamer et al. (1996), and Wagner et al. (2002) were measured under atmospheric conditions.

The value of the O$_4$ cross section used in this study ($5.27 \times 10^{46}$ cm$^5$/molec$^2$) was determined from the detailed comparison between measurement and model results (see Sect. 4). This determination could be performed with an accuracy of about 10%. The influence of other possible effects like e.g. spectrograph straylight or errors in the determination of the elevation angles could be largely ruled out, because they would have affected observations at different elevation and azimuth angles (see Sect. 4) in a different way. The value of the O$_4$ cross section at 360 nm found from this comparison is close to the values found by Volkamer (1996) ($5.42 \times 10^{46}$ cm$^5$/molec$^2$) and Perner and Platt (1980) ($5.4 \times 10^{46}$ cm$^5$/molec$^2$).

Finally, the O$_4$ SCDs are converted into O$_4$ air mass factors (AMF) to allow a direct comparison with the results from the radiative transfer simulations. AMF is defined as the ratio of the SCD and VCD (e.g. Solomon et al., 1987):

$$\text{AMF} = \frac{\text{SCD}}{\text{VCD}}$$

VCD denotes the vertically integrated O$_4$ column density.
The O$_4$ VCD for both selected days were calculated from the atmospheric profiles of temperature and pressure obtained from surface observations and model results (taken from the Air Research Laboratory, see http://www.ready.noaa.gov/ready.html) taking into account the elevation (130 m a.s.l.) of the measurement site. The O$_4$ VCD changed only little for these days ($\pm 1\%$) and a value of $1.3 \times 10^{43}$ molec$^2$/cm$^5$ is used for the conversion of O$_4$ SCD into O$_4$ AMF. Note that this value is about 10% higher than in Wagner et al. (2004). The difference is caused by the use of the precise altitude profiles of pressure and temperature for both selected days in this study, whereas in Wagner et al. (2004) the altitude profiles were estimated from the surface values of temperature and pressure.

Since the Fraunhofer spectrum also contains atmospheric absorption structures of O$_4$ (and other trace gases) and is also subject to the Ring effect, the result of the DOAS analysis represents the respective differences between the measured spectrum and of the Fraunhofer spectrum (often the results are referred to as differential SCD (DSCD) and differential AMF (DAMF)). The O$_4$ absorption and the Ring effect of the Fraunhofer spectrum are estimated using radiative transfer simulations. The values of the O$_4$ AMF and RSP are $1.8 \pm 0.1$ and $0.05 \pm 0.01$, respectively. For the comparison between modelled and measured values of the O$_4$ AMF and the RSP these values have to be added to the measurement results (or the y-scales have to be shifted by these values, see Sect. 4).

Besides the O$_4$ absorption and the Ring effect, also the observed radiance (at 350 nm$\pm 1$ nm) is compared to the model simulations. Since the instrument is not radiometrically calibrated, the radiance is only used in relative units and no stringent comparison to model results is possible. Thus, in addition to the radiance itself, we also calculated radiance ratios with respect to the radiance of the measurement in zenith direction (of the same elevation sequence). This radiance ratio is independent on the radiometric calibration and can be directly compared to the respective ratios from the model simulations. An overview on the measured quantities used in this study is given in Table 2.
3 Radiative transfer modelling

In this study we use the full spherical Monte-Carlo atmospheric radiative transfer model McArtim (Monte Carlo Atmospheric Radiative Transfer and Inversion Model) (Deutschmann, 2008); It was compared to various other radiative transfer models (Wagner et al., 2007a) and excellent agreement was found. McArtim allows the simulation of ensembles of individual photon trajectories for a given atmospheric situation. From these trajectories the average frequency of the modelled photons for certain interactions with atmospheric constituents or with the Earth’s surface are determined. The scattering events are modelled individually, according to their respective scattering cross sections and phase functions. Interaction with the Earth’s surface is treated as a Lambertian reflection. In addition to elastic processes (the reflection at the surface, Rayleigh scattering at molecules, scattering on aerosol and cloud particles), also rotational Raman scattering events are modelled (for more details, see Wagner et al., 2009). From the output of the Monte Carlo simulations we determine the fraction of all observed photons, which have undergone a rotational Raman scattering event, by counting the numbers of RRS-scattered and total photons). This fraction represents the Raman scattering probability (RSP) as defined in Wagner et al. (2009) and can be directly compared to the results from the spectral analysis (see Sect. 2).

4 Comparison of measurement and modelling results

In this section measurement data for the two selected days (14 and 17 September 2003) are compared to the radiative transfer simulations. This comparison covers various aspects: first, it should confirm the applied concept as well as both radiative transfer simulations and measurements. Second, it should provide an overview on the general range of variability of the different quantities, especially depending on the aerosol optical depth. Third, it should show how the results depend on the viewing geometry (especially the elevation angles and relative azimuth angles).
The aerosol load on both selected days is systematically different. From AERONET observations at Ispra it is found that on 14 September 2003 the aerosol optical depth was low (0.1–0.2), and on 17 September 2003 rather high (0.6–0.9), see Fig. 2. For both days, also substantial variations over the course of the day are found. For comparison with the MAX-DOAS observations (see below) it should be taken into account that the distance between Ispra and the Milan is rather large (about 60 km), and also the local conditions are quite different: Ispra is already located within the Alps, while Milan is located in the Po valley. Thus the aerosol optical depth at Ispra can be only used for a rough comparison with the MAX-DOAS observations.

From the comparison of the MAX-DOAS observations with the radiative transfer simulations (for details see below), it is found, that the values for the aerosol optical depth of about 0.1 and 1.0 lead to best agreement for the two selected days (see Figs. 3 and 4). This finding matches well with the AERONET observations.

In the model simulations the aerosol extinction was assumed to be constant between the surface and 1 km; for the single scattering albedo and the asymmetry parameter 1.0 and 0.68 were assumed, respectively. The surface albedo was set to 5% and the solar zenith and relative azimuth angles were set to the respective values for the three viewing angles during the day (see Fig. 1). The results for both days in between (15 and 16 September 2003, see Wagner et al., 2004) were not compared to model simulations in detail, since they were partly affected by the presence of clouds; the aerosol load on these days ranged between the values of 14 and 17 September 2003.

Figure 3 shows the comparison of the 4 measured quantities (RSP, O₄ AMF, radiance and radiance ratio) for the two selected days with the modelled quantities for the western telescope; the thin lines show the model results for different optical depth (for the O₄ AMF and the RSP the y-scales are shifted by the respective amounts of the Fraunhofer reference spectra, see Sect. 2). The measured radiance was scaled by a multiplicative factor until the best overall match was achieved. Thus this comparison should be treated with caution.
In general, rather good agreement is found between measurements and model results. For most results, not only the diurnal variation shows the same qualitative behaviour, but also the absolute values agree. It is interesting to note that for both the measured and modelled radiances the dependence on the elevation angle changes in a similar way between 14 and 17 September 2003.

Discrepancies between measurements and model results are mainly found for the RSP (especially at large SZA) and for the radiance and radiance ratio. The differences for the latter two quantities are most probably caused by the potential effects of very thin clouds and spatial and temporal variations of the aerosol load. From AERONET data at Ispra it is found that the aerosol optical depth varied significantly during the two selected days (see Fig. 2). Also differences of the assumed single scattering albedo and asymmetry parameter might be responsible for part of the discrepancies. The deviations of the Raman scattering probability at large SZA are most probably related to the presence of stratospheric aerosols (see Sect. 5.2).

Like for the O$_4$ AMF, the Raman scattering probability decreases in general with increasing aerosol optical depth. However, compared to the O$_4$ AMF, the Raman scattering probability depends much stronger on the relative azimuth angle.

For the radiance, increasing aerosol load can either increase or decrease the values for different elevation angles. In general, the radiance tends to increase for zenith view, but tends to decrease for lower elevation angles (see also discussion in Wagner et al., 2004). The radiance depends also on the relative azimuth angle. A strong increase of the radiance is found around 15:00, when the relative azimuth angle approaches zero. This indicates the strong probability of aerosol scattering in the forward direction.

The radiance ratio is in general decreased for increasing aerosol load. This is caused by the combined effects of decreased radiances for low elevation angles and increased radiance for zenith view. Note that for the other two azimuth angles very similar results were found, but the effect of the relative azimuth angle is smaller (see Appendix).

A more detailed comparison between measurements and model results for the individual elevation angles is presented in Fig. 4. Again the observations of the western
telescope are selected, because it shows the strongest effects of the relative azimuth angle. The results for the other viewing directions show similar results.

The red and blue lines with dots show the results of the measurements for both selected days. The lines without dots represent model results for different aerosol optical depths ranging from zero (blue line, Rayleigh atmosphere) to unity (black line). The comparison shown in Fig. 4 leads to the same overall conclusions as those shown in Fig. 3. In general, the best agreement is found for the O_4 AMF. The observed differences for the radiance, the radiance ratio, and the Raman scattering probability can be most probably related to the simplified assumptions on the aerosol properties and on the effect of stratospheric aerosols (see Sect. 5.2).

5 Sensitivity studies

5.1 Dependence of the observed quantities on aerosol properties and surface albedo

In this section, the dependencies of the 4 selected quantities on various aerosol properties are investigated. One specific aim is to identify situations, for which the observation of the Ring effect can provide additional information to those retrieved from observations of O_4 and the radiance. In addition to the effects of aerosols, also the effects of surface albedo, high clouds and stratospheric aerosols are considered. Again, to minimise the number of graphs, the results are restricted to one viewing direction. Because of the strong influence of the relative azimuth angle, the western telescope was selected.

In Fig. 5 the dependence of the simulated quantities (RSP, O_4 AMF, radiance and radiance ratio) on the aerosol optical depth is shown. On the left hand side of each panel, the details on the viewing geometry as well as the results for a standard scenario are shown. The aerosol properties of the standard scenario were chosen like above (constant extinction 0–1 km, single scattering albedo: 1.0, AP: 0.68), but with an aerosol optical depth of 0.1. At the right hand side of each panel the deviations of the
results for different optical depths from those of the standard scenario are shown. For both $O_4$ AMF and RSP, a strong dependence on the aerosol optical depth is found, especially for observations at low elevation angle. For the radiance and radiance ratio, depending on the viewing angles and solar zenith angle, an increase or decrease is found.

In Fig. 6, the influence of several other aerosol properties on the selected quantities is shown. Here, only the differences with respect to the standard scenarios (constant extinction 0–1 km, single scattering albedo: 1.0, AP: 0.68) for an optical depth of 0.1 (left hand side) or 1.0 (right hand side) are presented. In addition to the aerosol properties, also the effect of changes of the surface albedo are included.

For the RSP, the strongest effects are found for changes of the layer height. Especially for low optical depth, the RSP increases with increasing layer height. This is most probably caused by the fact that the absorption path along the line of sight is increased if the aerosol load is distributed over a larger height interval. Accordingly, the probability of molecular scattering increases. Also the asymmetry parameter can have a strong effect on the RSP, but only for conditions with rather small relative azimuth angle. Under such conditions, a decrease of the asymmetry parameter leads to an increase of the Raman scattering probability, because more photons are scattered from the side into the line of sight having a larger probability to be scattered on molecules.

For the $O_4$ AMF also the height of the aerosol layer has a substantial effect. With increasing height of the aerosol layer the absorption paths along the line of sight become longer and accordingly the $O_4$ absorption is increased. In contrast to the RSP, for the $O_4$ AMF the influence of the asymmetry parameter is rather small indicating the fact that the absorption path for photons which were scattered from the side into the line of sight are rather similar to those scattered in the forward direction. For the radiance and the radiance ratio, the influence of the single scattering albedo (especially for large optical depth) becomes important. Also the other parameters (except the surface albedo) can have a considerable effect, but only for relative azimuth angles close to zero.
5.2 Dependence of the observed quantities on the presence of high cirrus and stratospheric aerosols

In addition to the influence of surface near aerosols, the observed quantities can be also strongly affected by clouds. Clouds shield the incoming direct solar light, and multiple scattering events inside extended clouds can lead to strongly enhanced atmospheric absorption paths (Erle et al., 1995; Wagner et al., 1998; Pfeilsticker et al., 1998; Wagner et al., 2004). In the presence of extended and optically thick clouds, the determination of aerosol properties using MAX-DOAS observations might become impossible or is at least strongly limited.

The presence of clouds can be usually detected by the observed quantities themselves, in particular by strong deviations of the observed radiance, O₄ AMF and RSP from the respective values for clear sky. Often also the rapid temporal variation of the observed quantities (caused by changes in the cloud properties) are a clear indication for the cloud presence.

However, in some cases, the presence of thin (possibly sub-visible) clouds might not be obvious from the observed quantities. Such cases are investigated in this section. In Fig. 7 the effects of a thin homogenous cirrus clouds (optical depth either 0.2 or 1.0) between 9 and 10 km are shown, expressed as differences compared to the standard aerosol scenario with optical depth 0.1, constant extinction 0–1 km, single scattering albedo: 1.0, and AP: 0.68. The results indicate that for clouds with an optical depth of about unity or larger, it should be possible to discriminate the effects from those of aerosols close to the surface (by inspecting the particular diurnal variations of the observed quantities). However, for cirrus clouds with very small optical depths, this will be a very challenging task, in particular if the aerosol or cloud properties also change during the day. In general, the possible presence of thin cirrus clouds constitutes a fundamental error source for the retrieval of aerosol properties from MAX-DOAS observations (also for other observations like sun photometers). In particular, observations of the Ring effect (and the O₄ absorption) in zenith direction can be offset by the presence
of thin cirrus clouds. One promising way to discriminate the effects of thin clouds from those of aerosols could be to study the wavelength dependence.

In addition, also stratospheric aerosols can affect the observed quantities. Especially during sunset and sunrise, the first scattering events of the incoming sun light usually take place at high altitudes, where stratospheric aerosols could alter the atmospheric scattering properties.

During the period when the MAX-DOAS observations were carried out, the stratospheric aerosol load was rather small, since for a long time no strong volcanic eruption had taken place (see e.g. http://data.giss.nasa.gov/modelforce/strataer/). From the SAGE-II satellite instrument, the total stratospheric aerosol optical depth at 1020 nm was found to be below 0.002 (see e.g. http://data.giss.nasa.gov/sageii/). However, because the stratospheric aerosol particles are small, the optical depth at 360 nm is expected to be substantially larger. In Fig. 8 the influence of two assumed stratospheric aerosol profiles on the observed 4 quantities is shown. Both assumed stratospheric profile extended from 15–25 km with constant extinction with a total optical depth of either 0.01 or 0.02. The single scattering albedo and the asymmetry parameter were assumed to be 1.0 and 0.68, respectively. Again, the results are expressed as differences to the standard aerosol scenario for an optical depth of 0.1 (constant extinction 0–1 km, single scattering albedo: 1.0, AP: 0.68). Although the assumed stratospheric aerosol optical depth is rather small compared to those of the surface near the aerosol scenarios, for large SZA systematic differences compared to the standard scenario are found (except for the radiance). Especially for the RSP, the presence of stratospheric aerosols can then lead to a systematic decrease. This effect is probably the main reason for the deviation between measurement results and model simulations as seen in Fig. 4. Other reasons might include the effects of polarisation and refraction, which are both not included in the current version of our model.

Because of their high sensitivity, Ring effect observations at large SZA might become a well suited tool to retrieve the stratospheric aerosol optical depth, especially during periods of very low stratospheric aerosol loads.
5.3 Special cases with strong potential of Ring effect observations for the retrieval of aerosol properties

In many situations, the influence of aerosols on the RSP and the O\textsubscript{4} AMF shows a very similar qualitative dependence. This can be understood from the fact that an increase (decrease) of the atmospheric light path will in general lead to an increased (decreased) O\textsubscript{4} absorption as well as to an increased (decreased) probability for molecular scattering. Nevertheless, there are also interesting differences in the detailed dependencies, some of them will be discussed in this section.

Figure 9 shows the diurnal variation of the measured and modelled RSP and the O\textsubscript{4} AMF for the 18 degree elevation of the western telescope (same data as shown in Fig. 4). For different times of the day the modelled Raman scattering probabilities for different aerosol optical depth indicate a quite different sensitivity either to small or large aerosol optical depths. Around 11:00, the curves for low aerosol optical depth show only little differences, while those for aerosol optical depths >0.2 show large differences, indicating a high sensitivity for optical depths >0.2. In contrast, around 15:00 the modelled curves for small aerosol optical depths (<0.2) show large differences, while those for larger optical depths show only small differences, indicating a high sensitivity for optical depths <0.2. A similar behaviour is also found for the O\textsubscript{4} AMFs (Fig. 9b), but with rather small absolute differences. Thus observations of the Ring effect might be especially well suited for an optimisation of the sensitivity for situations with different aerosol optical depths.

Another interesting application for Ring effect observations is found for observations in zenith viewing direction. In Fig. 10 the diurnal variation of the measured and modelled RSP and O\textsubscript{4} AMF for the 90 degree elevation (same data as shown in Fig. 4). Except for large SZA, the RSP depends strongly on the aerosol optical depth. In contrast, the O\textsubscript{4} AMF shows only a weak dependence. This is an important finding, since it indicates the large potential of zenith viewing DOAS instruments for the determination of aerosol properties. From the analysis of the Ring effect, information on aerosol
properties could thus be derived from many UV instruments prior to the MAX-DOAS era.

Finally, as shown in the previous sub-section, observations of the Ring effect at large SZA might provide information on stratospheric aerosol properties, even for very small optical depths (<0.01).

All three examples indicate potential areas in which the analysis of the Ring effect might provide valuable information in addition to the analysis of the O$_4$ absorption. Additional possibilities might be discovered from further sensitivity studies, especially including other wavelengths.

6 Conclusions

In this study the first quantitative comparison of MAX-DOAS observations of the Ring effect with model simulations is presented. The comparison is performed for two cloud-free days with different atmospheric aerosol loads. It also includes a large variety of viewing geometries: SZA between about 45° to 90°, elevation angles at 3°, 6°, 10°, 18° and 90° (zenith), as well as three azimuth angles (North, West, South). The variety of atmospheric conditions and viewing geometries allowed a comprehensive test of our capabilities to measure and model the Ring effect. In addition to the Ring effect, also the observed O$_4$ absorptions and radiances are compared to the model simulations. In general good agreement was found. Discrepancies occurred mainly for the radiance and the Ring effect at large SZA. The differences in the radiance are most probably caused by the simplified assumptions of the aerosol scenarios (especially with respect to the values of the asymmetry parameter and the single scattering albedo). Also thin cirrus clouds and horizontal gradients of the aerosol properties might explain part of the differences. The differences of modelled and measured Ring effect at large SZA might be related to several reasons: first, for such viewing geometries, the effects of polarisation and refraction might become important. Both effects are currently not included in our radiative transfer model. In addition, it was found that at large SZA,
even very small stratospheric aerosol loads can have a relatively strong effect on the Ring effect. This strong influence makes Ring effect observations a potential tool for stratospheric aerosol monitoring from ground based instruments.

Several sensitivity studies were carried out to investigate the influence of different aerosol properties on the Ring effect (and the O$_4$ absorption and radiance). It was found that for most measurement situations the aerosol optical depth has by far the strongest influence on the observed quantities. However, in contrast to the O$_4$ absorption, the Ring effect shows also a strong dependence on the asymmetry parameter (for small relative azimuth angles).

From these findings it is concluded that from observations of the Ring effect information on aerosol properties like optical depth, profile shape or asymmetry parameter can be obtained. In many cases the qualitative dependence of the Ring effect on aerosol properties is very similar to that of the O$_4$ absorption, because both quantities depend strongly on the light path length in the lower atmosphere. In such cases, the simultaneous retrieval of both quantities might mainly serve as a valuable consistency check for the aerosol retrieval.

In specific cases, however, the observation of the Ring effect can provide complementary information to that retrieved from O$_4$ observations. These cases include observations at small relative azimuth angles, which allow to extract information on the asymmetry parameter. In addition, from Ring effect observations at large SZA, stratospheric aerosol properties could be retrieved, even in cases with very low stratospheric aerosol optical depths. Finally, for conventional zenith scattered light observations, the analysis of the Ring effect provides a unique opportunity to retrieve aerosol properties, since in contrast to the O$_4$ absorption the Ring effect depends strongly on the aerosol optical depth. It should be also noted here, that such retrievals are rather straightforward, because no dependence on the relative azimuth angle has to be considered. The analysis of the Ring effect might thus allow to create long term data sets of aerosol properties from existing zenith UV observations prior the MAX-DOAS era.

In addition to the analysis of the Ring effect (and O$_4$ absorption) the consideration...
of the observed radiance (or radiance ratio with respect to the zenith view) should be taken into account for aerosol retrievals, since it is especially sensitive for the aerosol absorbing properties (see also Frieß et al., 2006). Future studies should include the investigation of the aerosol influence on the Ring effect at additional wavelengths. Also radiative transfer simulations should be improved by including the effects of polarisation and refraction. Finally, inversion techniques based on least squares algorithms or optimal estimation should be developed taking into account the observed radiances, the Ring effect and O4 absorptions.

As a side product of our studies, we derived information on the O4 absorption cross section for 360 nm at atmospheric conditions. The retrieved value of 5.27 x 10^46 cm^5/molec^2 is about 25% larger than the values obtained in the laboratory from Greenblatt et al. (1990) and Hermans (http://www.aeronomie.be/spectrolab/o2.htm). One reason might be the temperature dependence of the O4 cross section, since the effective atmospheric temperature during the measurements was colder (267 K) than those of the laboratory measurements at room temperature. Another reason for the difference might be related the fact that the absorption cross section in our study was obtained under atmospheric conditions. Also other atmospheric observations (Perner and Platt, 1980; Volkamer et al., 1996; Wagner et al., 2002) reported much higher values than the laboratory measurements.
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Table 1. Relationship between the Raman scattering probability (RSP), which is used in this study to quantify the strength of the Ring effect, and other definitions used in other studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Instrument &amp; spectral resolution</th>
<th>Wavelength</th>
<th>Definition of filling-in</th>
<th>Relationship to RSP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joiner et al., 1995</td>
<td>SBUV ∼1.1 nm</td>
<td>393.37 nm</td>
<td>Filling-in factor</td>
<td>= RSP* 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joiner et al., 2004</td>
<td>GOME ∼0.17 nm</td>
<td>393.37 nm</td>
<td>Filling-in factor</td>
<td>= RSP* 2.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joiner et al., 2006</td>
<td>OMI ∼0.50 nm</td>
<td>393.37 nm</td>
<td>Filling-in factor</td>
<td>= RSP* 1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Beek et al., 2001</td>
<td>GOME ∼0.17 nm</td>
<td>393.37 nm</td>
<td>Ring DOD</td>
<td>= RSP* 3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langford et al., 2007</td>
<td>Ground based ∼0.33 nm</td>
<td>344.1 nm</td>
<td>Fractional filling-in</td>
<td>= RSP* 1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Overview of the quantities derived from the MAX-DOAS measurements and used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Wavelength</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raman scattering probability (RSP)</td>
<td>350 nm</td>
<td>The RSP is the fit coefficient of a normalised Ring spectrum included in the DOAS analysis (see Wagner et al., 2009). The RSP is the probability of a photon to be Raman-scattered.</td>
<td>The RSP is proportional to various definitions of the Ring effect (see Table 2) and is independent from the spectral resolution. For the comparison with model results, an offset of 0.05 (RSP of the Fraunhofer reference spectrum) has to be added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$O_4$ AMF (DAMF)</td>
<td>360 nm</td>
<td>The retrieved $O_4$ (differential) slant column density is divided by the vertical $O_4$ column density.</td>
<td>The $O_4$ DAMF can be directly compared to the model results. For comparison with model results, an offset of 1.8 ($O_4$ AMF of the Fraunhofer reference spectrum) has to be added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiance</td>
<td>350 nm</td>
<td>Average of measured signal (counts per second) at 350±1 nm. The instrument has no absolute radiometric calibration.</td>
<td>For the comparison with model results, the values are divided by a proportionality factor determined empirically from the best match between measurements and model results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiance ratio</td>
<td>350 nm</td>
<td>The radiance is divided by the radiance of the zenith observation of the same elevation sequence.</td>
<td>The radiance ratio is independent from the absolute radiometric calibration and can be directly compared to the model results.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Diurnal variation of the solar zenith angle (blue) and relative azimuth angle (red) for the three telescopes.
Fig. 2. Aerosol extinction at 350 nm measured by the AERONET station at Ispra (Principal Investigator: Giuseppe Zibordi). The distance between Ispra and the location of the MAX-DOAS measurements (Milan) is about 60 km.
Fig. 3a. Comparison of measurements and model results for the western telescope on two selected days (14 and 17 September 2003). Besides the Ring effect, also the O₄ AMF and the measured radiance and radiance ratio are displayed. In each sub-plot the upper graphs show the measurement results for the 14 September 2003 (left) and 17 September 2003 (right); the lower graphs show model results for aerosol OD of 0.1 (left) and 1.0 (right). Further aerosol properties are: constant extinction between 0 and 1km, single scattering albedo of 0.9, asymmetry parameter of 0.68.
O₄ absorption, western telescope

Fig. 3b. Continued.
Radiance, western telescope

Fig. 3c. Continued.
Fig. 3d. Continued.
Fig. 4a. Comparison between measurements of the western telescope (red: 14 September; blue: 17 September) and model results for various optical depths. The comparison is performed for individual elevation angles (top: 3° to bottom 90° or 18°). Besides the Ring effect (a) also the O$_4$ AMF (b), the radiance (c), and the radiance ratio (d) are shown. Note that for the measurement results of the Ring effect and O$_4$ AMF the respective amounts of the Fraunhofer reference spectrum (0.05 and 1.8) were added, see also text.
Fig. 4b. Continued.
Fig. 4c. Continued.
Fig. 4d. Continued.
Fig. 5a. Modelled dependences of the diurnal profiles of the following quantities: Ring effect (a), O₄ AMF (b), radiance (c) and radiance ratio (d) on the optical depth of the aerosol (constant extinction 0–1 km, single scattering albedo: 1.0, AP: 0.68). In the left two diagrams of each set (a–d) the SZA and relative azimuth angle (top) and the simulations for an aerosol OD of 0.1 (bottom) are shown. In the right column the differences of the above quantities for different aerosol OD’s (0.2, 0.5, 1.0) compared to those for OD=0.1 are shown. Results for the western telescope.
Fig. 5b. Continued.
Fig. 5c. Continued.
Fig. 5d. Continued.
**Fig. 6a.** Influence of various aerosol properties and the surface albedo. The different panels show (a) the strength of the Ring effect, (b) $O_4$ AMF, (c) radiance, (d) radiance ratio (left: OD: 0.1; right: OD: 1.0). The results are expressed as differences compared to the case with constant extinction between 0–1 km, single scattering albedo: 1.0, AP: 0.68, and surface albedo: 5%. Only one parameter is changed (as indicated in the figures). Results for the western telescope.
Fig. 6b. Continued.
Fig. 6c. Continued.
Fig. 6 Influence of various aerosol properties and the surface albedo. The different panels show (a) the strength of the Ring effect, (b) O₄ AMF, (c) radiance, (d) radiance ratio (left: OD: 0.1; right: OD: 1.0). The results are expressed as differences compared to the case with constant extinction between 0-1 km, single scattering albedo: 1.0, AP: 0.68, and surface albedo: 5%. Only one parameter is changed (as indicated in the figures). Results for the western telescope.
Fig. 7. Influence of high cirrus clouds on the measured quantities. The aerosol properties are constant extinction 0–1 km, OD: 0.1, single scattering albedo: 1.0, AP: 0.68. The cirrus clouds have optical depths of 0.2 (left) and 1.0 (right) and are located between 9 and 10 km (AP: 0.68, single scattering albedo: 1). 

\textbf{Abstract}
**Fig. 8.** Influence of two different stratospheric aerosols properties on the measured quantities. The aerosol properties are constant extinction 0–1 km, OD: 0.1, single scattering albedo: 1.0, AP: 0.68. The stratospheric aerosol has optical depths of 0.01 (left) and 0.02 (right) with constant extinction between 15 and 25 km (AP: 0.68, single scattering albedo: 1).
Fig. 9. Diurnal variation of the measured and modelled values of the Raman scattering probability (a) and the $O_4$ AMF (b) for the western telescope at 18° elevation (same data as in Fig. 4). Depending on the viewing geometry the Ring effect observations are especially sensitive for low OD (green rectangle) or high OD (blue rectangle). Note that for the measurement results of the Ring effect and $O_4$ AMF the respective amounts of the Fraunhofer reference spectrum (0.05 and 1.8) were added, see also text.
Fig. 10. Diurnal variation of the measured and modelled values of the Raman scattering probability (a) and the O₄ AMF (b) for the telescopes at 90° elevation (same data as in Fig. 4). For Zenith observations the analysis of the Ring effect can provide more information on aerosol properties than the O₄ absorption, especially during noon. Note that for the measurement results of the Ring effect and O₄ AMF the respective amounts of the Fraunhofer reference spectrum (0.05 and 1.8) were added, see also text.
Appendix A
Comparison of measurements and model simulations for the northern and southern telescope

Fig. A1a. Same as Fig. 3, but for the northern and southern telescopes: Comparison of measurements and model results for the western telescope on two selected days (14 and 17 September 2003). Besides the Ring effect, also the O₄ AMF and the measured radiance and radiance ratio are displayed. In each sub-plot the upper graphs show the measurement results for the 14 September (left) and 17 September (right); the lower graphs show model results for aerosol OD of 0.1 (left) and 1.0 (right). Further aerosol properties are: constant extinction between 0 and 1km, single scattering albedo of 0.9, asymmetry parameter of 0.68.
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